In the Matter Of:

In RE: SDCW - Compliance with Rules and Tariff

HEARING, DOCKET NO. 18-2372-01

July 18, 2019

Job Number: 515423

1 BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH 2 3 Investigation of South) Docket No. Duchesne Culinary Water,) 18-2372-01 Inc.'s Compliance with 4) Applicable Administrative) HEARING Rules and Tariff 5) 6 7 July 18, 2019 8 1:00 p.m. 9 Location: Public Service Commission 10 160 East 300 South, 4th Floor Salt Lake City, UT 84111 (801) 530-6769 11 12 Teri Hansen Cronenwett Reporter: 13 Certified Realtime Reporter, Registered Merit Reporter Job No. 515423 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Page 2 1 A P P E A R A N C E S 2 Presiding Officer: Michael Hammer 3 For the Division of 4 Patricia Schmid Public Utilities: Assistant Attorney General 5 160 E. 300 South, 5th Floor P.O. Box 140857 Salt Lake City, UT 84114-0857 6 (801) 366-0335 7 pschmid@agutah.gov 8 For Duchesne Culinary David J. Crapo 9 Water, Inc.: CRAPO DEEDS 106 West 500 South, Suite 100 10 Bountiful, UT 84010 801-599-4545 11 djcrapo@crapodeeds.com 12 13 14 15 INDEX 16 Witness Page 17 SHAUNA BENVEGNU-SPRINGER 7 18 Direct Examination by Ms. Schmid 19 21 Cross-Examination by Mr. Crapo 20 21 22 23 24 25

Page 3 July 16, 2019 10:02 a.m. 1 2 PROCEEDINGS 3 PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: Let's go on the 4 record please. Good afternoon. This is the time and place noticed for hearing in the Investigation of South 5 Duchesne Culinary Water Inc.'s Compliance with 6 7 Applicable Administrative Rules and tariff. It's Commission Docket No. 18-2372-01. 8 9 My name is Mike Hammer. I'm the commission's designated presiding officer for this docket. Let's go 10 11 ahead and take appearances beginning with the company, 12 please. 13 MR. CRAPO: Good afternoon, your Honor. My 14 name is David Crapo with the law firm of Crapo Deeds, 15 and I am here to represent South Duchesne Culinary 16 Water. 17 PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: Thank you, 18 Mr. Crapo. 19 MS. SCHMID: Patricia Schmid with the Attorney 20 General's office on behalf of the Utah Division of 21 Public Utilities, and with me as the division's witness 2.2 is Shauna Benvegnu-Springer. 23 PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: And Mr. Crapo, are 24 you alone today? Do you have someone on the phone? 25 MR. CRAPO: No, your Honor. I am solo.

Page 4 1 PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: Okay. How would 2 the parties like to begin? Is there interest in having 3 counsel making remarks before there's any testimony? 4 And if so, who would like to go first? 5 MR. CRAPO: Your Honor, do you stay seated 6 or --7 PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: You are welcome to 8 stay seated. 9 MR. CRAPO: Thank you. As you are aware, the Department of Public Utilities submitted a report on May 10 11 30th, 2019, and under your order we are to submit a 12 response on July 1, which we did. 13 South Duchesne has opened their doors to the 14 department, had them come in. They really have no 15 disagreement with the factual findings as contained in 16 the report. We are prepared to stipulate that that report could come in. 17 There are two minor little corrections, one 18 19 little typo and one little correction that we would 20 recommend. On page 12, where it talks about the 21 recommendation, and this is the one past developer and 22 owner charges. In the very last line, it says, "The division recommends the commission order the utility to 23 pay the amount owed." 24 25 I believe that should say, the developer to

| | Page 5 |
|----|---|
| 1 | pay the amount owed, or the developer, slash, Steed to |
| 2 | pay that. So I think that was just a typo. |
| 3 | Then on page 13, where it's the water purity |
| 4 | standard heading, says, "The utility failed to provide |
| 5 | written notice to all the customers when it had the |
| 6 | major break." We don't believe that's correct. They |
| 7 | provided it to the customers. It wasn't to the |
| 8 | director. |
| 9 | So if you look at their at the exhibit that |
| 10 | is attached, under Exhibit 1, which is there is the |
| 11 | letter of April 22nd, 2019, from the Department of |
| 12 | Environmental Quality. And then you turn back a page |
| 13 | further to the notice of violation. And then you turn |
| 14 | to the third page of that violation on paragraph 11. |
| 15 | On the last sentence, it said "the system." |
| 16 | This is where they are saying what they thought the |
| 17 | notice violation was. "The system never provided the |
| 18 | Tier 1 public notice boil order required under the Utah |
| 19 | code as a result of this event to the director." |
| 20 | Just to clarify, when they had the break, |
| 21 | South Duchesne did give no boil notices to everybody. |
| 22 | And they did provide bottled water to everyone who |
| 23 | needed it, and then they hurried and tried to start |
| 24 | fixing it because it broke during the middle of the |
| 25 | night. They did not tell the director of drinking |
| 1 | |

| 1 | Page 6 water, and that's the violation. |
|----|---|
| 2 | So we think with that minor correction on page |
| | |
| 3 | 13, the utility failed to provide written notice to the |
| 4 | director as noted on that, We believe that would be |
| 5 | accurate. Those would be the only two technical |
| 6 | changes. But otherwise, we believe the factual summary |
| 7 | is correct. |
| 8 | We agree to stipulate to the facts of this |
| 9 | report, noting that we have a different interpretation, |
| 10 | whether they are violations or not. But the factual |
| 11 | part we have no disagreement with, and that might |
| 12 | expedite this, and you don't have to have Ms. Springer |
| 13 | spend her time reviewing it. |
| 14 | MS. SCHMID: I think it would be helpful for |
| 15 | Ms. Springer to address a few issues, adopt her report |
| 16 | as her testimony, and during that process we will make |
| 17 | the corrections that you noted. The division would have |
| 18 | no objection, however, to the company proffering its |
| 19 | response filing and that being accepted in lieu of the |
| 20 | testimony of Ms. Steed, if that's acceptable to the |
| 21 | commission. |
| 22 | MR. CRAPO: That's acceptable to us, your |
| 23 | Honor. |
| 24 | PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: That's fine with |
| 25 | me. So shall we proceed, first of all, by accepting the |

Page 7 proffer? 1 2 MR. CRAPO: Yes, your Honor. 3 PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: All right. It is 4 considered admitted. And Ms. Schmid, would you like to call your witness? 5 MS. SCHMID: Yes. I'd like to call Ms. Shauna 6 7 Benvegnu-Springer as the division's witness. May she 8 please be sworn. 9 PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: Mr. Crapo, do you 10 have any objection to Ms. Springer staying seated where 11 she is? 12 MR. CRAPO: No. That's fine, your Honor. 13 PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: Ms. Springer, do you swear to tell the truth? 14 15 THE WITNESS: I do. 16 PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: Go ahead. 17 SHAUNA BENVEGNU-SPRINGER, was called as a witness, and having been first duly 18 sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing 19 but the truth, testified as follows: 20 21 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2.2 BY MS. SCHMID: 23 Α. I just have a couple --Let me -- I need to make my introduction and 24 ο. earn my keep first. Could you please state your full 25

| 1 | Page 8 name, title, employer and business address for the |
|----|---|
| 2 | record. |
| 3 | A. Shauna Benvegnu-Springer. Shauna |
| 4 | Benvegnu-Springer. I work for the Division of Public |
| 5 | Utilities located at 160 East 300 South. |
| 6 | Q. And your title? |
| 7 | A. My title is utility technical consultant. |
| 8 | Q. On behalf of the division, did you participate |
| 9 | in this docket? |
| 10 | A. I did. |
| 11 | Q. Did you personally participate in the audit |
| 12 | and go to the company's facilities? |
| 13 | A. I did. |
| 14 | Q. Did you prepare or cause to be prepared and |
| 15 | filed the division's memo in this docket? |
| 16 | A. I did. |
| 17 | Q. Are which was done on May 30th, 2019? |
| 18 | A. Correct. |
| 19 | Q. Do you have any changes or corrections to |
| 20 | that? |
| 21 | A. I do. On page 12, under violation 5, in the |
| 22 | calculation it shows that there is 110 lots for standby |
| 23 | fees that were not billed. That should be 125 lots. I |
| 24 | picked up the 110 from above, which is actually the |
| 25 | water customer count. Therefore, the calculation should |

| 1 | be \$146,2 | Page 9 |
|----|------------|---|
| 2 | Q. | Could you please repeat that slower. |
| 3 | Α. | Okay. |
| 4 | Q. | Just the number. |
| 5 | Α. | The total number? |
| 6 | Q. | The dollar figure. |
| 7 | Α. | 100 1000 100,046, 250 dollars. |
| 8 | Q. | Thank you. |
| 9 | | PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: For clarification, |
| 10 | 146,250. | |
| 11 | | THE WITNESS: 250. |
| 12 | | PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: Okay, thank you. |
| 13 | Α. | Going then down to the recommendation, on the |
| 14 | first lin | e, the 128,700 should read 146, comma, 250 |
| 15 | thousand | dollars. |
| 16 | Q. | (By Ms. Schmid) 250 dollars? |
| 17 | Α. | 250 dollars. 146, comma, 250. |
| 18 | Q. | Okay. |
| 19 | Α. | Same amount as what I stated above. |
| 20 | Q. | Do you have any other corrections, including |
| 21 | the ones | that the company's counsel pointed out? |
| 22 | Α. | The first one let's see. Where was it? |
| 23 | Q. | I think that was violation No |
| 24 | Α. | Page 12. |
| 25 | Q. | 5 on page 12. |

Page 10 1 Α. Yeah. Where they want to change it, the 2 order -- order the utility to pay, change it from the utility to the Steeds. That's -- that should be 3 4 corrected. MR. CRAPO: It would be developer, slash, 5 6 Steeds. Developer, slash, Steeds. Okay. 7 Α. (By Ms. Schmid) And then do you have any --8 0. 9 Α. Regarding the priority water standard 10 violation, it was my understanding that the utility only provided notice to those residents currently living in 11 12 the subdivision, and they did not provide written notice 13 to all customers. 14 0. And so you believe what you have written is 15 correct? 16 Is correct, uh-huh. Α. And you believe that it is a violation as you 17 ο. 18 recommended? 19 Α. Correct. 20 Okay. With that, have you had a chance to Q. 21 update any of the figures? 2.2 MS. SCHMID: And at this point the division would like to move for the admission of DPU Hearing 23 Exhibit 1, and what it is is, it is a calculation of the 24 charges owed by the developer, slash, Steeds that was 25

Page 11 1 just provided to company counsel this morning -- or this 2 afternoon, however.

A. The -- when the recommendation was made to the commission, we did not calculate the interest on the amounts that were owed. So this exhibit provides those calculations on both the water charges and the standby fee charges that would be owed by the developer, slash, Steeds.

9 So as you go to five, point 5, the \$7,320 is 10 the normal \$40 charge per month for water. The 366 11 thousand dollar charge -- \$366 charge, would be the 2 12 dollar for anything over 10,000 water -- 10,000 gallons 13 per month.

Q. (By Ms. Schmid) So an overage usage charge?
A. Correct. And anything then over the 12,000
that they are only able to use is at 2,000 -- two
dollars per thousand, and so that amount is averaged
over the 183 month period that this would be due.

19 Now, there is a question on whether we started 20 this calculation based on their tariff, and so we 21 started on September 1st, 2003. That date could change 22 because we don't know when exactly that they took 23 occupancy of that cabin. The September 2003 date is the 24 date that they had the tariff approved, so that day 25 could change, therefore changing the numbers if they

Page 12 have information to counter that. 1 2 Q. Turning now to the second part, which is the standby charges, can you explain that? 3 4 Α. The standby charges for all of the lots, I think it's on page 7. 5 Uh-huh. 6 ο. So the Steed, slash, developer owned 125 lots, 7 Α. and in the tariff it does state that there's a \$15 8 9 charge that's to be paid by any lot owner for access to 10 the water system, even though they are not receiving 11 water. So based on the way they are supposed to 12 calculate the interest, they would be charged the same 13 interest as if someone who didn't pay the standby fees as a customer. Because the tariff states that all lots 14 15 that have access to the infrastructure would be charged 16 the standby fee. 17 So going over to the total, there would be 18 146,852 dollars and 19 cents in interest on the 146,000 250,000. 19 20 And then the total would be? ο. 21 Α. Total would be 311,102 dollars and 19 cents. 2.2 MS. SCHMID: With that explanation and foundation, the division would like to move for the 23 24 admission of DPU hearing Exhibit 1. 25 MR. CRAPO: We would object to the admission

Page 13 of the exhibit, your Honor. The exhibit was not timely 1 2 provided to us. It was provided just a minute or two 3 before this hearing began. We also believe there's 4 potentially significant errors in this exhibit. The cabin was not completed under our understanding in 2003. 5 We would have to verify when that cabin was done with 6 7 the Steeds. This was also a phased project, and projects 8 were platted and phased at different times, and we would 9 have to verify which of those 110 lots were actually 10 11 platted and available at that point. And we do not have 12 a spreadsheet to verify the calculations and to be able 13 to see if the sales and the calculations are consistent with the tariff. 14 15 And to provide this exhibit only a minute before the hearing when they were ordered to give this 16 30 days ahead, and at least for hearings a 10 day notice 17 of exhibits, we believe is inappropriate to admit this 18 as an exhibit at this point. We also reserve argument 19 20 as to explain why we do not believe this is a violation 21 anyway. But we ask that this exhibit not be allowed to 2.2 be submitted. PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: Ms. Schmid? 23 24 MS. SCHMID: Yes. I am unfamiliar with the 30 25 day and 10 day requirement prior to hearing. I don't

| 1 | Page 14 know if that is a rule of Utah civil procedure. I don't |
|----|--|
| 2 | believe it was ordered in this case. |
| 3 | The division does apologize for the tardy |
| 4 | provision of this and would not object to this being |
| 5 | admitted, providing the spreadsheets to the company, and |
| 6 | giving the company a reasonable amount of time to file a |
| 7 | response to this exhibit, if the record could be held |
| 8 | open for that, if that would be acceptable to the |
| 9 | company's counsel and to the commission. |
| 10 | MR. CRAPO: It's not acceptable, your Honor. |
| 11 | The order that you issued on December 11th provided that |
| 12 | the DPU should present its report and its evidence on |
| 13 | May 30th, 2019, and that we would be allowed 30 days |
| 14 | until July 1 to respond. This information is untimely |
| 15 | and should not be allowed at this hearing. |
| 16 | If this commission determines that there is a |
| 17 | violation for water not charged for the Steed cabin or |
| 18 | for the undeveloped lots that were owned, if that is |
| 19 | determined, we would be willing to take this exhibit, |
| 20 | review it, try to find out the correct dates for when |
| 21 | lots came into existence, when the cabin came into |
| 22 | existence, and to verify the spreadsheet. |
| 23 | We believe it would be premature to be able to |
| 24 | do that at this point and would ask that it not be |
| 25 | accepted. |
| | |

Page 15 MS. SCHMID: And if I may, I apologize. 1 Ι 2 forget about the December order and the timelines 3 established by that. I was not trying to mislead. Ι 4 had merely forgotten. 5 PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: I am going to 6 sustain the objection with respect to the admission of 7 the exhibit. Ms. Springer may testify as to her beliefs 8 as to whether or not interest charges are appropriate 9 and whether those -- whether the DPU's report failed to include them. 10 11 MS. SCHMID: Thank you. 12 Q. (By Ms. Schmid) Ms. Springer, would you like 13 to address the question that the hearing officer invited 14 you to address? 15 Yes, I would. In the report -- I am just Α. 16 looking for it right here -- we did state that interest was not calculated on the amount. But I am not seeing 17 18 where it says that. 19 MS. SCHMID: Could we have a brief recess? 20 PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: Any objection, 21 Mr. Crapo? 2.2 MR. CRAPO: If you would like, I can point out 23 where that paragraph is, if it would help Ms. Springer. On the bottom of page 12, at the recommendation, the 24 25 last sentence says, "The division did not calculate the

Page 16 late charges that would have accrued on these amounts --1 2 or accounts. The division recommends the commission 3 order" -- now change -- "the developer, slash, Steeds to 4 pay the amount owed." 5 I believe that's the section you are looking for, is it not? 6 7 THE WITNESS: That's correct, yes. 8 PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: Do you still wish 9 to take a recess, Ms. Schmid? 10 THE WITNESS: No, that's fine. 11 MS. SCHMID: No. Thank you. 12 0. (By Ms. Schmid) And what is the amount that 13 the division said the now corrected developer, owner 14 owed the utility as stated in your recommendation? 15 MR. CRAPO: Objection. Your Honor, they are 16 merely trying to put in by evidence, by oral testimony what they failed to give us. The statement stated that 17 they did not calculate those charges. When we read 18 19 this, we assumed they are not going to impose interest. 20 They have decided not to. 21 And now at the very beginning of this hearing, 22 presented this exhibit, which has not been allowed, and 23 now they are orally trying to give the words that are contained in the exhibit. We believe that is 24 25 inappropriate and it should not be allowed to change

