

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

---

In the Matter of the Increase of rates and :  
Charges and USF Eligibility by Carbon/ :  
Emery Telecom, Inc. : Docket No. 05-2302-01  
: :  
: :  
: :

---

**ERRATA TO SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY**

**OF**

**WILLIAM DUNKEL**

**ON BEHALF OF THE UTAH COMMITTEE OF CONSUMER SERVICES**

**December 15, 2005**

**Public Testimony**

**This Errata to Supplemental Direct Testimony of William Dunkel contains**

**Proprietary information**

1 **Q. Are you the same William Dunkel that previously prefiled testimony in this**  
2 **proceeding on behalf of the CCS?**

3 A. Yes.

4

5 **Q. What is the purpose of this Supplemental Direct testimony?**

6 A. The purpose of this testimony is to incorporate the DPU findings pertaining to the  
7 “post-2004” investments. On page 28 of my Direct Testimony I stated:

8 From its discovery, it is clear that the DPU is evaluating these post-2004  
9 investments. We have not duplicated these DPU efforts. For now our Summary  
10 Schedule includes these “Post 2004” investment figures at the same level as in  
11 the Company filing. After the DPU files testimony, I reserve the right to review,  
12 and as appropriate, support recommendations contained in the DPU testimony  
13 (or testimony of any other party).  
14

15 The DPU witness David Thomson recently filed testimony that presented the results  
16 of the DPU analysis of the post-2004 plant investments.<sup>1</sup> I am now updating the  
17 post-2004 investments to incorporate these DPU findings.

18

19 The prior CCS Direct Testimony recommended a \$392,859 annual increase over  
20 current intrastate revenue levels.<sup>2</sup> That prior figure was calculated using the post-  
21 2004 additions and CWIP as contained in the Company filing. When the post-2004  
22 additions and CWIP as contained in the Company filing are replaced with post-  
23 2004 additions and updated CWIP from the DPU analysis, the CCS  
24 recommendation becomes a ~~\$299,423~~ \$266,454 annual increase over current

---

<sup>1</sup> Direct Testimony of David Thomson on behalf of the DPU, dated November 15, 2005.

<sup>2</sup> Page 2, Direct Testimony of McCullar.

1 intrastate revenue levels. In calculating this figure, no other changes were made to  
2 the prior CCS positions, other than the use of the post-2004 additions and the  
3 updated CWIP from the DPU testimony.<sup>3</sup>

4

5 **Q. What impact does this have on the CCS rate design recommendation?**

6 A. Mr. Regan is filing Supplement Direct testimony to address the impact on rate  
7 design.

8

9 **Q. Does this conclude your Supplemental Direct testimony?**

10 A. Yes.

---

<sup>3</sup> This Supplemental testimony does not address the other adjustments presented in the DPU testimony. On page 28 of my Direct testimony I had specifically mentioned the “post-2004 investments” in reserving the right to adopt the DPU adjustments. I specifically stated that the post-2004 investment figures used in the CCS Direct testimony were figures that were only being used “for now”. This Supplemental testimony is limited to updating the post-2004 investments as found by the DPU. This does not imply that I necessarily disagree with other adjustments presented by DPU.