

---

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

---

In the matter of the Formal Complaint of  
Bresnan Broadband of Utah, LLC against  
UBTA-UBET Communications, Inc.

DOCKET NO. 09-2476-01

---

EMAILS BETWEEN PARTIES AND COMMISSION REGARDING AMENDMENT OF  
SCHEDULING ORDER

**Ruben Arredondo - Docket No. 09-2476-01**

**From:** Thor Nelson <TNelson@hollandhart.com>  
**To:** "Ruben Arredondo" <rarredondo@utah.gov>  
**Date:** 9/2/2009 3:56 PM  
**Subject:** Docket No. 09-2476-01  
**CC:** "Michael Ginsberg" <MGINSBERG@utah.gov>, Kira Slawson <KiraM@blackburn-stoll.com>, Patricia Schmid <Pschmid@utah.gov>, "jlambert@bresnan.com" <jlambert@bresnan.com>, Michelle King <MBKing@hollandhart.com>, Paul Proctor <PPROCTOR@utah.gov>, William Duncan <WDuncan@utah.gov>  
**Attachments:** EmailHHlogo2003.gif

① Judge Arredondo,

On behalf of Bresnan, I would like to request a minor modification to Scheduling Order and Notice of Hearing in Docket No. 09-2476-01. I have conferred with the parties and everyone has agreed that the following modifications would be acceptable:

- 1) Change the filing date for responsive briefings from Tuesday, September 8, 2009 to noon on Wednesday, September 9, 2009.
- 2) Once parties have seen those filings, upon request, change the filing date for responsive briefings by the Division and OCS and the date for reply affidavits from the other parties from Thursday, September 10, 2009 to noon on Friday September 11, 2009.

The issue is that Monday, September 7 is Labor Day. Since initial briefs aren't due until close of business the following Friday it was hoped that this modification would make it easier for folks to avoid working on the holiday. We don't know at this point if the second change will be necessary or not but parties wanted to keep that option open if needed.

Please let us know if these changes are acceptable to the Commission.

Thank you and best regards,

**Thorvald Nelson**  
 Holland & Hart LLP  
 6380 South Fiddlers Green Circle, Suite 500  
 Greenwood Village, CO 80111  
 Phone (303) 290-1601  
 Fax (303) 975-5290  
 E-mail: [tnelson@hollandhart.com](mailto:tnelson@hollandhart.com)

Web Bio: <http://www.hollandhart.com/peopleprofile.cfm?IDName=PersonID&ID=5096>



**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:** This message is confidential and may be privileged. If you believe that this email has been sent to you in error, please reply to the sender that you received the message in error, then please delete this e-mail. Thank you.



*Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail*

**Ruben Arredondo - Re: Docket No. 09-2476-01**

---

**From:** Ruben Arredondo  
**To:** Nelson, Thor  
**Date:** 9/3/2009 7:22 AM  
**Subject:** Re: Docket No. 09-2476-01

---

② I am not sure I understand the # 2 change as you stated. Are you just saying that the parties, if they desire, can change the filing date from Thursday, September 10 to noon September 11th?

>>> Thor Nelson <TNelson@hollandhart.com> 9/2/2009 3:56 PM >>>  
Judge Arredondo,

On behalf of Bresnan, I would like to request a minor modification to Scheduling Order and Notice of Hearing in Docket No. 09-2476-01. I have conferred with the parties and everyone has agreed that the following modifications would be acceptable:

- 1) Change the filing date for responsive briefings from Tuesday, September 8, 2009 to noon on Wednesday, September 9, 2009.
- 2) Once parties have seen those filings, upon request, change the filing date for responsive briefings by the Division and OCS and the date for reply affidavits from the other parties from Thursday, September 10, 2009 to noon on Friday September 11, 2009.

The issue is that Monday, September 7 is Labor Day. Since initial briefs aren't due until close of business the following Friday it was hoped that this modification would make it easier for folks to avoid working on the holiday. We don't know at this point if the second change will be necessary or not but parties wanted to keep that option open if needed.