Page 17 1 that position at this late date. 2 MS. SCHMID: With that, I would like to 3 request a recess for a few moments. 4 PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: We'll recess for 10 We'll reconvene at 1:35. 5 minutes. 6 MS. SCHMID: Thank you. 7 (Recess from 1:21 to 1:25 p.m.) MS. SCHMID: Thank you. I have a few more 8 9 questions for Ms. Benvegnu-Springer. (By Ms. Schmid) Turning to violation No. 5 10 0. 11 where you recently corrected the 110 lots to 125 and 12 noted the base figure then becomes 146,250, did I 13 summarize that correctly? 14 Α. Yes. That's correct. 15 And the 146,250 was increased from the 128,700 Q. merely because of the number of lots? 16 17 Α. Correct. There is no interest in that 146,250; is that 18 0. 19 correct? 20 Α. That's correct. 21 MS. SCHMID: With that correction and 2.2 explanation, the division would like to move for the 23 admission of the DPU memorandum dated May 30th, 2019. 24 MR. CRAPO: Your Honor, we believe there still 25 may be one correction, if I might be able to ask a few

| | D 10 |
|----|---|
| 1 | Page 18 questions or cross-examination questions |
| 2 | MS. SCHMID: Of course. |
| 3 | MR. CRAPO: before the admission occurs. |
| 4 | Then maybe that would resolve any objection that we |
| 5 | would have. |
| 6 | PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: That's fine. Do |
| 7 | you have any additional direct questions, Ms. Schmid, |
| 8 | before we move to that? |
| 9 | MS. SCHMID: Actually I do. Just a few. |
| 10 | Q. (By Ms. Schmid) Ms. Benvegnu-Springer, are |
| 11 | you familiar with the dockets that are somewhat related |
| 12 | to this, the Olsen and Housekeeper complaints, Docket |
| 13 | No. 17-23-7201 and 17-23-7202? |
| 14 | A. I am. |
| 15 | Q. Are you familiar with the order, the |
| 16 | consolidated order on petition for rehearing that |
| 17 | suspended 70 percent of the fine originally included in |
| 18 | those dockets? |
| 19 | A. I am aware. |
| 20 | Q. Would you accept, subject to check, that the |
| 21 | amount suspended was \$14,175? |
| 22 | A. That's correct. |
| 23 | MR. CRAPO: Your Honor, if I might, I |
| 24 | apologize for interrupting. I might object to this line |
| 25 | of questioning. The document is an official record of |
| 1 | |

| 1 | Page 19 this commission, and it speaks for itself. Ms. Springer |
|----|--|
| 2 | did not participate in that proceeding. |
| 3 | The amounts are identified on the page. You |
| 4 | have them. I don't believe it's necessary for a witness |
| 5 | who was not there to read or to attest that that is a |
| 6 | truthful document. It is already accepted in the |
| 7 | record. |
| 8 | PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: Well, I intended to |
| 9 | ask the DPU as to what its position was with respect to |
| 10 | the suspended portion of the penalty anyway, and I think |
| 11 | we're just getting there. |
| 12 | MS. SCHMID: I am just laying opportunity |
| 13 | foundation for my next question for |
| 14 | Ms. Benvegnu-Springer. |
| 15 | MR. CRAPO: We are fine without that |
| 16 | foundation. If they would like to ask what their |
| 17 | position is, we wouldn't object to that. |
| 18 | PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: Overruled. |
| 19 | MS. SCHMID: I think that |
| 20 | PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: Overruled. You can |
| 21 | proceed. |
| 22 | MS. SCHMID: Thank you. |
| 23 | Q. (By Ms. Schmid) Miss Benvegnu-Springer, is it |
| 24 | the division's position that the order that your |
| 25 | audit found violations of statute, rule or tariff, that |

Page 20 1 within the three years following the April 3rd, 2018, 2 order? I am aware of the suspension of the 3 Α. Yeah. 4 fees related to those two complaints. And so it is clearly your testimony that 5 0. violations of statute, rule or tariff are presented in 6 7 your document and your -- supplemented by your testimony today; is that correct? 8 Just to expand a little bit, the issues 9 Α. Yes. that I found are somewhat different, with exception of 10 11 the late charge fee that's been assessed over the years. 12 That's the only violation from prior that still exists. 13 ο. But --14 Α. Besides the past-due developer charges. 15 But if we refer back to the order, and I will ο. just ask the commission to take note of this order, in 16 which it said any violation of a tariff, of a statute, 17 rule or tariff. It didn't specifically limit 18 19 reinstating the suspended amount to the fact that they had to be directly tied to the Housekeeper or Olsen 20 21 violations. 2.2 MS. SCHMID: It is my argument, since we are 23 sort of intermingling argument and testimony, that because of what the division found, it is appropriate 24 for the commission to reinstate the \$175,000 that was 25

Page 21 1 suspended. 2 PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: Well, I'll give 3 each of you an opportunity. 4 MS. SCHMID: Okav. PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: Each of counsel an 5 6 opportunity to state something additional at the end. Do you have any additional questions? 7 MS. SCHMID: Other than to ask that -- just to 8 9 ensure that the division's memorandum was accepted as an exhibit. 10 11 PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: I think Mr. Crapo 12 had a few questions, and perhaps we can resolve his 13 objection. If not, then I'll rule on it. Go to you, 14 Mr. Crapo. Do you have any cross-examination questions 15 for Ms. Springer? MR. CRAPO: Yes, I do, your Honor. Thank you. 16 17 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. CRAPO: 18 19 Q. Ms. Springer, good afternoon. 20 Good afternoon. Α. 21 0. Can't see her there. I'd like to have you 22 turn to page 13. 23 MS. SCHMID: I'm sorry. Could you please 24 speak up a little bit. (By Mr. Crapo) I'd like to have you turn to 25 Q.

Page 22 1 page 13 please. 2 Α. Okay. Of your memorandum dated May 30th, 2019. Do 3 0. 4 you have that? 5 Α. I do. 6 ο. I believe the only area that we have a disagreement about was the water purity standard at the 7 bottom of that page where it says, "The utility failed 8 to provide notice to all customers." I believe we 9 recommended it would be to the director, and your belief 10 11 it was to all customers, correct? 12 Α. Correct. 13 Could I have you turn to the Exhibit 1 to your 0. 14 memorandum, and behind the transmittal letter of that exhibit from the Department of Environmental Quality is 15 a notice of violation, administrative order. Do you see 16 17 that? 18 Α. I do. 19 Q. And could you turn to page 3 of that order? 20 Α. Okay. 21 And you see in paragraph 11. And could you 0. 22 read the last sentence of that paragraph, please. 23 Α. The last sentence? 24 ο. The last sentence. The system never provided. Okay. "The system never provided the Tier 1 25 Α.

Page 23 public notice boil -- slash, boil order required by Utah 1 2 code annotated R309-220-5 as a result of this event to the director as required." 3 4 ο. Okay. 5 Α. "By law." 6 Q. The statement that you are making in your report, that there was a failure of notice, is based 7 8 upon the Department of Environmental Quality's proceeding; is that correct? 9 10 Right. It's based upon the fact that they Α. sent a letter not approving the system for use to the 11 12 public. 13 ο. Right. There were two violations alleged in 14 that. One was the failure to give the director notice, and the other was the pressure item, correct? 15 16 Α. Correct. 17 On a couple of cabins? Q. 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. This No. 11 applies to the notice, correct? 20 Α. Correct. 21 And doesn't it say here that the failure was 0. 22 to give notice to the director? Does it not? 23 Α. It does. And under the code section there, Utah 24 0. annotated code or code annotated, or Utah administrative 25

Page 24 code and the rule 309-220-5, are you familiar with that 1 2 notice provision? 3 I am for -- I am somewhat aware. Α. 4 ο. And doesn't the notice provision require that 5 you give notice to those that are actually receiving water that could need to be boiled? 6 7 Α. It --I would object to the question on 8 MS. SCHMID: 9 that. The administrative rule speaks for itself. 10 (By Mr. Crapo) Are you aware, did South 0. 11 Duchesne --12 PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: Overruled. You can 13 answer the question. 14 MR. CRAPO: Thank you. 15 (By Mr. Crapo) Do you know? Q. 16 I am sorry. What? Α. 17 Do you know if this code section requires that ο. the notice be given to those who are receiving water so 18 19 that they can boil it? 20 It's my understanding that that is what the Α. 21 notice is, is they are supposed to provide notice to all 2.2 customers of the boil order. 23 0. Uh-huh. All customers that are receiving water so they can boil it? 24 25 All customers who have access to water, Α.

Page 25 1 correct. 2 Q. Right. So if there's a lot that does not have a connection with any water yet, a standby fee, would 3 4 there be any water to boil? 5 Α. No. 6 Q. Okay. And so those -- those customers would not even 7 Α. be part of the notice. It's those cust -- the 110 8 9 customers that are receiving water. MS. SCHMID: 10 125?11 THE WITNESS: 110. 12 MS. SCHMID: 110. 13 That are receiving water that would need to Α. receive the notice, whether they are on-site or not 14 on-site. It's my understanding that the company did 15 provide water to all of the ones who they knew were 16 living in their residence. 17 (By Mr. Crapo) And provided a notice on the 18 0. 19 door of every single cabin, correct? 20 Correct. That's correct. Α. 21 Whether they were present or not? 0. 2.2 Α. Provide -- well, it's my understanding that 23 they just did it to those that they knew were living They didn't send it to all of the ones that 24 there. 25 don't live there.

Page 26 Are you aware of any document where the 1 0. 2 Department of Drinking Water or Environmental Quality found that South Duchesne did not properly provide 3 notice to its owners other than the director? 4 Just from conversation that I have had with 5 Α. the staff. 6 7 0. Okay. Thank you. MR. CRAPO: Your Honor, we don't have any 8 9 other questions in that regard. We believe it's 10 accurate to state what the Department of Environmental 11 Quality found, is that it was only to the director. Our 12 proffer is that notice was given to all who needed to 13 boil the water, and the Department of Environmental Ouality found under that statute that it was acceptable 14 other than to the director. 15 16 MS. SCHMID: And at this point I would like to 17 object to the proffer. What I agreed to was that the -was that we would not object to the company's response 18 being in. And he has not moved for -- and that is in, 19 20 and I think it speaks for itself. 21 PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: So for clarity, 22 yes, that's correct. My understanding of the proceeding 23 is that the company proffered its response to the report, which was dated the first of July. It was 24 25 admitted. There was no objection.

| 1 | Page 27 With respect to the admission pardon me, |
|----|--|
| 2 | the division's report, which isn't oh, I'm sorry, |
| 3 | dated May 30th, 2019, Ms. Schmid has moved the |
| 4 | admission. I would note that the parties don't need to |
| 5 | agree whether all the contents are accurate for it to be |
| 6 | admitted. The company's objections to veracity of |
| 7 | numerous statements are noted, but we can admit it, and |
| 8 | we'll consider it admitted at this time. |
| 9 | MR. CRAPO: Thank you, your Honor. |
| 10 | PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: Do you have any |
| 11 | additional questions, Mr. Crapo? |
| 12 | MR. CRAPO: No, Your Honor. |
| 13 | MS. SCHMID: The division has nothing further |
| 14 | at this point. |
| 15 | PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: Let me ask you, |
| 16 | Ms. Schmid, and you can answer this or your witness can. |
| 17 | So it's the division's position that the suspended |
| 18 | portion of the penalty from the prior proceeding should |
| 19 | be reinstated in full? |
| 20 | MS. SCHMID: That is the division's position. |
| 21 | Yes. |
| 22 | PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: Does the division |
| 23 | has a recommendation as to whether any penalty is |
| 24 | appropriate with respect to the any new violations |
| 25 | alleged to have existed today and if so, the amount? |
| 1 | |

Page 28 1 MS. SCHMID: I would request that my witness 2 be able to address that. 3 PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: Ms. Springer, do 4 you have a recommendation? THE WITNESS: We believe that there should be 5 6 a fee penalty, particularly for the water purity 7 standard. And in the past the \$500 per day was small in comparison to what the violations are. Yet \$2,000 a day 8 is considerably a lot for a small water company. So at 9 this point, we would --10 11 MS. SCHMID: And if I may just ask her one 12 clarifying question. In addition to the water purity 13 standard, the division found that there were other violations as well? 14 15 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 16 MS. SCHMID: So any recommended penalty related to this docket specifically would not merely 17 18 address the water purity standard? THE WITNESS: Right. It would address all six 19 20 of the violations that we mentioned. 21 MS. SCHMID: Would the division recommend a 2.2 small penalty for these, the violations in this docket? THE WITNESS: Definitely it would be the 23 24 minimum. We would -- that we would recommend, of the \$500 per day. But we would leave that to the commission 25

Page 29 to determine. 1 2 PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: All right. Mr. Crapo, do you have any follow-up questions given 3 4 that I asked the witness a question? MR. CRAPO: No, just argument and position, 5 6 your Honor. 7 PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: All right. Ιf there are no objections from the parties, I'll allow 8 9 Mr. Crapo to argue first. Ms. Schmid, you will have an 10 opportunity to respond and then give Mr. Crapo the final 11 word. Mr. Crapo, go ahead. 12 MR. CRAPO: Thank you, your Honor. I think I would like to begin by going back to the October 30th 13 14 memorandum or report that was prepared by the DPU. In 15 the conclusion page, page 5 --MS. SCHMID: Pardon me. The October? 16 17 MR. CRAPO: Yes, the first report that DPU did. 18 19 MS. SCHMID: Okay. 20 MR. CRAPO: There have been two reports, your 21 Is it okay if I say DPU or --Honor. 2.2 PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: That's fine. 23 MR. CRAPO: Thank you. The DPU has prepared two reports, one dated October 30th, 2018, and one May 24 30th, 2019. In the November 30th, 2018 report, the 25

| | Page 30 |
|----|--|
| 1 | conclusion I am starting on page 5, the |
| 2 | recommendations at the end is, one and it's a bullet |
| 3 | point. Don't have ones. But a bullet. |
| 4 | Providing documentation and the calculation of |
| 5 | late charges. So they are saying South Duchesne should |
| 6 | provide those calculations. I will say we believe those |
| 7 | have been provided, and Mr Mr. Schnars also provided |
| 8 | a response on November of 2018 that explained more about |
| 9 | that. So we think that issue had been resolved at that |
| 10 | point. |
| 11 | The numbers the second bullet is learning |
| 12 | QuickBooks and how to access reports. In the May 30th |
| 13 | report, Ms. Springer found that that, the QuickBooks |
| 14 | experience, understanding was satisfactory. So that has |
| 15 | been resolved. |
| 16 | No. 3 was billing of standby fees on developer |
| 17 | owned lots in the Steeds cabin. That was really the |
| 18 | first time this had been raised was back at that point. |
| 19 | And at that point, you will recall that the Steeds did |
| 20 | hold an auction, and they sold off everything that they |
| 21 | could. |
| 22 | And all the property was then moved on to |
| 23 | either a standby or to at least my understanding, as |
| 24 | reported in the report, was moved to a standby or to |
| 25 | eventually a water connection. So we believe that has |
| | |

| | Page 31 |
|----|--|
| 1 | been resolved as of the first of the year. |
| 2 | The fourth one is the monthly reading, monthly |
| 3 | meter reading as required by the tariff. And I'll talk |
| 4 | about that in a minute. But they do read monthly unless |
| 5 | the snow is so deep that they can't open the meter box |
| 6 | on the ground. |
| 7 | And then No. 4, the filing of the 2017 report |
| 8 | and the 2017, '18 and '19 reports have all been filed. |
| 9 | So we believe all those items from the October report |
| 10 | have been remedied, taken care of and that there's no |
| 11 | violation in regard to any of those. |
| 12 | Now I will move forward to the additional |
| 13 | review, visit and the report that was prepared by the |
| 14 | DPU in the May 30th, 2019. |
| 15 | The May 30th report alleges six violations of |
| 16 | either a rule of the Public Service Commission or of a |
| 17 | tariff provision for South Duchesne Culinary Water. As |
| 18 | I noted in South Duchesne's response, we don't disagree |
| 19 | with the factual basis as reported. We just disagree |
| 20 | with the interpretation. And we do not believe that |
| 21 | there is a single violation. |
| 22 | Therefore, our recommendation ultimately is |
| 23 | that the penalty, the deferred amount from the original |
| 24 | order of the 14,000 does not need to be reimposed. Nor |
| 25 | do we believe that additional penalty should be imposed. |
| | |

