

Will you please print this, attach it to the docket, please. Thanks. JPO

>>> "Peter Turner" <peter@turnerdesignusa.com> 9/24/2009 8:07 PM >>>

Julie,

Here is a copy you can submit for public record.

Best Regards,

Peter Turner
President, Pineview West Water Company
828 Radford Lane
Eden, Utah 84310
cell 801.675.1711
peter@turnerdesignusa.com

From: Peter Turner [<mailto:peter@turnerdesignusa.com>]
Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2009 6:38 AM
To: 'Mark Long'; 'Shauna'
Cc: Brian Burrows; Velma Reeder
Subject: Pineview West Water Company Hearing Docket

I am quite dismayed to observe the behavior exhibited by the PSC in yesterday's handling of our hearing. It appears that the PSC responds quiet favorably at the request of Nate Brockbank's lawyers but not to our requests. On September 15 I requested a postponement of the September 24 hearing date to accommodate our Treasurer Velma Reeder's schedule and a work schedule conflict I had. We were turned down so Velma and I had to adjust our schedules. Velma Reeder returned early from her trip to Reno to prepare financial documents and make an appearance and I made sufficient schedule changes at work to meet the September 24 date. Earlier this week I made commitments to Julie Orchard and Mark Long to attend the hearing.

We had several other shareholders that normally work who rescheduled their time to attend the hearing to testify as witnesses. I had to try and contact them all at the last minute to change their plans and hope they can reschedule for the next meeting.

Nate's lawyers however got a postponement on the afternoon before the hearing. We were notified by email at 4PM with no other apparent attempt to contact us. This sounds like arbitrary and unfair treatment with favoritism towards the plaintiff. Especially in the light of the fact that they are making allegations against the behavior of the PSC and evidence we have for our position that has already been reviewed by the PSC and presented in prior hearings. We are having to defend ourselves over issues that have already been resolved. They are using the PSC as a means simply to build evidence for a potential civil case or force us into submission.

I want to remind the PSC that we are a shareholder non-profit organization that is staffed by volunteers that have other higher priority activities for our precious time. Nobody makes any money from our efforts. I do not get a salary. I have to work a regular job and take time off on my own dime to come to meetings like this and conduct business. The Developer indirectly makes money off the Water Company by selling land with a water supply. We do not make any money in those efforts or through our rate structure. At best we gain assets but also huge liabilities. By your own statements in hearings a Developer typically subsidizes a Water Company until all lots are sold. So why are we being treated so different? Without a shred of evidence of loan commitments and agreements to repay how can the PSC entertain a claim that the Water Company has a debt to the subsidizing Developer?

I request that the hearing be dropped altogether based on lack of any loan commitment documentation.

I demand that you provide a copy of this to legal counselor Patricia Schmidt, Judge Ruben H. Arredondo, and Commission Secretary Julie Orchard.

Best Regards,

Peter Turner
President, Pineview West Water Company
828 Radford Lane
Eden, Utah 84310
cell 801.675.1711
peter@turnerdesignusa.com