

- BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH -

-----  
In the Matter of the 1-800-Reconex                    )  
Application for Decertification                        )  
  )  
  )  
  )  
-----

DOCKET NO. 09-2224-01  
REPORT AND ORDER

ISSUED: August 5, 2009

By The Commission:

This matter is before the Commission on the request of 1-800-Reconex, Inc. (Company) for decertification. The Commission received a letter from the Company’s special counsel, William Braun, on June 4, 2009. The letter stated as follows:

As a result of adverse financial conditions, Reconex has ceased doing business, having no remaining employees, or assets. The assets of Reconex have been returned to leasing companies, or have been liquidated, with the proceeds going to secured creditors. As a result of the amount of secured debt, and the relatively small value of Reconex’s assets, we do not anticipate any further distribution of funds to entities other than these secured creditors, in truth, the value of the assets do not begin to cover the secured debt. Because there are no other assets to protect, we will not defend any lawsuits brought against Reconex and we will simply let Reconex be involuntarily dissolved by the State of Oregon Corporation Commission at the annual renewal time.

*Company Letter of June 4, 2009.*

The Division submitted its recommendation on July 20, 2009. It gave a brief history of the Company’s certification. It also listed its efforts to try and contact a Company representative. It stated it had not had any success reaching the Company. The Division stated that the Company failed to file their Annual Report of Operations and Report of Gross Revenues for 2008, failed to pay its Public Utility Regulation Fee for 2009, nor did it pay any Universal Service Fund surcharges for several months. The Division noted that there was an

interconnection agreement between the Company and Qwest. Additionally, the Division stated that the Company has about 40 customers in Utah. Qwest, however, has stated that it will assist any remaining customers in maintaining service. The Division concluded that the Company is no longer operating, and that its certificate should be canceled.

Based on the letter from the Company, and the Division's recommendation, the Commission finds that the Company's certificate should be canceled.

#### ORDER

Certificate of public convenience and necessity number 2224 is hereby cancelled.

Pursuant to Sections 63G-4-301 and 54-7-15 of the Utah Code, an aggrieved party may request agency review or rehearing of this Order by filing a written request with the Commission within 30 days after the issuance of this Order. Responses to a request for agency review or rehearing must be filed within 15 days of the filing of the request for review or rehearing. If the Commission does not grant a request for review or rehearing within 20 days after the filing of the request, it is deemed denied. Judicial review of the Commission's final agency action may be obtained by filing a petition for review with the Utah Supreme Court within 30 days after final agency action. Any petition for review must comply with the requirements of Sections 63G-4-401 and 63G-4-403 of the Utah Code and the Utah Rules of Appellate Procedure.

DOCKET NO. 09-2224-01

-3-

DATED at Salt Lake City, Utah this 5<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2009.

/s/ Ted Boyer, Chairman

/s/ Ric Campbell, Commissioner

/s/ Ron Allen, Commissioner

Attest:

/s/ Julie Orchard  
Commission Secretary  
G#63007