Page 32 1 But let me take those one by one. 2 I am looking at -- if you would like to follow 3 along in some of our discussion, your Honor, you are welcome to do so. I am looking at my response, and item 4 5 No. 1 is the unapproved fee. The assertion was, by the DPU, that South 6 7 Duchesne was charging some unauthorized fee. South Duchesne is authorized to charge a \$15 standby fee for 8 9 undeveloped lots and a \$40 minimum fee for those lots 10 receiving. We have never charged anything different 11 than that. 12 In the audit it was discovered that one 13 account had a billing amount of \$55. That was an owner, an elderly couple, as noted in the report of 14 Ms. Springer, who has a cabin lot and an undeveloped 15 They own both. They are immediately adjacent, and 16 lot. they asked the water company, "Could you just send us 17 one bill for a \$55, 15 and 40?" 18 19 South Duchesne accommodated and sent a \$55 20 That is not an unauthorized fee. It's a \$15 billing. 21 fee and a 40 fee. That's the authorized fee, so there's 2.2 no unauthorized fee there. The question is the billing 23 appropriateness. We think this is a minor issue. 24 When Ms. Springer saw it, she said, "You 25 really should send them two invoices or somehow break it

| | Page 33 |
|----|---|
| 1 | out." South Duchesne said, "Fine. If you believe |
| 2 | that's what we need to do, let's fix it now." |
| 3 | Ms. Chris Koford, the accountant bookkeeper |
| 4 | for the company, with Ms. Springer present, clicked the |
| 5 | box in QuickBooks to separate the invoices, and since |
| 6 | May it's been two separate billings. We don't believe |
| 7 | that's a violation, nor do we believe it merits a |
| 8 | penalty. |
| 9 | No. 2, delinquent accounts. There are a |
| 10 | number of delinquent accounts on \$15 standby fee, |
| 11 | primarily people who have bought the lots, have not |
| 12 | built cabins, and they just don't want to pay the \$15 |
| 13 | fee. And they are saying, I am not going to pay it. |
| 14 | MS. SCHMID: Objection. These are facts not |
| 15 | in evidence, and so I object to their use in argument. |
| 16 | MR. CRAPO: Your Honor, I am only merely |
| 17 | stating what is in Ms. Springer's report. Maybe I have |
| 18 | added a few of my interpretations of those, and I |
| 19 | apologize. I'll try to be more careful. |
| 20 | PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: The commission |
| 21 | understands it's receiving argument. Mr. Crapo can |
| 22 | proceed. |
| 23 | MR. CRAPO: And I note that I am trying to |
| 24 | stay to the report. Ms. Springer noted that these |
| 25 | accounts are primarily the standby accounts. And so |
| | |

| 1 | Page 34 those have some of those haven't been paid. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Ms. Springer properly noted that, hey, you |
| 3 | have got a lot of delinquent accounts. There are, and |
| 4 | South Duchesne is attempting to collect those and is |
| 5 | using their best efforts to do so. And they are making |
| 6 | efforts to do so. |
| 7 | I am unaware of any violation or any provision |
| 8 | within the administrative code or the statute that says |
| 9 | a water company cannot have a delinquent account. If a |
| 10 | water company or even an electric company or even a gas |
| 11 | company had a delinquent account and they are penalized |
| 12 | because someone does not pay their bill, that seems |
| 13 | pretty arbitrary and capricious. |
| 14 | Now, Ms. Springer does cite administrative |
| 15 | rule 74-200-7. As you're aware, that is the provision |
| 16 | that deals with terminating service for someone who has |
| 17 | water service. And there is a lengthy let me just |
| 18 | pull that up. |
| 19 | The provision is titled Termination of |
| 20 | Service, and there is a lengthy set of provisions that a |
| 21 | water company or another utility must follow in order to |
| 22 | terminate the water service. If you have a medical |
| 23 | reason, you can't terminate. You have to give notice. |
| 24 | You have to try to negotiate the fee, reduce the fee and |
| 25 | negotiate or enter into a deferred payment or plan. So |
| | |

| | Page 35 |
|----|--|
| 1 | it all deals with termination. |
| 2 | South Duchesne has not attempted to terminate |
| 3 | service for anyone receiving water, and on a standby |
| 4 | fees, they haven't attempted to terminate service. And |
| 5 | what I have noted uniquely is, there's no service to |
| б | terminate. You couldn't go to somebody who has |
| 7 | undeveloped lot and say, "I am going to turn off the |
| 8 | water that you don't have yet." There is no connection. |
| 9 | So there's no reason for the company to give a |
| 10 | termination notice. They have merely tried to contact |
| 11 | these people, explain the tariff, explain how it's |
| 12 | proceeding, and try to collect the appropriate amount. |
| 13 | And as noted, they are making headway. But there is no |
| 14 | violation of any section that we are aware of. So we |
| 15 | believe that should be a nonissue. |
| 16 | No. 3, the meter readings. As you have heard |
| 17 | before, the meters this is a recreational area in |
| 18 | Duchesne, and they are cabin lots. The meters are |
| 19 | buried about a foot to three feet deep into the earth |
| 20 | with a plate over the top. When the snow is very deep, |
| 21 | it's physically impossible for Mr. Schnars and his staff |
| 22 | to go out, remove all the snow, dig down and be able to |
| 23 | read every meter. |
| 24 | Since the last proceeding, their staff has |
| 25 | read the meters monthly, every month that they can. |

| 1 | Page 36 When the snow is too deep, they don't. And we |
|----|--|
| | |
| 2 | acknowledge that the tariff says that you should read |
| 3 | them monthly, and we are willing to amend the tariff to |
| 4 | change that to be maybe more consistent with the rule |
| 5 | that says you read monthly unless the weather is bad, or |
| 6 | change it to bimonthly or not during the winter months |
| 7 | and then make adjustments. |
| 8 | We're willing to do that. We had wanted to |
| 9 | wait until all of these issues have been gathered |
| 10 | together and make one tariff recommendation rather than |
| 11 | do piecemeal tariff amendments. |
| 12 | I did cite for you, your Honor, the |
| 13 | administrative rules R746-200-4, which deals with meter |
| 14 | reading, which is the rule of this commission, which |
| 15 | says that when weather conditions prevent regular meter |
| 16 | readings, the utility will make arrangements from the |
| 17 | customer, get meter readings at acceptable intervals. |
| 18 | That's exactly what they have done. They have |
| 19 | read them when there is no snow. As soon as the snow |
| 20 | melts, they go out and make arrangements with the owner. |
| 21 | They read it. If there is any adjustment, they make the |
| 22 | adjustment. Candidly, most of them stay under the |
| 23 | minimum of 10,000 gallons and no adjustment is needed. |
| 24 | There are a few occasionally, but rarely. |
| 25 | We do not what can I say? Technically that |
| | |

| 1 | Page 37 may be a violation of the language of the tariff that |
|----|--|
| 2 | says you should read every month, but we believe with |
| 3 | the spirit of the law and with the actual commission |
| 4 | rule, which we believe to take precedent over that |
| 5 | provision of our tariff, that allows us the leeway of |
| 6 | bad weather. We do not believe it's a violation. |
| 7 | No. 4, late charges. As you will note I'll |
| 8 | go back in the history a little bit, your Honor. As you |
| 9 | are aware, this whole matter started with the allegation |
| 10 | of an improper late fee by South Duchesne on Ms. Olsen's |
| 11 | bill. Slapped a 10 late fee on it. The argument was, |
| 12 | there is no provision for that. And this commission |
| 13 | found there was no provision for a 10 dollar flat fee in |
| 14 | the tariff. It needed to be a 1.5 percent interest fee. |
| 15 | And so after that original proceeding, South |
| 16 | Duchesne went and met with its accountant and staff, and |
| 17 | they took their QuickBooks. And they input and went to |
| 18 | the tariff paragraph 13 and input the formula into the |
| 19 | tariff which puts a 1.5 percent fee, late fee if you pay |
| 20 | late after the first 30 days. That was properly put |
| 21 | into the QuickBooks. |
| 22 | If you will look at the Ms. Springer's |
| 23 | memorandum from the division, on page 9. When South |
| 24 | Duchesne put the formula into QuickBooks, the tariff |
| 25 | says that you can impose the penal impose the late |

| | Page 38 |
|----|--|
| 1 | fee on "the outstanding balance" are the words. |
| 2 | Because South Duchesne was worried and did not |
| 3 | want to receive another penalty, they interpreted that |
| 4 | and said, that means simple interest. Just charge the |
| 5 | rate on the amount of the water charge. Don't do |
| 6 | compound interest, which would be the water charge plus |
| 7 | any prior interest from prior billings. |
| 8 | And with that information that's been |
| 9 | provided, Ms. Springer noticed that that was the way it |
| 10 | was being done. Ms. Springer disagreed with that |
| 11 | interpretation and said, no, I think you should charge a |
| 12 | higher interest fee. You should be charging interest on |
| 13 | the interest, or in other words, a compound interest |
| 14 | rate. |
| 15 | We do not disagree with that. We believe our |
| 16 | interpretation was correct to charge it only on the |
| 17 | water fee, rather than water plus interest compound |
| 18 | interest. But if the division wants us to do it that |
| 19 | way and charge a higher fee to our customers for |
| 20 | interest, we are happy to do so. In fact, Ms. Springer |
| 21 | changed that calculation when she was present at the |
| 22 | site so that it would charge it as a compound basis |
| 23 | rather than a simple basis. |
| 24 | We do not believe this is a violation of our |
| 25 | tariff to charge less interest than authorized. We |
| 1 | |

| 1 | Page 39 believe our interpretation was reasonable, and we |
|----|--|
| 2 | believe that giving a benefit to our customers of less |
| 3 | interest should be encouraged by this commission, not |
| 4 | penalized by this commission. So we do not believe |
| 5 | there is any violation for late interest charges as |
| 6 | outlined on item 4. |
| 7 | Item 5, dealer, slash, owner charges. As |
| 8 | expressed in Ms. Springer's memorandum and as expressed |
| 9 | in our argument, this development was a development of |
| 10 | recreational property that also needed water. There |
| 11 | were two companies that were formed, a development |
| 12 | company, Duchesne Lands LLC, that was to start to |
| 13 | develop the property to be able to be sold to build |
| 14 | cabins. But it needed water. So a water company, South |
| 15 | Duchesne Culinary Water, was formed. |
| 16 | Both of those were owned by the Steeds |
| 17 | ultimately, either as an LLC for the one, or as |
| 18 | shareholders for the water company. For one of the |
| 19 | initial steps was to go get a permit and to be able to |
| 20 | get water and to start that process in 2003, as noted. |
| 21 | Permission was granted by the PSC for a tariff and |
| 22 | development started. |
| 23 | During the early years no fees were charged. |
| 24 | No water fees were charged in 2003 for any connections |
| 25 | because there weren't any, and no water fees were |
| i | |

| | Page 40 |
|----|--|
| 1 | charged by standby fees. In fact, as noted in |
| 2 | Ms. Springer's, no standby fees were charged to unsold |
| 3 | lots. Well, to any lot were not charged to any lot |
| 4 | until 2012 because they just wanted to make sure they |
| 5 | had sold a sufficient amount of lots. |
| 6 | So during that time from 2003 to 2012, in |
| 7 | essence, the developer, Duchesne Land and the Steeds, |
| 8 | paid for all construction, all development, all |
| 9 | operations of the water company. There were little or |
| 10 | no revenues. There were a few lots that sold and |
| 11 | connected water fees, and those began to pay the \$40. |
| 12 | So there was a little bit of that, but nothing major. |
| 13 | In 2012 they felt that enough lots had been |
| 14 | sold and cabins were being built that it was time to say |
| 15 | everyone will pay their fair share, and that was what |
| 16 | was done, with the exception of unsold lots, which were |
| 17 | said, these haven't been sold yet. Once they are sold, |
| 18 | we will move them on to the water system. That's the |
| 19 | way it was handled. |
| 20 | We are unaware of anything that would prevent |
| 21 | the water company from negotiating with the developer to |
| 22 | say, you don't have to pay the \$15 a month, or we |
| 23 | consider it covered by the fees that you have already |
| 24 | paid on behalf of our water company. We are unaware of |
| 25 | anything that would allow that type of a quid pro quo to |
| | |

Page 41 1 go on. 2 This issue was first raised in the October 3 review, and upon that review, as you are aware, the 4 developer and Steeds were trying to wind up this facility and shut down all their operations. And they 5 entered into an auction and sold basically all of the 6 7 remaining lots. 110. There's discussion of the 125, 110. It's about 110, I think, were sold. 10 or 15, I 8 9 believe Ms. Springer noted that weren't sold at the 10 auction. 11 All of those properties, it is our 12 understanding, as confirmed by Ms. Springer, have been 13 moved over. So we believe this issue is resolved in 14 that regard. 15 Now, there's two other items. What could 16 happen? I guess if you wanted to say, well, the 17 developers should pay, even though the quid pro quo wouldn't be accepted of your hundreds of thousands of 18 dollars for distribution lines, tanks and operating the 19 20 facility for us isn't acceptable. I guess you could have Ms. Steed, who is sole 21 2.2 owner of Duchesne Lands now, since her husband passed away, write a check for the 146,000 to South Duchesne 23 Culinary Water. And then she can take that money out as 24 25 the shareholder of South Duchesne Culinary Water, put it

| 1 | Page 42 back into whichever bank account she deems appropriate |
|----|---|
| 2 | for her. |
| 3 | Of course, she has to maintain a proper |
| 4 | balance at South Duchesne Culinary Water so it has |
| 5 | proper cash flow, which there's never been an allegation |
| 6 | that it hasn't. And Steeds have always supplemented and |
| 7 | kept this water company going if they needed to. |
| 8 | The other thing I note and primarily probably |
| 9 | in footnote 5, on page 5, footnote 2, that DPU cites |
| 10 | rule R746-330-6 but doesn't really explain why that |
| 11 | section is cited. And so I may have to respond to |
| 12 | whatever comments Ms. Schmid has. |
| 13 | But that section is titled rate-based |
| 14 | treatment of developer-owned water and sewer company |
| 15 | assets and presumption of recovery. That section deals |
| 16 | with when you are setting rates to charge for water, you |
| 17 | shouldn't add in an amount in the rate base or in the |
| 18 | rate charge for developer donated facilities if they |
| 19 | have been recovered through the sale of the development |
| 20 | of the lots or whatever was there. |
| 21 | The \$40 was originally set and the 15 because |
| 22 | that was believed to be the accurate amount to pay for |
| 23 | the water and to pay for the operation of the facility. |
| 24 | There's no indication that that includes anything for |
| 25 | development. Nor is there that allegation. So we |

| 1 | Page 43 believe citation to this rule is inapposite. It just is |
|----|--|
| 2 | meaningless to this particular situation. |
| 3 | If for some reason it was applicable, we |
| 4 | believe that the facts that are in public record really |
| 5 | document that the profitability wasn't here because, as |
| 6 | you are aware, there was an action that froze the assets |
| 7 | in 2008, resulted in the Supreme Court decision that's |
| 8 | cited here. The operations were shut down, and that's |
| 9 | why ultimately the lots had to be auctioned off. |
| 10 | But we don't believe you have to get to that |
| 11 | point. We believe there's no violation of any rule. We |
| 12 | are unaware of any rule that or tariff really that |
| 13 | would prevent South Duchesne from having that |
| 14 | arrangement of a quid pro quo for services rendered by |
| 15 | the developers in exchange for their fees. |
| 16 | No. 6. No. 6 is a water purity standard. I |
| 17 | am not exactly sure from the memorandum where DPU is |
| 18 | going with this. They do cite rule 746-330-2. That |
| 19 | provision states that water companies shall furnish, and |
| 20 | it says water furnished by utilities for culinary |
| 21 | purposes should be agree able to sight and smell and be |
| 22 | free from disease-producing organisms. And it says the |
| 23 | standard for what's clean and pure is under the water |
| 24 | criteria of the drinking board, which ties to rule 309. |
| 25 | South Duchesne has never had a violation for |

| 1 | Page 44 providing anything but pure water. They provide their |
|---|---|
| 2 | water testing on time. They provide it when needed, and |
| 3 | it's always come back clean. In the reports that are |
| 4 | attached as exhibits here to Ms. Springer's report, you |
| 5 | can see the DPU summary over the past number of years. |
| 6 | There's never been a single violation or note of |
| 7 | impurity of water. So there is simply no violation of |
| 8 | that rule. |

9 Now, the DPU cites -- does notice that there 10 was a letter issued on April 22nd, 2019, from the 11 Department of Environmental Quality, and there were two 12 concerns as noted in those attachments. The first one 13 was, there was a break in the line right by the tank, 14 the largest tank, on January 8th. And there was what is 15 called a dewatering event.

And any time you have a dewatering event, you have to give notice, and the department -- as we have talked about this. You have to give a no boil notice to those who could be affected, and you are supposed to notify the division of -- Department of Environmental Quality and Drinking Water.

