

- BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH -

---

IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT	)	DOCKET NO. 10-049-16
APPLICATION FOR QWEST	)	
COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND	)	DPU Exhibit No. 2.0
CENTURY/TEL, INC. FOR		
APPROVAL OF INDIRECT		
TRANSFER OF CONTROL OF		
QWEST CORPORATION, QWEST		
COMMUNICATION COMPANY, LLC,		
AND QWEST LD CORPORATION.		

---

DIRECT

TESTIMONY

OF

CLAIR OMAN

DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES  
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
STATE OF UTAH

AUGUST 30, 2010

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Subject Number</u>	<u>Page</u>
I. IDENTIFICATION OF WITNESS	3
II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	4

1                                   **I. IDENTIFICATION OF WITNESS**

2

3   **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS AND**  
4   **POSITION WITH THE DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES.**

5   A. My name is Clair Oman. My business address is Heber M. Wells  
6   Building, 160 East 300 South, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Salt Lake City, Utah. I am  
7   employed as a Utility Analyst for the State of Utah in the Division of  
8   Public Utilities. I am testifying on behalf of the Division of Public  
9   Utilities (DPU).

10 **Q. WHAT IS YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND?**

11 A. I received a Bachelor of Science degree from Utah State University and  
12 am a Licensed CPA in the state of Utah.

13 **Q. BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCE?**

14 A. I began my career as an accountant for Beehive Medical Electronics  
15 following which I was employed by small CPA firm as an audit  
16 supervisor. My next position was Chief Financial Officer for what is  
17 now Emery Telecom for more than ten years. I have been employed by  
18 the Division of Public Utilities for four years.

19 **Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THE UTAH**  
20 **PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION?**

21 A. Yes, I have testified before the Commission as an expert witness  
22 representing the DPU in Dockets 02-2270-01 and 07-2419-03.

23

24

**II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY**

25

26

**Q. PLEASE STATE AND SUMMARIZE THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY.**

27

28

A. Qwest Communications International, Inc. (“QCII”) and CenturyTel, Inc (“CenturyLink”) filed a Petition May 19, 2010 requesting the Utah Public Service Commission (“Commission”) approve the indirect transfer of control of QCII’s operating subsidiaries, Qwest Corporation (“Qwest Corp”), Qwest LD Corporation (“QLDC”) and Qwest Communications Company LLC (“QCC”) (collectively “Qwest”) to CenturyLink.

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

My testimony will focus on my review of the application filed by the Joint Applicants and whether their petition to merge meets the requirements outlined in Utah Code Ann. §§ 54-4-28, 54-4-29 and 54-4-30, is in the public interest and merits the approval of the Utah Public Service Commission.

36

37

38

39

40

I have reviewed the application and find many of the assertions believable if not compelling. It is reasonable that there will be a net benefit as a result of the merger even though in data requests the merging companies were either unwilling or unable to quantify the net

41

42

43

44 benefits. There are economies of scale to be found in the combination  
45 of these two organizations. The ability of the management team to lead  
46 the organization, and to realize the benefits as indicated by the  
47 application is the challenge, as I suppose is the case with any merger.  
48 It appears from the representations included in the application that  
49 the CenturyLink side of the organization will provide the innovative  
50 management impetus and the Qwest organization will provide much of  
51 the business base and a complementing rate base. The application  
52 portrays part of these net benefits in stating that “The increased scale,  
53 more diverse mix of offerings and stronger product pipeline of the  
54 combined company will provide a compelling array of products of  
55 services to better serve its post-transaction customers.”<sup>1</sup> The  
56 application asserts there are \$575 million annually of operating cost  
57 synergies and \$50 million of capital expenditure synergies<sup>2</sup>. These  
58 synergies are not a given, but are to be proven by management and  
59 staff in the competitive marketplace.

60 **Q. PLEASE GIVE A BRIEF SYNOPSIS OF THE FINANCIAL**  
61 **BACKGROUND OF QWEST.**

62 **A.** Qwest has had some unfortunate financial mishaps beginning with the  
63 merger with Qwest when it was U S West. It is general knowledge

---

<sup>1</sup> Joint Application of Qwest Corporations International, Inc. and CenturyTel, Inc. Docket 10-049-16, page 12

