2022 Annual Report of UBTA-UBET Communications, Inc. d/b/a STRATA Networks

DOCKET NO. 23-053-01

ORDER APPROVING 2024 DISTRIBUTION

ISSUED: December 7, 2023

BACKGROUND

On April 17, 2023, UBTA-UBET Communications, Inc. d/b/a STRATA Networks ("STRATA") filed its Annual Report for Calendar Year 2022 ("Report") with the Public Service Commission (PSC). On September 1, 2023, the Division of Public Utilities (DPU) filed its recommendation for the 2024 Utah Universal Public Telecommunications Support Fund ("UUSF") calendar year distribution and on September 6, 2023, the PSC issued a Notice of Filing and Comment Period, seeking comments on DPU's recommendation on or before October 6, 2023. On November 1, 2023, DPU filed its final comments which included final recommended UUSF annual disbursements, consistent with Utah Admin. Code R746-8-401(10)(e).¹ The PSC received no comments or challenges to DPU's final recommendation by the November 15, 2023, deadline under Utah Admin. Code R746-8-401(10)(f).

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Utah Admin. Code R746-8-401 requires DPU to make annual recommendations to the PSC for adjustments to the monthly UUSF distribution to each provider based on an established Federal Communications Commission rate of return and the

¹ See Comments from the Division of Public Utilities, Docket No. 23–999–03 filed November 1, 2023.

provider's most recent financial information reflected in its annual report filed with the PSC. DPU recommends an increase of \$2,328,457.00 to the prior year's UUSF distribution for STRATA (\$3,720,571.00). Specifically, in calculating STRATA's eligibility for the UUSF distribution, DPU reviewed its rate of return, state and federal income taxes, depreciation, federal USF excluded costs, UUSF eligibility, accumulated deferred income taxes, and federal USF assistance. DPU recommends a 2024 UUSF calendar year distribution for STRATA in the amount of \$6,049,028.00, to be distributed in twelve monthly payments of \$504,085.67.

The 2024 UUSF distribution for STRATA is a 62.58% increase from its 2023 distribution. As illustrated in Exhibit A, this increase comprises 16.38% of the increased funding, and 17.13% of the total 2024 UUSF funding for all eligible carriers. In addition, the cumulative effect of the increase in funding for all UUSF necessitated DPU's recommendation to substantially increase the UUSF surcharge from \$0.36 to \$0.71,2 beginning January 2024.3

Based on the Report and on DPU's uncontested recommendation, and there being no comments or reply comments filed, we find and conclude that STRATA is

² See Miscellaneous Correspondence and Reports Regarding Telecommunications Utility Services; 2023, Docket No. 23–999–03, DPU Action Request Response filed September 12, 2023. The DPU initially recommended an increase in the surcharge to \$0.74, but modified its recommendation to \$0.71. Accordingly, the PSC has published a rule amendment in the Utah State Bulletin to increase the surcharge to \$0.71.

³ Consequently, the PSC requests all eligible carriers to closely monitor capital expenditures to ensure they are consistent with the UUSF rules and regulations.

- 3 -

eligible to receive DPU's recommended 2024 UUSF calendar year distribution in the amount of \$6,049,028.00, pursuant to Utah Code Ann. § 54-8b-15.

ORDER

The PSC approves a 2024 UUSF calendar year distribution of \$6,049,028.00 for STRATA, to be disbursed in twelve equal monthly payments in the amount of \$504,085.67.

DATED at Salt Lake City, Utah, December 7, 2023.

/s/ Thad LeVar, Chair

/s/ David R. Clark, Commissioner

CONCURRING STATEMENT OF COMMISSIONER JOHN S. HARVEY, Ph.D.