22 South Duchesne did give the proper notice to 23 those who receive water. In its speed and hurry to 24 repair the leak and get things back operating, they did 25 not within the 24 hour period contact the Division of

| 1 | Page 45 Environmental Quality. And so that was a citation. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Under the department's rules, they for a |
| 3 | non for a community-based system, you can have what |
| 4 | are up to 150 points and still be viewed as in an |
| 5 | approved status. If you get more than 150 points, then |
| 6 | you move to a not-approved status, and then you have to |
| 7 | make revisions and changes to negotiate and make cures |
| 8 | to get back into approved status. |
| 9 | So the first one was that notice, and 50 |
| 10 | points were allocated as noted in the attachment to |
| 11 | South Duchesne Culinary Water. It was also noted at |
| 12 | that time that prior to the death of Mr. Steed, back in |
| 13 | 2012 and '13, there was concern that there were two |
| 14 | cabins, and they are noted in the report, that were |
| 15 | close to the elevation of the tank and that their |
| 16 | pressure for those two cabins was less than a 20 pounds |
| 17 | per square inch that was required. |
| 18 | |
| 19 | And they were issued a correction order. |
| 20 | South Duchesne believed they corrected that order by |
| 21 | attaching a pump magnification to those two cabins to |
| 22 | get it up. When this break occurred, the department |

the Division of Environmental Quality said, "Wait a

second. Just fixing those two cabins is insufficient.

You have got a line that comes around and serves more

23

24

25

| 1 | Page 46 lots or potentially will serve more lots in the future. |
|----|---|
| 2 | And so you need to re have some sort of remediation |
| 3 | that will ensure that not only does the pounds per |
| 4 | square inch stay for those cabins, but for anything that |
| 5 | will be built there, and you have got to do it now." |
| 6 | And so that was the second violation that was |
| 7 | issued, and they were issued a hundred points for that. |
| 8 | That caused them to go above the point total for the DDW $$ |
| 9 | or Department of Drinking Water. And so the Department |
| 10 | of Drinking Water issued that proceeding notice to them |
| 11 | and said, you have to give Tier 2 notice that you are |
| 12 | not approved to all of your owners, and then you need to |
| 13 | give us a plan on how you are going to remediate that |
| 14 | pounds per square inch on that upper section. |
| 15 | South Duchesne did that. They gave their |
| 16 | notice, and they also have been working with an |
| 17 | engineering company, as noted in Ms. Springer's report, |
| 18 | to develop what would be the proper remediation. And |
| 19 | they have been working with the DDW on that. They did |
| 20 | not file an appeal or a protest to that proceeding or |
| 21 | that notice. They have just been working with the DDW |
| 22 | to remediate that line. |
| 23 | While that's an issue that South Duchesne is |
| 24 | working on, and they will get it worked out, they are |
| 25 | still operating. They are in the not-approved status, |

Page 47 which means they have got to get that remediation plan completed, fixed and then they will move back. Points will be taken off. They will move back to approved status.

5 That's still underway, but that's not a violation of the PSC rules. That is an issue that the 6 7 DDW is working with South Duchesne. DDW has not asserted that there is any violation of providing of 8 9 pure water. They are concerned that there could be a risk if this remediation doesn't occur in the future, so 10 11 that's why we are working with them. So item No. 6 we 12 don't believe is a violation of any DPU provision.

13 So your Honor, with that summary of those six 14 items, we believe everything has been properly handled. 15 I will say South Duchesne says it received the order 16 from the PSC giving it the benefit of suspending the 17 \$14,000 penalty previously. You will recall in that 18 order -- let me find that.

We fully read the language too. If there's any violation, that can cause it to be back. But before that, the PSC makes the statement that we think it's best for South Duchesne's customers or they will be best served if the penalty mechanism will incentivize them to the full extent to remedy and take care of everything. South Duchesne has been trying diligently to

Page 48 cure every possible nit, every possible issue, and make 1 2 sure that they are operating a hundred percent in compliance. We believe we have been. 3 We believe a number of the items in this 4 memorandum are quite trivial, and we believe that none 5 of them are a violation of the rule or tariff and would 6 7 ask that this matter -- that a ruling be entered that we have complied, that no penalty needs to be unsuspended, 8 9 no additional penalty needs to be imposed. 10 If for any reason the DPU feels they want to 11 continue to monitor and come out, you know, every five 12 to six months or whatever they need to do to review, we're happy to do that. We're happy for their input. 13 14 We're happy to be able to make remediations if they see anything. I think South Duchesne's been appreciative of 15 those type of moving-forward comments and assistance. 16 And with that, we would ask that that type of a ruling 17 18 be entered, your Honor. 19 PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: Thank you, 20 Mr. Crapo. Ms. Schmid? 21 MS. SCHMID: Thank you. South Duchesne is a 22 regulated public utility. As part of the privilege of 23 being allowed to be a regulated utility, public utility,

24 South Duchesne has certain obligations. Those

25 obligations include, but are not limited to, to act as a

| r | Deere 40 |
|----|--|
| 1 | Page 49 reasonable and prudent public utility, to provide |
| 2 | adequate safe service, which includes providing an |
| 3 | approved water system and clean water. |
| 4 | Also, the public utility is not permitted to |
| 5 | act in an unduly discriminatory manner. The public |
| 6 | utility is bound to follow the statutes, rules and its |
| 7 | tariff. South Duchesne has not done so. South Duchesne |
| 8 | was found that it did not do so in the past and was |
| 9 | assessed a penalty of over \$20,000. |
| 10 | In an effort to induce the company to remedy |
| 11 | its situation, part of that penalty, indeed the majority |
| 12 | of that penalty, was suspended, contingent upon South |
| 13 | Duchesne not being found to violate any statute, rule or |
| 14 | tariff in the three years following the commission's |
| 15 | order. |
| 16 | It is the obligation of the utility to act |
| 17 | correctly. When the division went to the utility, the |
| 18 | division found things it considered violations. The |
| 19 | division provided the support for that in its memo. The |
| 20 | company's interpretation of its tariff seems to be |
| 21 | unreasonable in part and inconsistent with its duties as |
| 22 | a public utility. |
| 23 | For example, the company argued that they |
| 24 | didn't see anything wrong with charging a lesser |
| 25 | interest rate. Well, if the tariff says they should |

| | D |
|----|---|
| 1 | Page 50 charge interest this way, they need to do that. If the |
| 2 | tariff says that all customers will be charged X, all |
| 3 | customers will be charged X, and it is not fair to |
| 4 | consider that some customers won't be charged that |
| 5 | because the premise of a public utility is that everyone |
| 6 | will be treated on an equal basis and they will receive |
| 7 | appropriate service and the utility will act reasonably |
| 8 | and prudently. |
| 9 | The arguments made that these are small things |
| 10 | and they shouldn't really count as violations and the |
| 11 | company said, "Well, we don't argue with the facts. We |
| 12 | argue with the interpretation." Well, the facts are |
| 13 | clear that violations occurred. |
| 14 | The fact that the Steed, slash, developer |
| 15 | didn't pay the appropriate land sorry, didn't pay the |
| 16 | appropriate charges and standby fees, that is not a |
| 17 | trivial matter. That is an undue preference. It is not |
| 18 | acceptable for a regulated public utility to act that |
| 19 | way. |
| 20 | The fact that the Steeds have tried to remedy |
| 21 | the situation is acknowledged with the facts that |
| 22 | Ms. Shauna Benvegnu-Springer presented in her |
| 23 | memorandum. The fact that they didn't is critical. The |
| 24 | fact that they didn't shows that they are engaging in a |
| 25 | pattern of disregarding appropriate regulations and |
| | |

Page 51 1 failing to act as a reasonable regulated public utility. 2 The division believes it is appropriate and 3 indeed necessary under the commission's order in the 4 Housekeeper and Olsen docket for the commission to reinstate the entire suspended penalty. The division 5 believes that the facts in this case, rule, statute and 6 7 tariff, support finding the violations set forth in the division's memorandum. 8 9 The arguments about the Steeds contributing 10 money and how that should be a quid pro quo and how they 11 should not be subject to the same charges is 12 unsupportable. With that, the division urges the 13 commission to find violations have occurred, that the 14 suspended penalty should therefore be reinstated, and 15 that an appropriate penalty be assessed for these new 16 violations. Thank you. 17 PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: Thank you, If you will permit me one question. 18 Ms. Schmid. MS. SCHMID: Of course. 19 20 PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: There was some 21 discussion earlier. With respect to the Steeds having 22 not been charged by the utility in the past for their 23 undeveloped and developed lot, what form of remedy outside the penalty sphere does the DPU recommend the 24 25 PSC issue? In other words, should we compel the utility

| 1 | Page 52 to attempt to collect that money? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MS. SCHMID: I would suggest, yes, indeed |
| 3 | because a reasonable and prudent utility would have |
| 4 | collected it in the past. A reasonable utility would |
| 5 | not have allowed certain customers to go on for years |
| 6 | not paying. A reasonable utility would treat everyone |
| 7 | fairly. That's what a public utility is, and that's |
| 8 | what they failed to do. |
| 9 | PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: I only ask for |
| 10 | clarification because when Mr. Crapo was reviewing the |
| 11 | division's May 30th report, there was some discussion |
| 12 | about page 12 where Ms. Springer wrote at the end of the |
| 13 | second paragraph, "The division recommends the |
| 14 | commission order the utility to pay the amount owed." |
| 15 | I believe Mr. Crapo argued that instead of |
| 16 | utility, that sentence should read "developer to pay the |
| 17 | amount owed." And I thought I heard the DPU agree with |
| 18 | that. So I just want to make sure everyone's on the |
| 19 | same page. |
| 20 | MS. SCHMID: Yes, that is correct. The |
| 21 | utility the commission should find that the tariff |
| 22 | was applied improperly, that the rates were charged |
| 23 | improperly, and that the utility make the Steeds, the |
| 24 | developer, owner, the alter ego in many respects of the |
| 25 | utility, pay that. |
| 1 | |

Page 53 1 PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: All right. Thank 2 you, Ms. Schmid. 3 MS. SCHMID: Thank you. 4 PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: Mr. Crapo, I'll 5 give you the last word. 6 MR. CRAPO: Thank you. Just a couple of 7 One of the first statements that Ms. Schmid made items. is that there's new violations. There are no new 8 9 violations, none, and the second statement she made is 10 the Steeds have a pattern of disregard for the rules and 11 regulations. 12 I am going to take exception with that. There 13 is no record that shows that in this matter. T don't know what has happened that has offended individuals at 14 15 the DPU to feel that they need to act this way towards this utility, but I believe that the record shows that 16 17 South Duchesne is moving forward and is making every effort to comply. 18 19 In addition, they have treated people fairly. 20 Ms. Schmid said, what about the late charges? If the 21 late charges says what it is, it has to be charged. You 2.2 can't treat some one way or the other. You have to do 23 it. No, South Duchesne has treated every single one of 24 its customers the exact same way. 25 On paragraph 13 of its tariff, it says, "After

| 1 | Page 54 30 days a late charge of 15 percent of the past-due |
|----|--|
| 2 | balance will be assessed." The utility interpreted the |
| 3 | past-due balance as the balance owing for the water, and |
| 4 | they charged interest on that. Thy didn't charge any |
| 5 | other interest or any less interest to anybody else. |
| 6 | They charged every single customer the same, treated |
| 7 | everybody fairly and uniformly. |
| 8 | The division believes the interpretation of |
| 9 | that language should be, balanced means compound |
| 10 | interest, interest on interest, not just interest on the |
| 11 | water charge. Now, if that's the interpretation they |
| 12 | want, fine. But South Duchesne has made a good faith |
| 13 | effort to interpret that correctly. |
| 14 | And if it's changed, which it has been, to be |
| 15 | compound, then compound interest will be treated to |
| 16 | every single customer. It will be uniform. It will be |
| 17 | equal. All will be treated the same. So to say we are |
| 18 | treating people differently on the interest charge is |
| 19 | absolutely incorrect. |
| 20 | Now, it says we need to be responsible. We |
| 21 | need to be prudent. We need to not be discriminatory. |
| 22 | South Duchesne has been reasonable. It has an elderly |
| 23 | couple who calls in and says, "Will you send me one bill |
| 24 | for my two water charges?" |
| 25 | What is unreasonable with that? They found |
| | |

| have separated it out now, and now that customer says, "I have a hard time writing you two checks. I can't figure this out." We are treating and working reasonably and treating everyone fairly. If you go back to the six points, the unapproved fee, we never charged unapproved fee. It wa just a combination. Delinquent accounts, that's not a violation. Meter reading, we believe the rule that say that there's weather conditions, you can have an exception, governs. Number 4 and there's no violation. No. 4 we talked about late charges. We're consistent with our tariff. We're living with our tariff. If you want to interpret it differently, we'll | 1 one | Page 55 e account, and they claim that as a penalty. They |
|---|--------|--|
| 4 figure this out." We are treating and working 5 reasonably and treating everyone fairly. 6 If you go back to the six points, the 7 unapproved fee, we never charged unapproved fee. It wa 8 just a combination. Delinquent accounts, that's not a 9 violation. Meter reading, we believe the rule that say 10 that there's weather conditions, you can have an 11 exception, governs. Number 4 and there's no 12 violation. 13 No. 4 we talked about late charges. We're 14 consistent with our tariff. We're living with our 15 tariff. If you want to interpret it differently, we'll | 2 hav | ve separated it out now, and now that customer says, |
| 5 reasonably and treating everyone fairly. 6 If you go back to the six points, the 7 unapproved fee, we never charged unapproved fee. It wa 8 just a combination. Delinquent accounts, that's not a 9 violation. Meter reading, we believe the rule that say 10 that there's weather conditions, you can have an 11 exception, governs. Number 4 and there's no 12 violation. 13 No. 4 we talked about late charges. We're 14 consistent with our tariff. We're living with our 15 tariff. If you want to interpret it differently, we'll | 3 "I | have a hard time writing you two checks. I can't |
| 6 If you go back to the six points, the 7 unapproved fee, we never charged unapproved fee. It wa 8 just a combination. Delinquent accounts, that's not a 9 violation. Meter reading, we believe the rule that say 10 that there's weather conditions, you can have an 11 exception, governs. Number 4 and there's no 12 violation. 13 No. 4 we talked about late charges. We're 14 consistent with our tariff. We're living with our 15 tariff. If you want to interpret it differently, we'll | 4 fig | gure this out." We are treating and working |
| 7 unapproved fee, we never charged unapproved fee. It wa 3 just a combination. Delinquent accounts, that's not a 9 violation. Meter reading, we believe the rule that say 10 that there's weather conditions, you can have an 11 exception, governs. Number 4 and there's no 12 violation. 13 No. 4 we talked about late charges. We're 14 consistent with our tariff. We're living with our 15 tariff. If you want to interpret it differently, we'll | 5 rea | asonably and treating everyone fairly. |
| 8 just a combination. Delinquent accounts, that's not a 9 violation. Meter reading, we believe the rule that say 10 that there's weather conditions, you can have an 11 exception, governs. Number 4 and there's no 12 violation. 13 No. 4 we talked about late charges. We're 14 consistent with our tariff. We're living with our 15 tariff. If you want to interpret it differently, we'll | 6 | If you go back to the six points, the |
| 9 violation. Meter reading, we believe the rule that say 10 that there's weather conditions, you can have an 11 exception, governs. Number 4 and there's no 12 violation. 13 No. 4 we talked about late charges. We're 14 consistent with our tariff. We're living with our 15 tariff. If you want to interpret it differently, we'll | 7 una | approved fee, we never charged unapproved fee. It was |
| 10 that there's weather conditions, you can have an 11 exception, governs. Number 4 and there's no 12 violation. 13 No. 4 we talked about late charges. We're 14 consistent with our tariff. We're living with our 15 tariff. If you want to interpret it differently, we'll | 8 jus | st a combination. Delinquent accounts, that's not a |
| <pre>11 exception, governs. Number 4 and there's no 12 violation. 13 No. 4 we talked about late charges. We're 14 consistent with our tariff. We're living with our 15 tariff. If you want to interpret it differently, we'll</pre> | 9 vic | olation. Meter reading, we believe the rule that says |
| 12 violation. 13 No. 4 we talked about late charges. We're 14 consistent with our tariff. We're living with our 15 tariff. If you want to interpret it differently, we'll | 10 tha | at there's weather conditions, you can have an |
| No. 4 we talked about late charges. We're consistent with our tariff. We're living with our tariff. If you want to interpret it differently, we'll | 11 exc | ception, governs. Number 4 and there's no |
| 14 consistent with our tariff. We're living with our 15 tariff. If you want to interpret it differently, we'll | 12 vic | olation. |
| 15 tariff. If you want to interpret it differently, we'll | 13 | No. 4 we talked about late charges. We're |
| | 14 cor | nsistent with our tariff. We're living with our |
| | 15 tar | riff. If you want to interpret it differently, we'll |
| 16 interpret it differently, and we'll treat everybody the | 16 int | terpret it differently, and we'll treat everybody the |
| 17 same as I have mentioned. | 17 sam | me as I have mentioned. |
| 18 No. 6 I am going to jump because I am goin | 18 | No. 6 I am going to jump because I am going |
| 19 to finish with five. No. 6, water purity. There's bee | 19 to | finish with five. No. 6, water purity. There's been |
| 20 no allegation, no proof that we have ever provided | 20 no | allegation, no proof that we have ever provided |
| 21 anything but pure water. There's no violation of the | 21 any | ything but pure water. There's no violation of the |
| 22 PSC's provision there. | 22 PSC | C's provision there. |
| 23 So what's left? Number 5, the undeveloped | 23 | So what's left? Number 5, the undeveloped |
| 24 lots. And the undeveloped lots were mentioned in the | 24 lot | ts. And the undeveloped lots were mentioned in the |
| 25 October report. These undeveloped lots are not | 25 Oct | tober report. These undeveloped lots are not |

Page 56 1 receiving, were not charged. That was part of the last 2 hearing when the penalty was impressed. If there was to 3 be a penalty imposed for not charging lots, it should 4 have been back in October of 2018.