Please let us know if these changes are acceptable to the Commission.

Thank you and best regards,

**Thorvald Nelson**  
Holland & Hart LLP  
6380 South Fiddlers Green Circle, Suite 500  
Greenwood Village, CO 80111  
Phone (303) 290-1601  
Fax (303) 975-5290  
E-mail: [tnelson@hollandhart.com](mailto:tnelson@hollandhart.com)

Web Bio: <http://www.hollandhart.com/peopleprofile.cfm?IDName=PersonID&ID=5096>



**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:** This message is confidential and may be privileged. If you believe that this email has been sent to you in error, please reply to the sender that you received the message in error; then please delete this e-mail. Thank you.



**Ruben Arredondo - RE: Docket No. 09-2476-01**

**From:** Thor Nelson <TNelson@hollandhart.com>  
**To:** "Ruben Arredondo" <rarredondo@utah.gov>  
**Date:** 9/3/2009 10:09 AM  
**Subject:** RE: Docket No. 09-2476-01  
**CC:** "Michael Ginsberg" <MGINSBERG@utah.gov>, "Kira Slawson" <KiraM@blackburn-stoll.com>, "Patricia Schmid" <Pschmid@utah.gov>, "jlambert@bresnan.com" <jlambert@bresnan.com>, Michelle King <MBKing@hollandhart.com>, "Paul Proctor" <PPROCTOR@utah.gov>, "WilliamDuncan" <WDuncan@utah.gov>

3  
 Judge Arredondo,

My understanding of the Division's suggestion (Mike please correct me if I am mistaken) was that the parties would all look at the filings on the 9th and decide how much time they needed to respond. If everyone thought that the responses could be done by the close of business on the 10th we would all do so. However, if a party (any party) wanted additional time that party could notify you and the others and the deadline would be automatically moved to noon on the 11th for all parties.

Speaking only for myself (as I have not run this by the others), if that sounds more complicated than necessary we would have no objection to just moving the filing deadline to noon on the 11th and calling it good.

Best regards,

Thor Nelson

---

**From:** Ruben Arredondo [mailto:rarredondo@utah.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 03, 2009 7:22 AM  
**To:** Thor Nelson  
**Subject:** Re: Docket No. 09-2476-01

I am not sure I understand the # 2 change as you stated. Are you just saying that the parties, if they desire, can change the filing date from Thursday, September 10 to noon September 11th?

>>> Thor Nelson <TNelson@hollandhart.com> 9/2/2009 3:56 PM >>>  
 Judge Arredondo,

On behalf of Bresnan, I would like to request a minor modification to Scheduling Order and Notice of Hearing in Docket No. 09-2476-01. I have conferred with the parties and everyone has agreed that the following modifications would be acceptable:

1) Change the filing date for responsive briefings from Tuesday, September 8, 2009 to noon on Wednesday, September 9, 2009.

2) Once parties have seen those filings, upon request, change the filing date for responsive briefings by the Division and OCS and the date for reply affidavits from the other parties from Thursday, September 10, 2009 to noon on Friday September 11, 2009.

The issue is that Monday, September 7 is Labor Day. Since initial briefs aren't due until close of business the

**From:** Michael Ginsberg  
**To:** Arredondo, Ruben; Nelson, Thor  
**CC:** Duncan, William; 'jlambert@bresnan.com'; King, Michelle; Proctor, Pau...  
**Date:** 9/3/2009 10:27 AM  
**Subject:** RE: Docket No. 09-2476-01

4

I think Thor has correctly stated my intention in making the suggestion. It was only a possibility that we would need to Fri which only came up when we would not see their filing until the day before.. Our goal would be to get it in on Thursday. We have no objections to Thor's suggestion of just making the filing on Friday by noon. We would still try and get it in on Thursday since Fri is not a work day.

