

Brett N. Anderson (11809)
Kira M. Slawson (7081)
BLACKBURN & STOLL, L.C.
Attorneys for Emery Telephone
257 East 200 South, Suite 800
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
Telephone: (801) 521-7900

—

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

—

IN THE MATTER OF EMERY
TELEPHONE'S APPLICATION FOR
AN INCREASE IN UTAH UNVERSAL
SERVICE FUND SUPPORT

PETITION TO INTERVENE OF UTAH
RURAL TELECOM ASSOCIATION, INC.

DOCKET NO. 15-042-01

The Utah Rural Telecom Association (“URTA”), on behalf of itself and URTA members, All West Communications, Inc., Bear Lake Communications, Carbon/Emery Telcom, Inc., Central Utah Telephone, Inc., Direct Communications Cedar Valley, Hanksville Telcom, Inc., Manti Telephone Company, Inc. Skyline Telecom, South Central Utah Telephone Association, Inc., UBTA-UBET Communications, Inc., and Union Telephone (“Members”) petitions the Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for intervention in the above-entitled matter pursuant to Utah Code Ann. § 63G-04-207 and Utah Admin. Code R746-100-7. As grounds for such intervention, URTA states that its Members have legal rights or interests that are or may be substantially affected by these proceedings, that there are facts which support this position detailed below, and that URTA requests that it be allowed intervention on behalf of its Members.

In support of this Petition URTA states as follows:

1. URTA is a Utah non-profit corporation. URTA Members, like Emery Telephone (“Emery”), are local exchange carriers providing public interstate and intrastate telecommunications services in Utah pursuant to certificates of public convenience and necessity issued by this Commission. URTA Members’ rates for intrastate service are regulated by this Commission. Additionally, the URTA Members’ eligibility for Utah Universal Service Fund distributions is regulated by this Commission.

2. The URTA Members are concerned that long-established principles regarding the allocation of costs for fiber facilities used to provide interstate and intrastate services as well as the assignment of costs between regulated and non-regulated companies may be subject to *ad hoc* challenge by the Office of Consumers Services. URTA and its Members base this concern on the Testimony previously filed in Docket 15-042-01 by the Office of Consumer Services. Any departure from well settled principles regarding allocation of fiber facilities and the establishment of wholesale broadband rates, are issues that will likely significantly affect each of the URTA Members.

3. The URTA Members are also concerned that the Division and the Office are departing from the general concepts and treatment of group asset depreciation which is an industry standard used by most, if not all, of the URTA Members as permitted by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), USAC, and regulatory accounting principles contained in 47 U.S.C. Part 32. URTA Members base this concern on the Testimony previously filed by the Division and the Office in this Docket. The decisions the Commission makes in this docket may establish a new policy of the Commission, the Division, and the Office for each of the URTA Members’ treatment and use of group asset depreciation and accounting, and will affect the URTA Members accounting procedures, accounting and consulting costs, future rate cases, and

USF eligibility before this Commission. Administratively, departure from group asset depreciation will require URTA Members' to keep another set of books separate and apart from those maintained for FCC/USAC and tax purposes.

4. While the URTA Members believe that issues regarding policy changes such as those identified herein are better reserved for a rule-making proceeding, in the event these issues will be addressed in Emery's UUSF Application, the URTA Members have a significant interest in these matters and this proceeding as their legal rights or interests may be substantially affected by the outcome of issues in this docket.

5. The interests of justice and the orderly and prompt conduct of this proceeding will not be materially impaired by allowing URTA to intervene. URTA is prepared to offer Testimony on these issues on or before the Sur-rebuttal Testimony Deadline of September 11, 2015, pursuant to the Scheduling Order in this case. Additionally, it should be noted that unlike the AT&T Companies that have moved for intervention in this docket, the URTA Members are not interested in the detailed analysis and review of Emery's financial books, records, and the calculations contained in Emery's Application, but rather will focus their inquiry on the larger policy issues. Therefore, the URTA Members do not seek access to the confidential financial records of Emery that were likely provided to the Office of Consumer Services and the Division of Public Utilities in response to data requests.

6. URTA requests that copies of all notices and filings in this docket be served on:

Brett N. Anderson
Kira M. Slawson
Blackburn & Stoll, LC
257 East 200 South, Suite 800
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
Telephone: 801 521-7900
Email: bretta@blackburn-stoll.com

kslawson@blackburn-stoll.com

Please serve notices and filings electronically whenever possible.

NOW THEREFORE, URТА respectfully requests that the Commission enter an Order granting URТА's petition to intervene in this docket allowing URТА and URТА Members to participate to the fullest extent allowed by law.

Dated this 28th day of August, 2015.

BLACKBURN & STOLL, LC

Brett N. Anderson
Kira M. Slawson
Attorneys for Utah Rural Telecom
Association

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the Utah Rural Telecom Association's Motion to Intervene was transmitted electronically (email) on this the 28th day of August, 2015 to the following:

Justin Jetter
Assistant Attorney General
Division of Public Utilities
Jjetter@utah.gov

William Duncan
Division of Public Utilities
wduncan@utah.gov

Robert Moore
Assistant Attorney General
Office of Consumer Services
rmoore@utah.gov

Michele Beck
Danny Martinez
Office Of Consumer Services
mbeck@utah.gov
dannymartinez@utah.gov