5 And they say there's new allegations, there's 6 new violations. The exact opposite occurred here, your 7 Honor. It was raised back in October before the first 8 hearing and penalty phase. Hey, you have got these 9 lots. So what did South Duchesne do? They said, we 10 disagree because this how we have treated it, but we'll 11 remedy it.

12 And before the end of the year, what did they 13 do? They sold all the lots. They moved everything over 14 on to the tariff. What more could this company have 15 done? That is not a new violation. None of these are 16 new violations.

17 We ask you, your Honor, to enter an order finding no violation, and if it's appropriate to 18 continue to have monitoring, which we believe it is, we 19 20 will gladly accept it. But to continue to have these 21 types of proceedings and arguments for \$15 and \$40 and 22 for violations that were discussed before and are not 23 new, which we don't think are violations, we don't believe is appropriate. 24

We would ask you to enter judgment in favor of

25

| | Page 57 |
|----|---|
| 1 | South Duchesne on this matter at this point, and I am |
| 2 | open to any questions if you have any, your Honor. |
| 3 | PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: I don't. |
| 4 | MR. CRAPO: Okay. Thank you. |
| 5 | PRESIDING OFFICER HAMMER: Thank you, |
| 6 | everyone. Have a nice afternoon. We're adjourned. |
| 7 | MS. SCHMID: Thank you. |
| 8 | (The hearing concluded at 2:21 p.m.) |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

| 1 | Page 58 CERTIFICATE |
|----|---|
| 2 | STATE OF UTAH) |
| 3 | COUNTY OF SALT LAKE) |
| 4 | THIS IS TO CERTIFY that the foregoing proceedings |
| 5 | were taken before me, Teri Hansen Cronenwett, Certified |
| 6 | Realtime Reporter, Registered Merit Reporter and Notary |
| 7 | Public in and for the State of Utah. |
| 8 | That the proceedings were reported by me in |
| 9 | Stenotype, and thereafter transcribed by computer under |
| 10 | my supervision, and that a full, true, and correct |
| 11 | transcription is set forth in the foregoing pages, |
| 12 | numbered 3 through 55 inclusive. |
| 13 | I further certify that I am not of kin or otherwise |
| 14 | associated with any of the parties to said cause of |
| 15 | action, and that I am not interested in the event |
| 16 | thereof. |
| 17 | WITNESS MY HAND and official seal at Salt Lake |
| 18 | City, Utah, this 27th day of July, 2019. |
| 19 | Teir Hansen cronenwalt |
| 20 | Teri Hansen Cronenwett, CRR, RMR |
| 21 | License No. 91-109812-7801 |
| 22 | My commission expires: January 19, 2023 |
| 23 | Uanuary 19, 2025 |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

Page 59 HEALTH INFORMATION PRIVACY & SECURITY: CAUTIONARY NOTICE 1 2 Litigation Services is committed to compliance with applicable federal and state laws and regulations ("Privacy Laws") governing the 3 protection and security of patient health information. Notice is 4 herebygiven to all parties that transcripts of depositions and legal 5 proceedings, and transcript exhibits, may contain patient health 6 information that is protected from unauthorized access, use and 7 disclosure by Privacy Laws. Litigation Services requires that access, 8 9 maintenance, use, and disclosure (including but not limited to electronic database maintenance and access, storage, distribution/ 10 11 dissemination and communication) of transcripts/exhibits containing 12 patient information be performed in compliance with Privacy Laws. 13 No transcript or exhibit containing protected patient health information may be further disclosed except as permitted by Privacy 14 Laws. Litigation Services expects that all parties, parties' 15 attorneys, and their HIPAA Business Associates and Subcontractors will 16 17 make every reasonable effort to protect and secure patient health information, and to comply with applicable Privacy Law mandates, 18 including but not limited to restrictions on access, storage, use, and 19 disclosure (sharing) of transcripts and transcript exhibits, and 20 21 applying "minimum necessary" standards where appropriate. It is 22 recommended that your office review its policies regarding sharing of 23 transcripts and exhibits - including access, storage, use, and disclosure - for compliance with Privacy Laws. 24 25 © All Rights Reserved. Litigation Services (rev. 6/1/2019)

Index: \$14,000..2:21

| | _ | _ | _ | |
|--|---|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| \$ | 10:24 12:24 14:14 22:13, 25 32:5 | 125 8:23 12:7 17:11 25:10 41:7 | 18:13 | 13:5 39:20,24 40:6 |
| \$14,000 47:17 | | | 17-23-7202 18:13 | 2008 43:7 |
| \$14,175 18:21 | 1.5 37:14,19 | 128,700 9:14 17:15 | 18 1:7 31:8 | 2012 40:4,6, |
| \$146,250 9:1 | 10 13:17,25 17:4 37:11,13 41:8 | 13 5:3 6:3 21:22 22:1 37:18 45:13 | 18-2372-01 1:3 3:8 | 13 45:13 2017 31:7,8 |
| \$15 12:8 32:8, 20 33:10,12 40:22 56:21 | 10,000 11:12 36:23 | 53:25 14,000 31:24 | 183 11:18 | 2018 20:1 29:24,25 30:8 |
| \$175,000 20:25 | 100 2:9 9:7 | 14,000 31.24 140857 2:5 | 19 12:18,21 31:8 | 56:4 2019 1:7 3:1 |
| \$2,000 28:8 | 100,046 9:7 | 146 9:14,17 | 1:00 1:8 | 4:11 5:11 8:17 14:13 |
| \$20,000 49:9 | 1000 9:7 | 146,000 12:18 | 1:21 17:7 | 17:23 22:3 27:3 29:25 31:14 44:10 |
| \$366 11:11 | 10:02 3:1 | 41:23 | 1:25 17:7 | |
| | 11 5:14 22:21 23:19 | 146,250 9:10 17:12,15,18 | 1:35 17:5 | 21 2:19 |
| \$40 11:10 32:9 40:11 42:21 56:21 | 110 8:22,24 13:10 17:11 | 146,852 12:18 | 1st 11:21 | 22nd 5:11 44:10 |
| \$500 28:7,25 | 25:8,11,12 41:7,8 | 15 32:18 41:8 42:21 54:1 | 2 | 24 44:25 |
| \$55 32:13,18, 19 | 11th 14:11 | 150 45:4,5 | 2 11:11 33:9 42:9 46:11 | 250 9:7,11,14, 16,17 |
| \$7,320 11:9 | 12 4:20 8:21 9:24,25 15:24 | 16 3:1 | 2,000 11:16 | 250,000 12:19 |
| 1 | 52:12 | 160 1:10 2:5 8:5 | 20 45:16 | 2:21 57:8 |
| | 12,000 11:15 | | | |
| 1 4:12 5:10,18 | | 17-23-7201 | 2003 11:21,23 | |

Index: 3..additional

| | 43:18 | above 8:24 9:19 46:8 | 16:2 33:9,10, 25 34:3 55:8 |
|--|---|---|--|
| 4th 1:10 | 8 | absolutely 54:19 | accrued 16:1 |
| 5 8:21 9:25 | 801 366-0335 2:6 | accept 18:20 56:20 | accurate 6:5 26:10 27:5 42:22 |
| 11:9 17:10 29:15 30:1 39:7 42:9 55:23 | 801 530-6769 1:11 | acceptable 6:20,22 14:8, 10 26:14 | acknowledge 36:2 |
| 50 45:9 | 84010 2:10 | 36:17 41:20 50:18 | acknowledge d 50:21 |
| 500 2:9 | 84111 1:10 | accepted | act 48:25 |
| 515423 1:14 | 84114-0857 2:6 | 19:6 21:9 41:18 | 49:5,16 50:7, 18 51:1 53:15 |
| 5th 2:5 | 8th 44:14 | accepting 6:25 | action 43:6 |
| 6 | 9 | access 12:9, | actual 37:3 |
| 6 43:16 47:11 55:18,19 | 9 37:23 | 15 24:25 30:12 | actually 8:24 13:10 18:9 24:5 |
| 7 | A | accommodate d 32:19 | add 42:17 |
| 7 2:18 12:5 | a.m. 3:1 | account 32:13 34:9,11 | added 33:18 |
| 70 18:17 | able 11:16 13:12 14:23 | 42:1 55:1 | addition 28:12 53:19 |
| 74-200-7 34:15 | 17:25 28:2 35:22 39:13, 19 43:21 48:14 | 33:3 37:16 | additional 18:7 21:6,7 |
| | 11:9 17:10 29:15 30:1 39:7 42:9 55:23 50 45:9 500 2:9 515423 1:14 5th 2:5 6 6 6 43:16 47:11 55:18,19 7 7 2:18 12:5 70 18:17 74-200-7 | 5 801 366-0335 29:15 30:1 2:6 39:7 42:9 1:11 55:23 84010 2:10 50 45:9 84111 1:10 500 2:9 84114-0857 2:6 84114-0857 515423 1:14 2:6 5th 2:5 8th 44:14 6 9 6 9 6 9 6 9 7 A 7 a.m. 3:1 7 34:15 | 5 54:19 5 801 366-0335 2:6 accept 18:20 56:20 5 8:21 9:25 11:9 17:10 29:15 30:1 39:7 42:9 55:23 accept 18:20 56:20 50 2:9 801 530-6769 1:11 acceptable 6:20,22 14:8, 10 26:14 50 45:9 84010 2:10 50:18 50 45:9 84111 1:10 accepted 6:19 14:25 19:6 21:9 41:18 50 2:9 84114-0857 2:6 19:6 21:9 41:18 5th 2:5 8th 44:14 accepting 6:25 30:12 6 9 access 12:9, 15 24:25 30:12 6 43:16 47:11 55:18,19 9 37:23 7 2:18 12:5 a.m. 3:1 7 a.m. 3:1 account 32:13 34:9,11 42:1 55:1 70 18:17 13:12 14:23 17:25 28:2 35:22 39:13, 19 43:21 account 33:3 37:16 |

Index: address..approved

| 27:11 31:12, 25 48:9 | 14:5 26:25 27:6,8 | allegation 37:9 42:5,25 55:20 | alter 52:24 always 42:6 | 25 42:24 44:1 46:4 48:15 49:24 55:21 |
|--|---|--|--|---|
| address 6:15 8:1 15:13,14 28:2,18,19 | adopt 6:15 affected 44:19 | allegations 56:5 | 44:3 amend 36:3 | anyway 13:21 19:10 |
| adequate 49:2 | after 37:15,20 53:25 | alleged 23:13 27:25 | amendments 36:11 | apologize 14:3 15:1 18:24 33:19 |
| adjacent 32:16 | afternoon 3:4,13 11:2 | alleges 31:15 allocated | amount 4:24 5:1 9:19 11:17 14:6 | appeal 46:20 |
| adjourned 57:6 | 21:19,20 57:6 | 45:10 | 15:17 16:4,12 18:21 20:19 27:25 31:23 | appearances 3:11 |
| adjustment 36:21,22,23 | agree 6:8 27:5 43:21 52:17 | allow 29:8 40:25 | 32:13 35:12 38:5 40:5 42:17,22 | applicable 1:4 3:7 43:3 |
| adjustments 36:7 | agreed 26:17 | allowed 13:21 14:13,15 16:22,25 | 52:14,17 amounts 11:5 | applied 52:22 |
| administrativ e 1:4 3:7 | ahead 3:11 7:16 13:17 29:11 | 48:23 52:5 allows 37:5 | 16:1 19:3 annotated | applies 23:19 appreciative |
| 22:16 23:25 24:9 34:8,14 36:13 | all 5:5 6:25 7:3 10:13 | alone 3:24 | 23:2,25 | 48:15 |
| admission 10:23 12:24, | 12:4,14 22:9, 11 24:21,23, 25 25:16,24 | along 32:3 | another 34:21 38:3 | appropriate 15:8 20:24 27:24 35:12 42:1 50:7,15, |
| 25 15:6 17:23 18:3 27:1,4 | 26:12 27:5 28:19 29:2,7 30:22 31:8,9 | already 19:6 40:23 | anybody 54:5 anyone 35:3 | 16,25 51:2,15 56:18,24 |
| admit 13:18 27:7 | 35:1,22 36:9 40:8 41:5,6, 11 46:12 50:2 | also 13:3,8,19 30:7 39:10 45:11 46:16 | anything | appropriatene ss 32:23 |
| admitted 7:4 | 53:1 54:17 56:13 | 49:4 | 11:12,15 32:10 40:20, | approved |
| | | | | |

Index: approving..believe

| 11:24 45:5,8 46:12 47:3 | 36:16,20 | 35:2,4 | B | 8 35:17 47:20 56:7,12,22 |
|----------------------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| 49:3 | asserted 47:8 | attempting | | |
| | | 34:4 | back 5:12 | began 13:3 |
| opproving | | 54.4 | 20:15 29:13 | 40:11 |
| approving | assertion | | | 40.11 |
| 23:11 | 32:6 | attest 19:5 | 30:18 37:8 | |
| | | | 42:1 44:3,24 | begin 4:2 |
| April 5:11 | | | 45:8,12 47:2, | 29:13 |
| 20:1 44:10 | assessed | Attorney 2:4 | 3,20 55:6 | 20.10 |
| 20.1 44.10 | 20:11 49:9 | 3:19 | 56:4,7 | |
| | 51:15 54:2 | | | beginning |
| arbitrary | | | | 3:11 16:21 |
| 34:13 | | auction 30:20 | bad 36:5 37:6 | ••••• |
| | assets 42:15 | 41:6,10 | | |
| | 43:6 | | balance 38:1 | behalf 3:20 |
| area 22:6 | | and the second | | 8:8 40:24 |
| 35:17 | | auctioned | 42:4 54:2,3 | |
| | assistance | 43:9 | | |
| | 48:16 | | balanced | behind 22:14 |
| argue 29:9 | | audit 8:11 | 54:9 | |
| 50:11,12 | | | 54.9 | haina 0.10 |
| | Assistant 2:4 | 19:25 32:12 | | being 6:19 |
| | | | bank 42:1 | 14:4 26:19 |
| argued 49:23 | assumed | authorized | | 38:10 40:14 |
| 52:15 | 16:19 | 32:8,21 38:25 | | 48:23 49:13 |
| | 10.19 | 52.0,21 50.25 | base 17:12 | |
| | | | 42:17 | |
| argument | attached 5:10 | available | | belief 22:10 |
| 13:19 20:22, | 44:4 | 13:11 | | |
| 23 29:5 | | 10.11 | based 11:20 | beliefs 15:7 |
| 33:15,21 | | | 12:11 23:7,10 | |
| 37:11 39:9 | attaching | averaged | | |
| | 45:21 | 11:17 | | believe 4:25 |
| | | | basically 41:6 | 5:6 6:4,6 |
| arguments | | | | 10:14,17 |
| 50:9 51:9 | attachment | aware 4:9 | basis 31:19 | 13:3,18,20 |
| 56:21 | 45:10 | 18:19 20:3 | 38:22,23 50:6 | 14:2,23 16:5, |
| | | 24:3,10 26:1 | 00.22,20 00.0 | |
| | | 34:15 35:14 | | 24 17:24 19:4 |
| around 45:25 | attachments | 37:9 41:3 | becomes | 22:6,9 26:9 |
| | 44:12 | 43:6 | 17:12 | 28:5 30:6,25 |
| arrangement | | | | 31:9,20,25 |
| 43:14 | attempt 52:1 | | | 33:1,6,7 |
| 40.14 | allempt JZ.1 | away 41:23 | before 1:1 4:3 | 35:15 37:2,4, |
| | | _ | 13:3,16 18:3, | 6 38:15,24 |
| arrangements | attempted | | | , |
| | • | • | • | |