I concur with the outcome of this docket (for reasons explained below), but not with the finding regarding the reasonableness of the costs incurred by STRATA. Utah Code Ann. § 54-8b-15(4)(a)(ii)¹ requires a finding by the PSC that the claimed incurred costs are reasonable before authorizing reimbursement of those costs. For

¹ (ii) the rate-of-return regulated carrier of last resort's <u>reasonable costs</u>, <u>as determined by the [PSC]</u>, to provide public telecommunications service and wholesale broadband Internet access service are greater than the sum of:

⁽A) the rate-of-return regulated carrier of last resort's revenue from basic residential service considered affordable by the [PSC];

⁽B) the rate-of-return regulated carrier of last resort's regulated revenue derived from providing other public telecommunications service;

⁽C) the rate-of-return regulated carrier of last resort's revenue from rates approved by the Federal Communications Commission for wholesale broadband Internet access service; and

⁽D) the amount the rate-of-return regulated carrier of last resort receives from federal universal service funds. (emphasis added).

me, there is insufficient evidence in the record to support that finding. Accordingly, I cannot affix my name to an order that makes such a finding.

However, I recognize that predictability in regulatory practice is an important consideration and, therefore, in recognition that the DPU and STRATA acted in accordance with recent practice, I do not desire to penalize STRATA by denying some, or all, of the disbursement approved by this order. But on a going-forward basis I want to be clear that the current practices have not produced a record I consider sufficient to reach the finding of reasonableness.

In reading Utah Code Ann. § 54-8b-15, it is clear the public policy goals are both to (1) significantly subsidize the expansion of broadband with funds collected from the general populace of Utah, while simultaneously (2) prohibiting the PSC from any type of rate setting for the broadband services provided by the rate-of-return utility (or its affiliate) in order to avoid the burden of frequent rate case proceedings. However, the statute as written is not a blank check with respect to the reimbursement of costs incurred; it includes the requirement that the PSC find the utility's costs are reasonable.

I wish to be clear in this concurrence that I am not asking for annual rate cases or for the type of full-fledged accounting of all revenues and costs such an approach requires. I acknowledge statutory law prescribes the inputs into the decision-making process and that those inputs are limited as compared to a rate-case type of

approach. I simply desire to establish a process that, in future cases, will allow the DPU to provide the PSC with a set of record evidence that makes a sufficient showing that the claimed incurred costs by the utility in question are reasonable. In my mind that process requires the utility/DPU to demonstrate that:

- 1) The utility has applied for (using its best efforts) available federal programs that could be used to offset some, or all, of the costs associated with meeting the public policy objective of providing its customers with broadband services;
- 2) The utility demonstrates that any incremental level of service (above the federal minimum for broadband service) it has chosen to provision broadband throughout its service territory has been done without incurring additional costs above what would be required to provide the federal minimum level of broadband service, or that such extra costs are either insignificant (compared to the total costs of the project), or that the extra costs can be justified by other public policy considerations. (Note: Fiber to the premise/door/NID provides a faster level of broadband service than is required by the federal standards and provides a faster service than many of the people who are paying most of the subsidies the population on the Wasatch Front and other large urban areas of the state are currently receiving.);
- 3) The utility has conducted a process that demonstrates the design of the network is the least cost design;

- 6 -

4) The utility has chosen the lessor cost option of self-construction versus

contracted construction; and,

5) The rate approved by the Federal Communications Commission for

wholesale broadband Internet access service which is used for reference pricing is for

a comparable level (e.g., speed) of service capability.

CONCLUSION

I find the record is insufficient to reach a finding of reasonableness with respect

to the costs incurred by STRATA. However, I find that the utility and DPU acted

according to the agreed-upon practices in place at the time the DPU recommendation

was made and so I decline to advocate for a denial of the recommended

disbursement. I request that the PSC schedule a technical conference (or series of

technical conferences) very early in 2024 so that parties may discuss the development

of procedures that will result in a sufficient record to support a reasonableness

finding, before the DPU begins its work on next year's UUSF recommendations.