<sup>2</sup> Exhibit JSG-1 page 13

64 that Qwest was allegedly mismanaged and slipped into significant  
65 corporate debt. Qwest has since struggled with the effects of this debt  
66 and the declining land line market. This is one area where  
67 CenturyLink management has shown the ability to slow the loss of  
68 land lines in its areas. It does not appear that Qwest has ever shed the  
69 giant Bell Operating Company (“BOC”) management mentality and  
70 transformed itself into a formidable competitor in the telecom  
71 industry. The lack of wireless and satellite services offerings by Qwest  
72 increases the difficulty of being a viable competitor in the large  
73 metropolitan markets. Over the last three years Qwest has not been  
74 able to slow the decline in revenues and market share even though it  
75 has significant assets that this merger proposes to use to boost the  
76 competitiveness of the combined company. The Qwest fiber network is  
77 one of these significant assets that will provide connectivity between  
78 the serving areas of both companies and increase the competitiveness.  
79 Qwest has been able to reduce its debt but not without coincident  
80 equity slide further into the negative. As a result the expectation in  
81 the telecommunications industry have been that Qwest would be  
82 purchased or merged with some organization that could bring the  
83 necessary elements to form a more able competitor. The declining  
84 revenues and negative equity position has increased the difficulty of

85 Qwest's ILEC operations by placing its credit ratings one level above  
86 the junk bond area.<sup>3</sup> The debt schedule indicates nearly a doubling of  
87 debt maturity in 2012 which could pose a challenge for the company.  
88 Qwest's net debt to adjusted EBITDA leverage ratio for year ending  
89 2009 was 2.7, which is equal to the fourth quarter 2009 but an  
90 improvement over 2008 of 2.9.<sup>4</sup>

91 **Q. PLEASE GIVE A BRIEF SYNOPSIS OF THE FINANCIAL**  
92 **BACKGROUND OF CENTURYLINK.**

93 **A.** CenturyLink provides telecom services to primarily rural communities  
94 where it typically faces less competition than companies in urban  
95 centers. The acquisition of Embarq in 2009 added exposure to a  
96 handful of large markets, but CenturyLink still has a solid rural  
97 leaning. CenturyLink has a significant history of successful  
98 acquisitions and integrations during the past ten years. This history  
99 has been delineated in testimony filed by Jeff Glover.<sup>5</sup> The merger of  
100 these two companies appears to have positive potential for both  
101 companies and to the Utah customers of Qwest. The significant fiber  
102 network that Qwest brings to the combined company will reduce the  
103 lease requirements of the CenturyLink companies and boost the

---

<sup>3</sup> Debt Schedule & Credit Ratings /*Qwest Investor Relations* from Investor.qwest.com attached as Exhibit 2.1

<sup>4</sup> <http://news.qwest.com> Release of 2009 yearend financial information.

<sup>5</sup> Direct Testimony of Jeff Glover Docket No. 10-049-16

104 revenues for the combined companies. As indicated in testimony and  
105 the application the purchase economies of scale will provide some of  
106 the synergies to the combined company.<sup>6</sup> CenturyLink has released its  
107 2010 second quarter report and indications are that the Embarq  
108 integration is going well.<sup>7</sup>

109 **Q. WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY THE EMBARQ INTEGRATION?**

110 **A** CenturyLink merged with Embarq in 2009, this merger added  
111 approximately 5 million access lines to the CenturyLink name,  
112 bringing the total company access lines to 7 million. The integration  
113 refers to the assimilation of the Embarq customers into the  
114 CenturyLink billing and customer service systems in order to join the  
115 two companies accounting systems. The Embarq integration is  
116 expected to be complete in the third quarter of 2011

117 **Q. CAN YOU EXPLAIN WHY THIS ACQUISITION RAISES A**  
118 **CONCERN WITH REGARD TO THE PRESENT PROPOSED**  
119 **QWEST MERGER?**

120 **A.** There is a question of the wisdom of instigating an additional merger  
121 with to a 10 million access line company during the process of  
122 integrating the prior merger with a 5 million access line company. The

---

<sup>6</sup> Exhibit JSG-1 Page 13

<sup>7</sup> <http://ir.centurylink.com>



123 merger may be in the best interests of both companies but the question  
124 begs to be asked is it feasible and is this the opportune time?

125 **Q. IN LIGHT OF THE EMBARQ ACQUISITION, WHAT IS**  
126 **CENTURYLINK'S CURRENT FINANCIAL POSITION?**

127 **A.** CenturyLink's net leverage ratio prior to the merger is approximately  
128 2.3 which significantly betters Qwest's ratio of 2.7.<sup>8</sup> The combined pro  
129 forma 2009 net leverage ratio for the combined company is expected to  
130 be 2.4 before synergies.<sup>9</sup> From our review of the financial information  
131 it appears that the merger of these two companies is a positive  
132 transaction and provides Qwest a better financial future picture than  
133 is visible absent the merger. Much of the information received in  
134 response to data requests included information considered to be  
135 "Highly Sensitive Confidential" by the companies and included what I  
136 considered information related to the due diligence of the boards of  
137 directors of the two companies in considering the merger. This  
138 information also indicates to me that the applicants have approached  
139 this transaction from a common sense and pragmatic manner in order  
140 to be aware of the key risks and concerns that such a merger of two  
141 such dissimilar companies poses.