Index: believed..changing

| 39:1,2,4 41:9, 13 43:1,4,10, | billings 33:6 38:7 | 33:5 | 11:4 12:12 15:25 16:18 | 47:24 |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| 11 47:12,14 48:3,4,5 52:15 53:16 55:9 56:19,24 | bimonthly 36:6 | break 5:6,20 32:25 44:13 45:22 | calculated 15:17 | careful 33:19 case 14:2 |
| believed 42:22 45:20 | bit 20:9 21:24 37:8 40:12 | brief 15:19 broke 5:24 | calculation 8:22,25 10:24 11:20 30:4 | 51:6 cash 42:5 |
| believes 51:2, 6 54:8 | board 43:24 boil 5:18,21 | build 39:13 | 38:21 calculations | cause 8:14 47:20 |
| benefit 39:2 47:16 | 23:1 24:19, 22,24 25:4 26:13 44:18 | built 33:12 40:14 46:5 | 11:6 13:12,13 30:6 | caused 46:8 |
| Benvegnu- springer 2:17 | boiled 24:6 | bullet 30:2,3, 11 | call 7:5,6 called 7:18 | cents 12:18, 21 |
| 3:22 7:7,17 8:3,4 17:9 18:10 19:14, 23 50:22 | bookkeeper 33:3 | buried 35:19 | 44:15 calls 54:23 | certain 48:24 52:5 |
| Besides | both 11:6 32:16 39:16 | business 8:1 | came 14:21 | Certified 1:13 |
| 20:14 | | C | | chance 10:20 |
| best 34:5 47:22 | bottled 5:22 bottom 15:24 22:8 | cabin 11:23 13:5,6 14:17, | can't 21:21 31:5 34:23 53:22 55:3 | change 10:1, 2 11:21,25 16:3,25 36:4, |
| bill 32:18 34:12 37:11 54:23 | bought 33:11 | 21 25:19 30:17 32:15 35:18 | Candidly 36:22 | 6 changed 38:21 54:14 |
| billed 8:23 | bound 49:6 | cabins 23:17 33:12 39:14 | cannot 34:9 | changes 6:6 |
| billing 30:16 32:13,20,22 | Bountiful 2:10 | 40:14 45:14, 16,21,24 46:4 | capricious 34:13 | 8:19 45:7 |
| | box 2:5 31:5 | calculate | care 31:10 | changing |
| | Titigation | | 200-220-1112 | |

Index: charge..connected

| | | | | 1 |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| 11:25 | Chris 33:3 | clicked 33:4 | 52:14,21 | 13:5 47:2 |
| charge 11:10, 11,14 12:9 | citation 43:1 45:1 | close 45:15 | commission's 3:9 49:14 | compliance 1:4 3:6 48:3 |
| 20:11 32:8 38:4,5,6,11, 16,19,22,25 | cite 34:14 | code 5:19 23:2,24,25 | 51:3 | complied |
| 42:16,18 50:1 54:1,4,11,18 | 36:12 43:18 | 24:1,17 34:8 | community- based 45:3 | 48:8 |
| charged | cited 42:11 43:8 | collect 34:4 35:12 52:1 | companies | comply 53:18 |
| 12:12,15 14:17 32:10 | cites 42:9 | collected | 39:11 43:19 | compound 38:6,13,17,22 |
| 39:23,24 40:1,2,3 50:2, | 44:9 | 52:4 | company 3:11 6:18 | 54:9,15 |
| 3,4 51:22 52:22 53:21 54:4,6 55:7 | City 1:10 2:6 | combination 55:8 | 11:1 14:5,6 25:15 26:23 28:9 32:17 | concern 45:13 |
| 56:1 | civil 14:1 | come 4:14,17 | 33:4 34:9,10, 11,21 35:9 | concerned |
| charges 4:22 10:25 11:6,7 | claim 55:1 | 44:3 48:11 | 39:12,14,18 40:9,21,24 42:7,14 46:17 | 47:9 |
| 12:3,4 15:8 16:1,18 20:14 30:5 37:7 | clarification 9:9 52:10 | comes 45:25 | 49:10,23 50:11 56:14 | concerns 44:12 |
| 39:5,7 50:16 | | comma 9:14, | | |
| 51:11 53:20, 21 54:24 | clarify 5:20 | 17 | company's 8:12 9:21 | concluded 57:8 |
| 55:13 | clarifying 28:12 | comments 42:12 48:16 | 14:9 26:18 27:6 49:20 | conclusion 29:15 30:1 |
| charging 32:7 | | | | |
| 38:12 49:24 | clarity 26:21 | commission | comparison | |
| 56:3 | - | 1:1,9 3:8 4:23 6:21 11:4 | 28:8 | conditions 36:15 55:10 |
| check 18:20 41:23 | clean 43:23 44:3 49:3 | 14:9,16 16:2 19:1 20:16,25 28:25 31:16 | compel 51:25 | confirmed |
| checks 55:3 | clear 50:13 | 33:20 36:14 37:3,12 39:3, | complaints 18:12 20:4 | 41:12 |
| | clearly 20:5 | 4 51:4,13 | completed | connected |
| | Titigation | | 200-220-1112 | |

Index: connection..DDW

| r | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| 40:11 | contents 27:5 | 4:18 6:17 8:19 9:20 | 24:10,14,15 25:18 26:8 | 8:25 12:14 36:17 54:6,16 |
| connection 25:3 30:25 35:8 | contingent 49:12 | correctly 17:13 49:17 | 27:9,11,12 29:3,5,9,10, 11,12,17,20, 23 33:16,21, | 55:2 customers |
| connections 39:24 | continue 48:11 56:19, 20 | 54:13 counsel 4:3 | 23 48:20 52:10,15 53:4,6 57:4 | 5:5,7 10:13 22:9,11 24:22,23,25 25:7,9 38:19 |
| consider 27:8 40:23 50:4 | contributing 51:9 | 9:21 11:1 14:9 21:5 | criteria 43:24 | 39:2 47:22 50:2,3,4 52:5 53:24 |
| considerably 28:9 | conversation 26:5 | count 8:25 50:10 | critical 50:23 | D |
| 20.9 | 20.5 | counter 12:1 | Cronenwett 1:12 | |
| considered 7:4 49:18 | correct 5:6 6:7 8:18 10:15,16,19 | couple 7:23 23:17 32:14 | cross- | date 11:21, 23,24 17:1 |
| consistent 13:13 36:4 | 11:15 14:20 16:7 17:14, 17,19,20 | 53:6 54:23 | examination 2:19 18:1 21:14,17 | dated 17:23 22:3 26:24 |
| 55:14 consolidated | 18:22 20:8 22:11,12 | course 18:2 42:3 51:19 | culinary 1:3 | 27:3 29:24 dates 14:20 |
| 18:16 | 23:9,15,16, 19,20 25:1, 19,20 26:22 | Court 43:7 | 2:8 3:6,15 31:17 39:15 41:24,25 42:4 | David 2:8 |
| construction 40:8 | 28:15 38:16 52:20 | covered 40:23 | 43:20 45:11 cure 48:1 | 3:14 |
| consultant 8:7 | corrected 10:4 16:13 17:11 45:20 | Crapo 2:8,9, 19 3:13,14, 18,23,25 4:5, | cure 48:1 | day 11:24 13:17,25 28:7,8,25 |
| contact 35:10 44:25 | correction 4:19 6:2 17:21,25 | 9 6:22 7:2,9, 12 10:5 12:25 14:10 15:21, 22 16:15 | currently 10:11 | days 13:17 14:13 37:20 54:1 |
| contained 4:15 16:24 | 45:19 | 17:24 18:3,23 19:15 21:11, | cust 25:8 | DDW 46:8,19, |
| | corrections | 14,16,18,25 | customer | 21 47:7 |

| 01 | 0,, ±0, ±0±> | | | |
|--------|--------------|---------|---------|--|
| | Index: | dealer. | .dollar | |
| | | | | |

| dealer 39:7 deals 34:16 | 22:15 23:8 26:2,10,13 44:11,17,20 | developers 41:17 43:15 | disagreed 38:10 | 14:3 15:25 16:2,13 17:22 20:24 27:13, |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| 35:1 36:13 42:15 | 45:22 46:9 department's | development 39:9,11,22 40:8 42:19,25 | disagreement 4:15 6:11 22:7 | 22 28:13,21 37:23 38:18 44:20,25 45:23 49:17, |
| death 45:12 | 45:2 | dewatering | discovered | 18,19 51:2,5, 12 52:13 54:8 |
| December 14:11 15:2 | designated 3:10 | 44:15,16 different 6:9 | 32:12 discriminator | division's 3:21 7:7 8:15 |
| decided 16:20 | determine 29:1 | 13:9 20:10 32:10 | y 49:5 54:21 | 3.217.78.15 19:24 21:9 27:2,17,20 51:8 52:11 |
| decision 43:7 | determined 14:19 | differently 54:18 55:15, 16 | discussed 56:22 | djcrapo@ crapodeeds. |
| Deeds 2:9 3:14 | determines 14:16 | dig 35:22 | discussion 32:3 41:7 51:21 52:11 | com 2:11 |
| deems 42:1 deep 31:5 | develop 39:13 46:18 | diligently 47:25 | disease- producing 43:22 | docket 1:3 3:8,10 8:9,15 18:12 28:17, 22 51:4 |
| 35:19,20 36:1 deferred | developed 51:23 | direct 2:18 7:21 18:7 | disregard 53:10 | dockets 18:11,18 |
| 31:23 34:25 Definitely | developer 4:21,25 5:1 10:5,7,25 | directly 20:20 | disregarding 50:25 | document 18:25 19:6 |
| 28:23 | 11:7 12:7 16:3,13 20:14 30:16 40:7,21 | director 5:8, 19,25 6:4 22:10 23:3, | distribution | 20:7 26:1 43:5 |
| delinquent 33:9,10 34:3, 9,11 55:8 | 41:4 42:18 50:14 52:16, 24 | 14,22 26:4, 11,15 | 41:19 division 2:4 | documentatio n 30:4 |
| department 4:10,14 5:11 | developer- owned 42:14 | disagree 31:18,19 38:15 56:10 | 3:20 4:23 6:17 8:4,8 10:22 12:23 | dollar 9:6 11:11,12 37:13 |
| | 1 | | I | 1 |

Index: dollars..exact

| dollars 9:7, 15,16,17 | 2:8 3:6,15 4:13 5:21 | earlier 51:21 | engaging 50:24 | 25:7 34:10 41:17 |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| 11:17 12:18, 21 41:19 | 24:11 26:3 30:5 31:17 32:7,8,19 | early 39:23 | engineering | event 5:19 |
| donated | 33:1 34:4 35:2,18 | earn 7:25 | 46:17 | 23:2 44:15,16 |
| 42:18 | 37:10,16,24 38:2 39:12,15 | earth 35:19 | enough 40:13 | eventually 30:25 |
| done 8:17 13:6 36:18 38:10 40:16 | 40:7 41:22, 23,25 42:4 43:13,25 | East 1:10 8:5 | ensure 21:9 46:3 | every 25:19 |
| 49:7 56:15 | 44:22 45:11, 20 46:15,23 47:7,15,25 | effort 49:10 53:18 54:13 | enter 34:25 56:17,25 | 35:23,25 37:2 48:1,11 53:17,23 |
| door 25:19 | 48:21,24 49:7,13 | efforts 34:5,6 | entered 41:6 | 54:6,16 |
| doors 4:13 | 53:17,23 54:12,22 56:9 57:1 | ego 52:24 | 48:7,18 | everybody 5:21 54:7 55:16 |
| down 9:13 35:22 41:5 | | either 30:23 | entire 51:5 | |
| 43:8 | Duchesne's 31:18 47:22 | 31:16 39:17 | Environmenta | everyone 5:22 40:15 |
| DPU 10:23 12:24 14:12 | 48:15 | elderly 32:14 54:22 | 23:8 26:2,10, 13 44:11,20 | 50:5 52:6 55:5 57:6 |
| 17:23 19:9 29:14,17,21, 23 31:14 32:6 | due 11:18 | electric 34:10 | 45:1,23 | everyone's 52:18 |
| 42:9 43:17 44:5,9 47:12 | duly 7:18 | | equal 50:6 54:17 | |
| 48:10 51:24 52:17 53:15 | during 5:24 6:16 36:6 39:23 40:6 | elevation 45:15 | errors 13:4 | everything 30:20 47:14, 24 56:13 |
| DPU's 15:9 | duties 49:21 | employer 8:1 | essence 40:7 | evidence |
| drinking 5:25 26:2 43:24 | E | encouraged 39:3 | established 15:3 | 14:12 16:16 33:15 |
| 44:21 46:9,10 Duchesne 1:3 | each 21:3,5 | end 21:6 30:2 52:12 56:12 | even 12:10 | exact 53:24 56:6 |
| | | | | |

Index: exactly..follow

| exactly 11:22 36:18 43:17 | experience 30:14 | 6:6,10 31:19 | fees 8:23 12:13 20:4 | findings 4:15 |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| Examination 2:18 7:21 | explain 12:3 13:20 35:11 42:10 | failed 5:4 6:3 15:9 16:17 22:8 52:8 | 30:16 35:4 39:23,24,25 40:1,2,11,23 43:15 50:16 | fine 6:24 7:12 16:10 18:6,17 19:15 29:22 33:1 54:12 |
| example 49:23 | explained | failing 51:1 | feet 35:19 | finish 55:19 |
| exception | 30:8 | failure 23:7, 14,21 | felt 40:13 | firm 3:14 |
| 20:10 40:16 53:12 55:11 | explanation 12:22 17:22 | fair 40:15 50:3 | few 6:15 17:3, 8,25 18:9 21:12 33:18 | first 4:4 6:25 7:18,25 9:14, |
| exchange 43:15 | expressed 39:8 | fairly 52:7 53:19 54:7 | 36:24 40:10 | 22 26:24 29:9,17 30:18 31:1 37:20 |
| exhibit 5:9,10 10:24 11:5 12:24 13:1,4, | extent 47:24 | 55:5 | figure 9:6 17:12 55:4 | 41:2 44:12 45:9 53:7 56:7 |
| 15,19,21 14:7,19 15:7 | F | faith 54:12 | figures 10:21 | five 11:9 |
| 16:22,24 21:10 22:13, 15 | facilities 8:12 42:18 | familiar 18:11,15 24:1 | file 14:6 46:20 | 48:11 55:19 |
| exhibits | facility 41:5, | favor 56:25 | filed 8:15 31:8 | fix 33:2 |
| 13:18 44:4 | 20 42:23 | fee 11:7 12:16 20:11 25:3 | filing 6:19 | fixed 47:2 |
| existed 27:25 | fact 20:19 23:10 38:20 | 28:6 32:5,7,8, 9,20,21,22 | 31:7 | fixing 5:24 45:24 |
| existence 14:21,22 | 40:1 50:14, 20,23,24 | 33:10,13 34:24 37:10, 11,13,14,19 | final 29:10 find 14:20 | flat 37:13 |
| exists 20:12 | facts 6:8 33:14 43:4 | 38:1,12,17,19 55:7 | 47:18 51:13 52:21 | Floor 1:10 2:5 |
| expand 20:9 | 50:11,12,21 51:6 | feel 53:15 | finding 51:7 | flow 42:5 |
| expedite 6:12 | factual 4:15 | feels 48:10 | 56:18 | follow 32:2 |