>>> Thor Nelson <TNelson@hollandhart.com> 9/3/2009 10:08 AM >>>  
Judge Arredondo,

My understanding of the Division's suggestion (Mike please correct me if I am mistaken) was that the parties would all look at the filings on the 9th and decide how much time they needed to respond. If everyone thought that the responses could be done by the close of business on the 10th we would all do so. However, if a party (any party) wanted additional time that party could notify you and the others and the deadline would be automatically moved to noon on the 11th for all parties.

Speaking only for myself (as I have not run this by the others), if that sounds more complicated than necessary we would have no objection to just moving the filing deadline to noon on the 11th and calling it good.

Best regards,

Thor Nelson

---

From: Ruben Arredondo [mailto:rarredondo@utah.gov]  
Sent: Thursday, September 03, 2009 7:22 AM  
To: Thor Nelson  
Subject: Re: Docket No. 09-2476-01

I am not sure I understand the # 2 change as you stated. Are you just saying that the parties, if they desire, can change the filing date from Thursday, September 10 to noon September 11th?

>>> Thor Nelson <TNelson@hollandhart.com> 9/2/2009 3:56 PM >>>  
Judge Arredondo,

On behalf of Bresnan, I would like to request a minor modification to Scheduling Order and Notice of Hearing in Docket No. 09-2476-01. I have conferred with the parties and everyone has agreed that the following modifications would be acceptable:

- 1) Change the filing date for responsive briefings from Tuesday, September 8, 2009 to noon on Wednesday, September 9, 2009.
- 2) Once parties have seen those filings, upon request, change the filing date for responsive briefings by the Division and OCS and the date for reply affidavits from the other parties from Thursday, September 10, 2009 to noon on Friday September 11, 2009.

The issue is that Monday, September 7 is Labor Day. Since initial briefs aren't due until close of business the following Friday it was hoped that this modification would make it easier for folks to avoid working on the holiday. We don't know at this point if the second change will be necessary or not but parties wanted to keep that option open if needed.

**Ruben Arredondo - RE: Docket No. 09-2476-01**


**From:** "Kira Slawson" <KiraM@blackburn-stoll.com>  
**To:** "Thor Nelson" <TNelson@hollandhart.com>, "Ruben Arredondo" <raredondo@utah.gov>  
**Date:** 9/3/2009 10:30 AM  
**Subject:** RE: Docket No. 09-2476-01  
**CC:** "Michael Ginsberg" <MGINSBERG@utah.gov>, "Patricia Schmid" <Pschmid@utah.gov>, <jlambert@bresnan.com>, "Michelle King" <MBKing@hollandhart.com>, "Paul Proctor" <PPROCTOR@utah.gov>, "William Duncan" <WDuncan@utah.gov>

5

We have no problem making the deadline the 11th by noon.

Kira M. Slawson  
 Blackburn & Stoll, LC  
 257 East 200 South, Suite 800  
 Salt Lake City, UT 84111-2048  
 Phone: (801) 521-7900  
 Fax: (801) 578-3579

This email message may contain legally privileged and/or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this email message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete this email message from your computer.

 Please consider the natural environment before printing this email message.

**From:** Thor Nelson [mailto:TNelson@hollandhart.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 03, 2009 10:09 AM  
**To:** 'Ruben Arredondo'  
**Cc:** 'Michael Ginsberg'; Kira Slawson; 'Patricia Schmid'; 'jlambert@bresnan.com'; Michelle King; 'Paul Proctor'; 'William Duncan'  
**Subject:** RE: Docket No. 09-2476-01

Judge Arredondo,

My understanding of the Division's suggestion (Mike please correct me if I am mistaken) was that the parties would all look at the filings on the 9th and decide how much time they needed to respond. If everyone thought that the responses could be done by the close of business on the 10th we would all do so. However, if a party (any party) wanted additional time that party could notify you and the others and the deadline would be automatically moved to noon on the 11th for all parties.

Speaking only for myself (as I have not run this by the others), if that sounds more complicated than necessary we would have no objection to just moving the filing deadline to noon on the 11th and calling it good.

Best regards,

Thor Nelson