/s/ John S. Harvey, Ph.D., Commissioner

Attest:

/s/ Gary L. Widerburg
PSC Secretary

DW#331155

Exhibit A Summary of 2024 UUSF Disbursements

	Disbursement 2023	Recommended Disbursement 2024	Increase/ (Decrease)	Individual Change	% of Total Increase¹	% of 2024 Allocation
All West Communications, Inc.	\$2,080,193.00	\$3,445,674.00	\$1,365,481.00	65.64%	9.61%	9.76%
Bear Lake Communications d/b/a CentraCom	-	-	-	-	-	-
Beehive Telephone Company, Inc. d/b/a Beehive Broadband	\$1,865,082.00	\$2,398,770.00	\$533,688.00	28.61%	3.76%	6.79%
Carbon/Emery Telcom, Inc.	\$1,752,591.00	\$2,262,786.00	\$510,195.00	29.11%	3.59%	6.41%
Central Utah Telephone d/b/a CentraCom	-	\$42,836.00	\$42,836.00	-	0.30%	0.12%
Citizens Telecommunications Company of Utah	-	\$3,084,978.00	\$3,084,978.00		21.71%	8.74%
Direct Communications Cedar Valley, LLC	\$3,094,626.00	\$2,604,685.00	(\$489,941.00)	-15.83%	-	7.38%
E Fiber Moab, LLC	_	\$1,114,971.00	\$1,114,971.00	-	7.85%	3.16%
E Fiber San Juan, LLC	_	\$1,145,821.00	\$1,145,821.00	-	8.06%	3.24%
Emery Telephone d/b/a Emery Telcom	\$1,700,041.00	\$2,392,909.00	\$692,868.00	40.76%	4.88%	6.78%
Gunnison Telephone Company	\$457,054.00	\$454,946.00	(\$2,108.00)	-0.46%	-	1.29%
Hanksville Telcom, Inc.	\$55,417.00	\$51,194.00	(\$4,223.00)	-7.62%	_	0.14%
Manti Telephone Company, Inc.	\$734,580.00	\$919,431.00	\$184,851.00	25.16%	1.30%	2.60%
Navajo Communications Company, Inc. (Utah) d/b/a Frontier Navajo Communications Company	-	\$749,326.00	\$749,326.00		5.27%	2.12%
Skyline Telecom d/b/a CentraCom	-	_	-	_	-	-
South Central Utah Telephone Association, Inc. d/b/a South Central Communications	\$6,139,926.00	\$8,598,237.00	\$2,458,311.00	40.04%	17.30%	24.35%
UBTA-UBET Communications, Inc. d/b/a STRATA Networks	\$3,720,571.00	\$6,049,028.00	\$2,328,457.00	62.58%	16.38%	17.13%
Union Telephone Company	-	_	-			-
Total	\$21,600,081.00	\$35,315,592.00	\$13,715,511.00	63.50%		

-

¹These values are normalized to sum to 100%. Without normalizing the data, the sum of the percent of total increase in UUSF disbursement equals 103.62%.

- 8 -

Notice of Opportunity for Agency Review or Rehearing

Pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §§ 63G-4-301 and 54-7-15, a party may seek agency review or rehearing of this written order by filing a request for review or rehearing with the PSC within 30 days after the issuance of the order. Responses to a request for agency review or rehearing must be filed within 15 days of the filing of the request for review or rehearing. If the PSC fails to grant a request for review or rehearing within 30 days after the filing of a request for review or rehearing, it is deemed denied. Judicial review of the PSC's final agency action may be obtained by filing a Petition for Review with the Utah Supreme Court within 30 days after final agency action. Any Petition for Review must comply with the requirements of Utah Code Ann. §§ 63G4-401, 63G-4-403, and the Utah Rules of Appellate Procedure.

- 9 -

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I CERTIFY that on December 7, 2023, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served upon the following as indicated below:

By Email:

Bruce H. Todd (btodd@stratanetworks.com)
Jason McKee (jmckee@stratanetworks.com)
UBTA-UBET Communications, Inc. dba Strata Networks

Patricia Schmid (pschmid@agutah.gov)
Patrick Grecu (pgrecu@agutah.gov)
Robert Moore (rmoore@agutah.gov)
Assistant Utah Attorneys General

Madison Galt (mgalt@utah.gov)
Division of Public Utilities

Alyson Anderson (akanderson@utah.gov)
Bela Vastag (bvastag@utah.gov)
Alex Ware (aware@utah.gov)
Jacob Zachary (jzachary@utah.gov)
(ocs@utah.gov)
Office of Consumer Services

Administrative Assistant