Index: follow-up..higher

| foundation 12:23 19:13, | gave 46:15 | guess 41:16, 21 | 7:18 43:13 51:21 |
|----------------------------|---|--|--|
| 10 | General 2:4 | н | heading 5:4 |
| fourth 31:2 | General's | | |
| free 43.22 | 3:20 | Hammer 2:2 | headway 35:13 |
| 100 40.22 | getting 19:11 | 3:3,9,17,23 | 00.10 |
| froze 43:6 | 5 5 | 4:1,7 6:24 7:3,9,13,16 | heard 35:16 52:17 |
| full 7:25 | give 5:21 13:16 16:17. | 9:9,12 13:23 15:5.20 16:8 | 52.17 |
| 27:19 47:24 | 23 21:2 | 17:4 18:6 | hearing 1:4 3:5 10:23 |
| fully 47:19 | 29:10 34:23 | 21:2,5,11 | 12:24 13:3, |
| - | 35:9 44:17, 18,22 46:11, | 24:12 26:21 27:10,15,22 | 16,25 14:15 15:13 16:21 |
| furnish 43:19 | 13 53:5 | 28:3 29:2,7, 22 33:20 | 56:2,8 57:8 |
| furnished | given 24:18 | 48:19 51:17, | hearings |
| 43:20 | 26:12 29:3 | 20 52:9 53:1, 4 57:3,5 | 13:17 |
| further 5:13 | giving 14:6 | handlad | held 14:7 |
| 27:13 | 39:2 47:16 | 40:19 47:14 | |
| future 46:1 | gladly 56:20 | Hensen 1:10 | help 15:23 |
| 47:10 | | Hansen 1:12 | helpful 6:14 |
| G | good 3:4,13 21:19,20 | happen 41:16 | L |
| | 54:12 | hannened | here 3:15 15:16 23:21 |
| gallons 11:12 | governs | 53:14 | 43:5,8 44:4 56:6 |
| 36:23 | 55:11 | hanny 38.20 | |
| gas 34:10 | granted 39:21 | 48:13,14 | hey 34:2 56:8 |
| | | hard 55:3 | higher 38:12, |
| gathered 36:9 | ground 31:6 | | 19 |
| | | having 4:2 | |
| | 12:23 19:13, 16 fourth 31:2 free 43:22 froze 43:6 full 7:25 27:19 47:24 fully 47:19 furnish 43:19 furnish 43:19 furnished 43:20 further 5:13 27:13 future 46:1 47:10 G gallons 11:12 36:23 | 12:23 19:13, 16 General 2:4 fourth 31:2 General's 3:20 free 43:22 getting 19:11 froze 43:6 give 5:21 13:16 16:17, 23 21:2 23:14,22 24:5 29:10 34:23 35:9 44:17, 18,22 46:11, 13 53:5 fully 47:19 given 24:18 26:12 29:3 furnished 43:20 given 24:18 26:12 29:3 further 5:13 27:13 giving 14:6 39:2 47:16 future 46:1 47:10 gladly 56:20 47:10 good 3:4,13 21:19,20 54:12 gallons 11:12 36:23 governs 55:11 gallons 11:12 36:23 governs 55:11 gas 34:10 granted 39:21 | 12:23 19:13, 16 General 2:4 21 fourth 31:2 General's 3:20 Hammer 2:2 3:3,9,17,23 free 43:22 getting 19:11 Hammer 2:2 3:3,9,17,23 froze 43:6 give 5:21 13:16 16:17, 23 21:2 23:14,22 24:5 29:10 34:23 full 7:25 29:10 34:23 29:2,7 23:20 15:5,20 16:8 19:8,18,20 fully 47:19 35:9 44:17, 18,22 46:11, 24:12 26:21 27:10,15,22 21:2,5,11 24:12 26:21 furnished 43:19 13 53:5 28:3 29:2,7, 22 33:20 furnished 43:20 given 24:18 26:12 29:3 28:29:2,7, 22 33:20 furnished 43:20 giving 14:6 39:2 47:16 handled 40:19 47:14 future 46:1 47:10 gladly 56:20 Hansen 1:12 good 3:4,13 21:19,20 54:12 happen 41:16 gallons 11:12 36:23 55:11 happened 53:14 gas 34:10 granted 39:21 hard 55:3 |

Index: history..issue

| history 37:8 hold 30:20 | 41:22 | Inc.'s 1:4 3:6 | information 12:1 14:14 38:8 | 6:9 31:20 38:11,16 39:1 49:20 50:12 |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| | | · · | | 54:8,11 |
| Honor 3:13, 25 4:5 6:23 7:2,12 13:1 | identified 19:3 | 47:23 inch 45:17 46:4,14 | infrastructure 12:15 | interpretation s 33:18 |
| 14:10 16:15 17:24 18:23 21:16 26:8 27:9,12 29:6, 12,21 32:3 | immediately 32:16 | include 15:10 48:25 | initial 39:19 input 37:17, 18 48:13 | interpreted 38:3 54:2 |
| 33:16 36:12 37:8 47:13 48:18 56:7,17 | impose 16:19 37:25 | included 18:17 | instead 52:15 | interrupting 18:24 |
| 57:2 hour 44:25 | imposed 31:25 48:9 56:3 | includes 42:24 49:2 | insufficient 45:24 | intervals 36:17 |
| Housekeeper 18:12 20:20 | impossible 35:21 | including 9:20 | intended 19:8 | into 14:21 34:25 35:19 37:18,21,24 |
| 51:4 | impressed 56:2 | inconsistent 49:21 | 11:4 12:12, 13,18 15:8,16 | 41:6 42:1 45:8 |
| however 6:18 11:2 | improper | incorrect 54:19 | 16:19 17:18 37:14 38:4,6, 7,12,13,17, | introduction 7:24 |
| hundred 46:7 48:2 | 37:10 | increased | 18,20,25 39:3,5 49:25 50:1 54:4,5, | Investigation 1:3 3:5 |
| hundreds 41:18 | improperly 52:22,23 | 17:15 indication | 10,15,18 intermingling | invited 15:13 |
| hurried 5:23 | impurity 44:7 | 42:24 | 20:23 | invoices 32:25 33:5 |
| hurry 44:23 | inapposite 43:1 | individuals 53:14 | interpret 54:13 55:15, 16 | issue 30:9 32:23 41:2,13 |
| husband | inappropriate 13:18 16:25 | induce 49:10 | interpretation | 32:23 41:2,13 46:23 47:6 |
| | | | | |

Index: issued..make

| 48:1 51:25 | kept 42:7 | 37:3 | like 4:2,4 7:4, 6 10:23 12:23 | lot 12:9 25:2 28:9 32:15,16 |
|------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| issued 14:11 44:10 45:19 | knew 25:16, 23 | laying 19:12 | 15:12,22 17:2,22 19:16 21:21,25 | 34:3 35:7 40:3 51:23 |
| 46:7,10 | Koford 33:3 | leak 44:24 | 26:16 29:13 32:2 | lots 8:22,23 12:4,7,14 |
| issues 6:15 20:9 36:9 | L | learning 30:11 | limit 20:18 | 13:10 14:18, 21 17:11,16 30:17 32:9 |
| item 23:15 32:4 39:6,7 47:11 | Lake 1:10 2:6 | least 13:17 30:23 | limited 48:25 | 33:11 35:18 40:3,5,10,13, 16 41:7 42:20 |
| items 31:9 41:15 47:14 | land 40:7 50:15 | leave 28:25 | line 4:22 9:14 18:24 44:13 45:25 46:22 | 43:9 46:1 55:24,25 56:3,9,13 |
| 48:4 53:7 | Lands 39:12 41:22 | leeway 37:5 | lines 41:19 | M |
| J | 41.22 | left 55:23 | little 4:18,19 | |
| January 44:14 | language 37:1 47:19 54:9 | lengthy 34:17,20 | 20:9 21:24 37:8 40:9,12 | made 11:3 50:9 53:7,9 54:12 |
| Job 1:14 | largest 44:14 | less 38:25 39:2 45:16 54:5 | live 25:25 | magnification 45:21 |
| judgment 56:25 | last 4:22 5:15 15:25 22:22, 23,24 35:24 | lesser 49:24 | 25:17,23 55:14 | maintain 42:3 |
| July 1:7 3:1 4:12 14:14 | 53:5 56:1 | let 7:24 27:15 32:1 34:17 | LLC 39:12,17 | major 5:6 40:12 |
| 26:24 | 20:11 30:5 37:7,10,11, | 47:18 | located 8:5 | majority 49:11 |
| jump 55:18 | 19,20,25 39:5 53:20,21 54:1 | letter 5:11 22:14 23:11 | Location 1:9 | 43.11 |
| K | 55:13 | 44:10 | looking 15:16 16:5 32:2,4 | make 6:16 7:24 36:7,10, |
| | law 3:14 23:5 | lieu 6:19 | , | 16,20,21 40:4 |
| keep 7:25 | | | | |

| r | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| 45:7 48:1,14 52:18,23 | medical 34:22 | Michael 2:2 | 56:19 | <u> </u> |
| makes 47:21 | melts 36:20 | middle 5:24 | month 11:10, 13,18 35:25 37:2 40:22 | name 3:9,14 8:1 |
| making 4:3 23:6 34:5 35:13 53:17 | memo 8:15 49:19 | might 6:11 17:25 18:23, 24 | monthly 31:2, 4 35:25 36:3, | necessary 19:4 51:3 |
| | memorandum | Mike 3:9 | 5 5 | |
| manner 49:5 | 17:23 21:9 22:3,14 29:14 | minimum | months 36:6 | need 7:24 24:6 25:13 |
| many 52:24 | 37:23 39:8 43:17 48:5 50:23 51:8 | 28:24 32:9 36:23 | 48:12 | 27:4 31:24 33:2 46:2,12 48:12 50:1 |
| matter 37:9 48:7 50:17 53:13 57:1 | mentioned 28:20 55:17, | minor 4:18 6:2 32:23 | more 17:8 30:8 33:19 36:4 45:5,25 46:1 56:14 | 53:15 54:20, 21 |
| may 4:10 7:7 8:17 14:13 15:1,7 17:23, | 24 merely 15:4 | minute 13:2, 15 31:4 | morning 11:1 | needed 5:23 26:12 36:23 37:14 39:10, 14 42:7 44:2 |
| 25 22:3 27:3 28:11 29:24 | 16:16 17:16 28:17 33:16 35:10 | minutes 17:5 | most 36:22 | needs 48:8,9 |
| 30:12 31:14, 15 33:6 37:1 42:11 52:11 | Merit 1:13 | mislead 15:3 | move 10:23 12:23 17:22 | negotiate |
| | merits 33:7 | Miss 19:23 | 18:8 31:12 40:18 45:6 | 34:24,25 45:7 |
| maybe 18:4 33:17 36:4 | | moments | 47:2,3 | negotiating 40:21 |
| meaningless 43:2 | met 37:16 | 17:3 | moved 26:19 27:3 30:22,24 | never 5:17 |
| | meter 31:3,5 35:16,23 | money 41:24 51:10 52:1 | 41:13 56:13 | 22:24,25 32:10 42:5 |
| means 38:4 47:1 54:9 | 36:13,15,17 55:9 | monitor | moving 53:17 | 43:25 44:6 55:7 |
| mechanism 47:23 | meters 35:17, | 48:11 | moving- forward 48:16 | new 27:24 |
| 47.20 | 18,25 | monitoring | must 34:21 | 51:15 53:8 |

Index: next..operations

| r | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| 56:5,6,15,16, 23 | 27:13 40:12 | 0 | October 29:13,16,24 | 15 |
| next 19:13 | notice 5:5,13, 17,18 6:3 10:11,12 | object 12:25 14:4 18:24 | 31:9 41:2 55:25 56:4,7 | Once 40:17 |
| nice 57:6 | 13:17 22:9,16 23:1,7,14,19, 22 24:2,4,5, | 19:17 24:8 26:17,18 33:15 | off 30:20 35:7 43:9 47:3 | one 4:18,19, 21 9:22 17:25 23:14 28:11 |
| night 5:25 | 18,21 25:8, 14,18 26:4,12 | | offended | 29:24 30:2 31:2 32:1,12, |
| nit 48:1 | 34:23 35:10 44:9,17,18,22 45:9 46:10, | objection 6:18 7:10 15:6,20 16:15 18:4 21:13 | 53:14 office 3:20 | 18 36:10 39:17,18 44:12 45:9 51:18 53:7, |
| none 48:5 53:9 56:15 | 11,16,21 | 26:25 33:14 | officer 2:2 | 22,23 54:23 55:1 |
| nonissue 35:15 | noticed 3:5 38:9 | objections 27:6 29:8 | 3:3,10,17,23 4:1,7 6:24 7:3,9,13,16 | ones 9:21 25:16,24 30:3 |
| normal 11:10 | notices 5:21 | obligation 49:16 | 9:9,12 13:23 15:5,13,20 16:8 17:4 | only 6:5 |
| not-approved 45:6 46:25 | notify 44:20 noting 6:9 | obligations 48:24,25 | 18:6 19:8,18, 20 21:2,5,11 24:12 26:21 27:10,15,22 | 10:10 11:16 13:15 20:12 22:6 26:11 33:16 38:16 |
| note 20:16 27:4 33:23 37:7 42:8 44:6 | November 29:25 30:8 | occasionally 36:24 | 28:3 29:2,7, 22 33:20 48:19 51:17, 20 52:9 53:1, | 46:3 52:9 open 14:8 31:5 57:2 |
| noted 6:4,17 | number 9:4,5 17:16 33:10 | occupancy 11:23 | 4 57:3,5 | opened 4:13 |
| 17:12 27:7 31:18 32:14 33:24 34:2 | 44:5 48:4 55:11,23 | occur 47:10 | official 18:25 Olsen 18:12 | operating |
| 35:5,13 39:20 40:1 41:9 44:12 45:10, | numbers 11:25 30:11 | occurred 45:22 50:13 | 20:20 51:4 | 41:19 44:24 46:25 48:2 |
| 11,14 46:17 | numerous 27:7 | 51:13 56:6 occurs 18:3 | Olsen's 37:10 | operation 42:23 |
| nothing 7:19 | | | on-site 25:14, | operations |

Index: opportunity..personally

| [| | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| 40:9 41:5 43:8 | otherwise 6:6 | 12:9 16:13 32:13 36:20 39:7 41:22 | particularly 28:6 | penalized 34:11 39:4 |
| opportunity 19:12 21:3,6 | outlined 39:6 outside 51:24 | 52:24 | parties 4:2 27:4 29:8 | penalty 19:10 27:18,23 |
| 29:10 opposite 56:6 | outstanding | owners 26:4 46:12 | passed 41:22 | 28:6,16,22 31:23,25 33:8 38:3 47:17,23 |
| oral 16:16 | 38:1 | P | past 4:21 28:7 44:5 | 48:8,9 49:9, 11,12 51:5, 14,15,24 55:1 |
| orally 16:23 | over 11:12, 15,18 12:17 20:11 35:20 | p.m. 1:8 17:7 57:8 | 49:8 51:22 52:4 | 56:2,3,8 |
| order 4:11,23 5:18 10:2 | 37:4 41:13 44:5 49:9 56:13 | P.O. 2:5 | past-due 20:14 54:1,3 | people 33:11 35:11 53:19 54:18 |
| 14:11 15:2 16:3 18:15,16 19:24 20:2, 15,16 22:16, | overage 11:14 | paid 12:9 34:1 40:8,24 | Patricia 2:4 3:19 | percent 18:17 37:14,19 48:2 54:1 |
| 19 23:1 24:22 31:24 34:21 45:19,20 47:15,18 | Overruled 19:18,20 24:12 | 9:18,20 5:14 15:23 | pattern 50:25 53:10 | perhaps 21:12 |
| 49:15 51:3 52:14 56:17 | owed 4:24 5:1 10:25 11:5,7 | 37:18 52:13 53:25 | pay 4:24 5:1,2 10:2 12:13 16:4 33:12,13 | period 11:18 44:25 |
| ordered 13:16 14:2 | 16:4,14 52:14,17 | pardon 27:1 29:16 | 34:12 37:19 40:11,15,22 41:17 42:22, 23 50:15 | Permission 39:21 |
| organisms 43:22 | owing 54:3 own 32:16 | part 6:11 12:2 25:8 48:22 49:11,21 56:1 | 52:14,16,25 | permit 39:19 51:18 |
| original 31:23 37:15 | owned 12:7 14:18 30:17 | participate 8:8,11 19:2 | paying 52:6 payment 34:25 | permitted 49:4 |
| originally 18:17 42:21 | 39:16 owner 4:22 | particular 43:2 | penal 37:25 | personally 8:11 |
| | | | | |

Index: petition..provide

| petition 18:16 | 57:1 | 4:16 8:14 29:14,23 | previously 47:17 | 39:20 |
|---|--|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| phase 56:8 | pointed 9:21 | 31:13 | | proffer 7:1 |
| phased 13:8, | points 45:4,5, | present 14:12 | primarily 33:11,25 42:8 | 26:12,17 |
| 9 | 10 46:7 47:2 55:6 | 25:21 33:4 38:21 | prior 13:25 | proffered 26:23 |
| phone 3:24 | portion 19:10 27:18 | presented 16:22 20:6 | 20:12 27:18 38:7 45:12 | proffering 6:18 |
| physically 35:21 | - | 50:22 | priority 10:9 | |
| picked 8:24 | position 17:1 19:9,17,24 27:17,20 29:5 | presiding 2:2 3:3,10,17,23 4:1,7 6:24 | privilege 48:22 | profitability 43:5 |
| piecemeal 36:11 | possible 48:1 | 7:3,9,13,16 9:9,12 13:23 15:5,20 16:8 | pro 40:25 41:17 43:14 | project 13:8 |
| place 3:5 | potentially 13:4 46:1 | 17:4 18:6 19:8,18,20 | 51:10 | projects 13:8 proof 55:20 |
| plan 34:25 46:13 47:1 | pounds 45:16 46:3,14 | 21:2,5,11 24:12 26:21 27:10,15,22 | probably 42:8 | proper 42:3,5 |
| plate 35:20 | | 28:3 29:2,7, 22 33:20 48:19 51:17, | 14:1 | 44:22 46:18 |
| platted 13:9, | precedent 37:4 | 4 57:3,5 | proceed 6:25 19:21 33:22 | properly 26:3 34:2 37:20 47:14 |
| plus 38:6,17 | preference 50:17 | pressure 23:15 45:16 | proceeding 19:2 23:9 | properties 41:11 |
| point 10:22 11:9 13:11,19 | premature 14:23 | presumption 42:15 | 26:22 27:18 35:12,24 37:15 46:10, 20 | property 30:22 39:10, |
| 14:24 15:22 26:16 27:14 28:10 30:3, | premise 50:5 | pretty 34:13 | proceedings | 13 |
| 10,18,19 43:11 46:8 | prepare 8:14 | prevent 36:15 40:20 43:13 | 56:21 | protest 46:20 |
| | prepared | | process 6:16 | provide 5:4, |
| Litigation Services 800-330-1112 | | | | |

