

April 18, 2010

UTAH PUBLIC
SERVICE COMMISSION

**Duane Householder
25 South Meadow Drive
Springville, Utah 84663**

2010 APR 20 P 2:46

274592

RECEIVED

To:
**Ruben H. Arrendondo
Administrative Law Judge
Utah Public Service Commission
160 East 300 South
4th Floor
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111**

**Ted Boyer
Chairman
Public Service Commission of Utah
160 East 300 South
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111**

**Ric Campbell, Commissioner
Public Service Commission of Utah
160 East 300 South
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111**

**Ron Allen, Commissioner
Public Service Commission of Utah
160 East 300 South
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111**

**Julie Orchard
Commission Secretary
Public Service Commission of Utah
160 East 300 South
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111**

**In re: Request to Intervene Pursuant to Utah Code § 63G-4-207
In the Matter of the Request for a Rate Increase
Of Hidden Creek Water Company
DOCKET NO. 09-2440-01
REPORT AND ORDER
ISSUED: February 9, 2010**

Dear Judge Arredondo, Chairman Boyer and Commissioners Campbell and Allen,
I am writing for several reasons, specifically:

1. To formally petition to intervene in this proceeding, pursuant to Utah Code §63G-4-207.
 2. To request that the date of the Technical Conference be rescheduled to a time when I am able to attend.
1. **Petition to intervene in this proceeding, pursuant to Utah Code §63G-4-207.**

I hereby make a motion to intervene.

In the Matter of the Request for a Rate Increase of Hidden Creek Water Company
My wife, and I are customers of the Hidden Creek Water Company, and we depend upon the Company for our domestic water and landscaping water needs. Our rights and interests are directly, and adversely affected by the rate increase.

The proposed increase will quadruple our water bill, an action that will place us in an undue financial hardship.

The relief requested is a reconsideration by the Commission of the proposed rate increase. We note in particular that the Tanner's objection that holding a hearing will place "undue financial hardship on the water company" is a very weak argument, considering that the Company's evident strategy in this matter has been to minimize the likelihood of customer feedback and involvement in the process of requesting this rate increase.

2. Request that the date of the technical hearing be rescheduled.

I also request that the date of the technical hearing be rescheduled to sometime between May 11 and May 31, 2010

After meeting with concerned neighbors several weeks ago, it was evident that a number of customers interested in this matter will not be in town on April 22. It is my understanding that several customers contacted Tracy Tanner and asked her to schedule the conference sometime between May 10 and 31st. In an e-mail to Steve Thompson (a customer), dated April 14, 2010, Tracy Tanner rejected this request.

The Commission has the authority to reschedule the technical conference at a time that is convenient to customers, and if a mutually acceptable date can be found, I hope this will be done.

Thank you for your consideration

Page of 2