---

<sup>8</sup> Exhibit JSG-1 page 3 of Standard & Poor's

<sup>9</sup> Exhibit JSG-1 page 7

142 **Q. WHY DO YOU CONSIDER THESE COMPANIES TO BE**  
143 **DISSIMILAR?**

144 **A.** I consider the companies dissimilarities as being in two main areas.  
145 One is that each now operates in a significantly different competitive  
146 arena. The areas that make up the majority of Qwest's customers are  
147 large metropolitan markets and thus the competition is significantly  
148 higher as in contrast to the typically smaller rural areas that Century  
149 Link is accustomed to. CenturyLink's recent Embarq acquisition  
150 added exposure in a handful of large markets but not comparable with  
151 the number of large markets that the Qwest merger will add. The  
152 other significant area of dissimilarity is in the management roots.  
153 Qwest appears to be clinging to the management style and focus of a  
154 Regional Bell Operating Company which it once was. This in my  
155 opinion has made it less nimble competitively and slower to make  
156 necessary operational changes in changing environments.

157 **Q. CAN YOU DESCRIBE THE NATURE OF THE RISKS AND**  
158 **CONCERNS YOU ARE REFERRING TO ABOVE?**

159 **A** Recent telecom merger history has documented well intentioned  
160 combinations that either were poorly thought out or were not executed  
161 well. Some merged companies were forced into bankruptcy due to  
162 failure to accomplish the synergies that were forecast. Others were

163 left to languish in uncompleted integration longer than was projected,  
164 pushing the integration cost significantly beyond any reasonable cost  
165 overrun amount. These problems can be the result of poor estimates or  
166 failure for management to address the causes of failure to meet the  
167 estimates early on. I feel that indications are that this merger has  
168 been examined thoroughly; although the proper execution remains the  
169 responsibility of the combined company's management team after the  
170 transaction is consummated.

171 **Q. DOES IT APPEAR AS IF THE JOINT APPLICANTS HAVE**  
172 **BEEN ABLE TO MITIGATE THESE RISKS?**

173 **A.** The risks of operating the Qwest Companies as they exist pre merger  
174 appears to be lessened by the merger the synergies (although not fully  
175 quantifiable at this time) seem to exist, and the harvesting of those  
176 benefits will be the challenge of the combined staff and management of  
177 both companies. In his testimony, Jeff Glover visits the credit rating  
178 issue. He indicates that the credit rating issued by rating agencies  
179 applicable to the combined company's debt has a significant impact  
180 upon debt cost. It appears that the credit rating issue and therefore  
181 the effect on the cost of debt for the merged company will continue to  
182 be a challenge through the next few years. But given the positive  
183 expectation created by CenturyLink's management of the post-merger

184 company, it is expected that the credit rating would not fall below  
185 investment grade for a long period of time, if at all.

186 **Q. WHAT ARE SOME OF THE FINANCIAL BENEFITS TO**  
187 **QWEST/CENTURYLINK AS A RESULT OF THIS MERGER?**

188 A. The merged company will have the benefit of a lower net debt to  
189 adjusted EBITDA leveraged ratio and possibly a higher credit rating  
190 than Qwest. This could translate into a lower cost of debt thus  
191 enabling the CenturyLink management team to do what it has excelled  
192 at in the past which is reduce debt. This in turn will allow some cash  
193 flow previously allocated to debt service costs to be allocated to capital  
194 expenditures.

195 **Q. WHAT ARE THE WEAKNESSES OF THE MERGED**  
196 **COMPANIES?**

197 A. The merger will move the CenturyLink management team into  
198 significantly more large metropolitan markets where competition tends  
199 to be much higher. The customer gains will tend to be much harder to  
200 accomplish and the progress slower. The slowing of the land line loss  
201 will also be a more difficult battle than they may be accustomed to.  
202 This will give the management team a challenge to harvest the  
203 synergies and also the revenue benefit, of the more marketable  
204 combination of plant that the application indicates that the merger will

205 be joined together by the merger. There are some compliance issues  
206 that CenuturyLink will have to deal with regarding Section 271 of the  
207 Telecommunications Act of 1996 - That issue will be dealt with in  
208 Casey Coleman's testimony for the Division. CenturyLink has  
209 indicated that the integration costs of this merger will be \$1 billion.

210 **Q. WHAT IS THE DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES POSITION**  
211 **ON THIS MERGER?**

212 **A.** Based only upon the financial and business analysis, the DPU believes  
213 the Commission should approve the merger with the conditions that  
214 are outlined in Mr. Coleman's testimony.

215 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?**

216 **A.** Yes it does.

217

218