Index: provided..receive

| | 1 | | | | |
|---|--|---|----------------------------------|--|--|
| 22 6:3 10:12 13:15 22:9 24:21 25:16 | 47:6,16,21 51:25 | puts 37:19 | 41:17 43:14 51:10 | 36:2,5,19,21 37:2 47:19 52:16 | |
| 24:21 25:16, | | Q | | 52.10 | |
| 22 26:3 30:6 | PSC's 55:22 | | R | | |
| 44:1,2 49:1 | | | | reading 31:2, | |
| provided 5:7, 17 10:11 11:1 13:2 14:11 22:24,25 | pschmid@ agutah.gov 2:7 | Quality 5:12 22:15 26:2, 11,14 44:11, 21 45:1,23 | R309-220-5 23:2 | 3 36:14 55:9 readings 35:16 36:16, | |
| 25:18 30:7 38:9 49:19 | public 1:1,9 2:4 3:21 4:10 | Quality's 23:8 | R746-200-4 36:13 | 17 | |
| 55:20 provides 11:5 | 5:18 8:4 23:1, 12 31:16 43:4 48:22,23 49:1,4,5,22 | question 11:19 15:13 19:13 24:8,13 | R746-330-6 42:10 | really 4:14 30:17 32:25 42:10 43:4,12 50:10 | |
| providing 14:5 30:4 | 50:5,18 51:1 52:7 | 28:12 29:4 32:22 51:18 | raised 30:18 41:2 56:7 | Realtime 1:13 | |
| 44:1 47:8 49:2 | pull 34:18 | questioning 18:25 | rarely 36:24 | reason 34:23 35:9 43:3 | |
| | pump 45:21 | | | 48:10 | |
| provision 14:4 24:2,4 | | questions | rate 38:5,14 | | |
| 31:17 34:7, | pure 43:23 | 17:9 18:1,7 | 42:17,18 | reasonable | |
| 15,19 37:5, | 44:1 47:9 | 21:7,12,14 | 49:25 | 14:6 39:1 | |
| 12,13 43:19 | 55:21 | 26:9 27:11 | | 49:1 51:1 | |
| 47:12 55:22 | 00.21 | 29:3 57:2 | rate-based | 52:3,4,6 | |
| 47.12 00.22 | | | 42:13 | 54:22 | |
| | purity 5:3 | | | <u>_</u> | |
| provisions | 22:7 28:6,12, | Quickbooks | rates 42:16 | | |
| 34:20 | 18 43:16 55:19 | 30:12,13 33:5 37:17,21,24 | 52:22 | reasonably 50:7 55:5 | |
| prudent 49:1 | | | | | |
| 52:3 54:21 | purposes | quid 40:25 | rather 36:10 | recall 30:19 | |
| 02.0 04.21 | 43:21 | 41:17 43:14 | 38:17,23 | 47:17 | |
| prudently | | 51:10 | | | |
| 50:8 | put 16:16 | | read 9:14 | receive 25:14 | |
| | 37:20,24 | quite 48:5 | 16:18 19:5 | 38:3 44:23 | |
| | 41:25 | | 22:22 31:4 | 50:6 | |
| PSC 39:21 | | quo 40:25 | 35:23,25 | | |
| | | | | | |

Index: received..respect

| received 47:15 | 17:5 | 50:25 53:11 | 31:10 | represent 3:15 |
|--|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| receiving 12:10 24:5, 18,23 25:9,13 | record 3:4 8:2 14:7 18:25 19:7 43:4 53:13,16 | rehearing 18:16 | remedy 47:24 49:10 50:20 51:23 56:11 | request 17:3 28:1 |
| 32:10 33:21 35:3 56:1 | recovered | reimposed 31:24 | remove 35:22 | require 24:4 |
| recently 17:11 | 42:19 recovery | reinstate 20:25 51:5 | rendered 43:14 | required 5:18 23:1,3 31:3 45:17 |
| recess 15:19 16:9 17:3,4,7 | 42:15 recreational | reinstated 27:19 51:14 | repair 44:24 | requirement 13:25 |
| recommend 4:20 28:21,24 | 35:17 39:10 | reinstating 20:19 | repeat 9:2 report 4:10, | requires |
| 51:24 recommendat | refer 20:15 | related 18:11 20:4 28:17 | 16,17 6:9,15 14:12 15:9,15 23:7 26:24 27:2 29:14, | reserve 13:19 |
| ion 4:21 9:13 11:3 15:24 16:14 27:23 28:4 31:22 | regard 26:9 31:11 41:14 | remaining 41:7 | 17,25 30:13, 24 31:7,9,13, 15 32:14 | residence 25:17 |
| 36:10 | Regarding 10:9 | remarks 4:3 | 33:17,24 44:4 45:14 46:17 52:11 55:25 | residents 10:11 |
| recommendat ions 30:2 | Registered 1:13 | remediate 46:13,22 | reported 30:24 31:19 | resolve 18:4 21:12 |
| recommende d 10:18 22:10 28:16 | regular 36:15 | remediation 46:2,18 47:1, 10 | Reporter 1:12,13 | resolved 30:9,15 31:1 |
| recommends 4:23 16:2 52:13 | regulated 48:22,23 50:18 51:1 | remediations 48:14 | reports 29:20,24 | 41:13 respect 15:6 |
| reconvene | regulations | remedied | 30:12 31:8 44:3 | 19:9 27:1,24 51:21 |
| | | | | |

Index: respects..share

| r | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| respects 52:24 | 19:25 20:6,18 21:13 24:1,9 31:16 34:15 36:4,14 37:4 | 52:19 53:24 54:6,17 55:17 | 19,22,23 20:22 21:4,8, 23 24:8 25:10,12 | sentence 5:15 15:25 22:22,23,24 52:16 |
| respond 14:14 29:10 42:11 | 42:10 43:1, 11,12,18,24 44:8 48:6 49:13 51:6 | satisfactory 30:14 saw 32:24 | 26:16 27:3, 13,16,20 28:1,11,16,21 29:9,16,19 | separate 33:5,6 |
| response 4:12 6:19 14:7 26:18,23 30:8 31:18 32:4 | 55:9 rules 1:5 3:7 36:13 45:2 | say 4:25 23:21 29:21 30:6 35:7 | 33:14 42:12 48:20,21 51:18,19 52:2,20 53:2, 3,7,20 57:7 | separated 55:2 |
| responsible 54:20 | 47:6 49:6 53:10 ruling 48:7,17 | 36:25 40:14, 22 41:16 47:15 54:17 56:5 | Schnars 30:7 35:21 | September 11:21,23 serve 46:1 |
| result 5:19 23:2 | S | saying 5:16 30:5 33:13 | seated 4:5,8 7:10 | served 47:23 |
| resulted 43:7 | safe 49:2 | says 4:22 5:4 15:18,25 22:8 | second 12:2 30:11 45:24 | serves 45:25 |
| revenues 40:10 review 14:20 31:13 41:3 | said 5:15 16:13 20:17 32:24 33:1 38:4,11 40:17 45:23 46:11 | 34:8 36:2,5, 15 37:2,25 43:20,22 47:15 49:25 50:2 53:21,25 54:20,23 | 46:6 52:13 53:9 section 16:5 23:24 24:17 | service 1:1,9 31:16 34:16, 17,20,22 35:3,4,5 49:2 50:7 |
| 48:12 | 50:11 53:20 56:9 | 55:2,9 | 35:14 42:11, 13,15 46:14 | services 43:14 |
| reviewing 6:13 52:10 | sale 42:19 | Schmid 2:4, 18 3:19 6:14 7:4,6,22 9:16 | seems 34:12 49:20 | set 34:20 42:21 51:7 |
| revisions 45:7 | sales 13:13 Salt 1:10 2:6 | 10:8,22 11:14 12:22 13:23, 24 15:1,11, 12,19 16:9, | send 25:24 32:17,25 54:23 | setting 42:16 |
| risk 47:10 | same 9:19 | 11,12 17:2,6, 8,10,21 18:2, 7,9,10 19:12, | sent 23:11 | sewer 42:14 |
| rule 14:1 | 12:12 51:11 | .,0,.0.10.12, | 32:19 | share 40:15 |

HEARING, DOCKET NO. 18-2372-01 - 07/18/2019 Index: shareholder..standby

shareholder **simple** 38:4, **snow** 31:5 46:2 spreadsheet 13:12 14:22 41:25 23 35:20,22 36:1,19 **South** 1:3,10 shareholders simply 44:7 2:5,9 3:5,15 spreadsheets 39:18 **sold** 30:20 4:13 5:21 8:5 14:5 39:13 40:5, 24:10 26:3 **since** 20:22 30:5 31:17,18 10,14,17 **Shauna** 2:17 33:5 35:24 Springer 41:6,8,9 32:6,7,19 3:22 7:6,17 41:22 6:12,15 7:10, 56:13 33:1 34:4 8:3 50:22 13 15:7,12,23 35:2 37:10, 19:1 21:15,19 **single** 25:19 15,23 38:2 **sole** 41:21 28:3 30:13 should 4:25 31:21 44:6 39:14 41:23, 32:15,24 8:23,25 9:14 53:23 54:6,16 25 42:4 33:4,24 34:2, 10:3 14:12,15 **solo** 3:25 43:13.25 14 38:9,10,20 16:25 27:18 44:22 45:11, **site** 38:22 41:9,12 52:12 28:5 30:5 20 46:15,23 somebody 31:25 32:25 47:7,15,22,25 35:6 situation 43:2 35:15 36:2 Springer's 48:15,21,24 49:11 50:21 37:2 38:11,12 33:17 37:22 49:7,12 somehow 39:8 40:2 39:3 41:17 53:17,23 43:21 49:25 32:25 54:12,22 56:9 44:4 46:17 **six** 28:19 51:10,11,14, 57:1 31:15 47:13 25 52:16,21 48:12 55:6 square 45:17 someone 54:9 56:3 3:24 12:13 speak 21:24 46:4,14 34:12,16 Slapped shouldn't 37:11 **speaks** 19:1 **staff** 26:6 42:17 50:10 something 24:9 26:20 35:21,24 21:6 37:16 **slash** 5:1 **shows** 8:22 10:5,7,25 specifically 50:24 53:13 standard 5:4 11:7 12:7 somewhat 20:18 28:17 16 18:11 20:10 16:3 23:1 10:9 22:7 39:7 50:14 24:3 28:7,13,18 **speed** 44:23 **shut** 41:5 43:16,23 43:8 slower 9:2 **soon** 36:19 **spend** 6:13 standby 8:22 **sight** 43:21 11:6 12:3,4, **sorry** 21:23 small 28:7,9, 13,16 25:3 **sphere** 51:24 22 50:9 24:16 27:2 30:16,23,24 50:15 significant 32:8 33:10,25 13:4 **spirit** 37:3 **smell** 43:21 35:3 40:1,2 **sort** 20:23

Index: start..termination

| 50:16 | statutes 49:6 | submit 4:11 | suspending 47:16 | tank 44:13,14 45:15 |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| start 5:23 39:12,20 | stay 4:5,8 33:24 36:22 46:4 | submitted 4:10 13:22 | suspension 20:3 | tanks 41:19 |
| started 11:19, 21 37:9 39:22 | staying 7:10 | sufficient 40:5 | sustain 15:6 | tardy 14:3 |
| starting 30:1 | Steed 5:1 6:20 12:7 | suggest 52:2 | swear 7:14 | tariff 1:5 3:7 11:20,24 12:8,14 13:14 |
| state 7:25 12:8 15:16 | 14:17 41:21 45:12 50:14 | Suite 2:9 | sworn 7:8,19 | 19:25 20:6, 17,18 31:3,17 35:11 36:2,3, |
| 21:6 26:10 stated 9:19 | Steeds 10:3, 6,7,25 11:8 | summarize 17:13 | system 5:15, 17 12:10 22:24,25 | 10,11 37:1,5, 14,18,19,24 38:25 39:21 |
| 16:14,17 | 13:7 16:3 30:17,19 39:16 40:7 | summary 6:6 44:5 47:13 | 23:11 40:18 45:3 49:3 | 43:12 48:6 49:7,14,20,25 50:2 51:7 |
| statement 16:17 23:6 47:21 53:9 | 41:4 42:6 6 50:20 51:9,21 | supplemente d 20:7 42:6 | T | 52:21 53:25 55:14,15 56:14 |
| statements 27:7 53:7 | steps 39:19 | support 49:19 51:7 | take 3:11 14:19 16:9 20:16 32:1 | technical 6:5 8:7 |
| states 12:14 43:19 | still 16:8 17:24 20:12 45:4 46:25 47:5 | supposed 12:11 24:21 | 37:4 41:24 47:24 53:12 | Technically 36:25 |
| stating 33:17 | stipulate 4:16 | 44:19 Supreme | taken 31:10 47:3 | Teri 1:12 |
| status 45:5,6, 8 46:25 47:4 | 6:8 subdivision | 43:7 | talk 31:3 | terminate 34:22,23 |
| statute 19:25 20:6,17 26:14 | 10:12 | suspended 18:17,21 19:10 20:19 | talked 44:18 55:13 | 35:2,4,6 |
| 34:8 49:13 51:6 | subject 18:20 51:11 | | talks 4:20 | terminating 34:16 |
| | | | 00.220.1110 | termination |

HEARING, DOCKET NO. 18-2372-01 - 07/18/2019 Index: testified..understanding

| r | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| 34:19 35:1,10 | thought 5:16 52:17 | title 8:1,6,7 | treatment 42:14 | 54:24 55:3 |
| testified 7:20 | thousand 9:15 11:11,17 | titled 34:19 42:13 | tried 5:23 35:10 50:20 | type 40:25 48:16,17 |
| testify 15:7 | 9.10 11.11,17 | today 3:24 | 33.10 30.20 | types 56:21 |
| testimony 4:3 6:16,20 16:16 20:5,7,23 | thousands 41:18 | 20:8 27:25 | trivial 48:5 50:17 | typo 4:19 5:2 |
| testing 44:2 | three 20:1 35:19 49:14 | 36:10 | truth 7:14,19, 20 | U |
| than 21:8 26:4,15 32:11 | through 42:19 | took 11:22 37:17 | truthful 19:6 | uh-huh 10:16 12:6 24:23 |
| 36:10 38:17, 23,25 45:5,16 | Thy 54:4 | top 35:20 | try 14:20 33:19 34:24 35:12 | ultimately |
| their 4:13 5:9 11:20 19:16 | tied 20:20 | total 9:5 12:17,20,21 46:8 | trying 15:3 | 31:22 39:17 43:9 |
| 25:17 33:15 34:5,12 35:24 37:17 40:15 41:5 43:15 | Tier 5:18 22:25 46:11 | towards 53:15 | 16:16,23 33:23 41:4 47:25 | unapproved 32:5 55:7 |
| 44:1 45:15 46:15 48:13 51:22 | ties 43:24 | transmittal 22:14 | turn 5:12,13 21:22,25 | unauthorized 32:7,20,22 |
| therefore 8:25 11:25 31:22 51:14 | time 3:4 6:13 14:6 27:8 30:18 40:6,14 44:2,16 45:12 55:3 | treat 52:6 53:22 55:16 | 22:13,19 35:7 Turning 12:2 17:10 | unaware 34:7 40:20,24 43:12 |
| thing 42:8 | timelines 15:2 | treated 50:6 53:19,23 54:6,15,17 56:10 | two 4:18 6:5 11:16 13:2 20:4 23:13 | under 4:11 5:10,18 8:21 13:5 23:24 26:14 36:22 |
| things 44:24 49:18 50:9 | timely 13:1 | treating 54:18 | 29:20,24 32:25 33:6 39:11 41:15 | 43:23 45:2 51:3 |
| third 5:14 | times 13:9 | 55:4,5 | 44:11 45:13, 16,21,24 | understandin g 10:10 13:5 |

Index: understands..whatever

| 24:20 25:15, 22 26:22 30:14,23 41:12 | unsold 40:2, 16 unsupportabl e 51:12 | 3:21 4:10 8:5 43:20 utility 4:23 5:4 6:3 8:7 | 44:6,7 46:6 47:6,8,12,20 48:6 55:9,12, 21 56:15,18 | 12:10,11 14:17 22:7 24:6,18,24,25 25:3,4,9,13, 16 26:2,13 |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| understands 33:21 | unsuspended 48:8 | 10:2,3,10 16:14 22:8 34:21 36:16 48:22,23 | violations 6:10 19:25 20:6,21 23:13 27:24 28:8, | 28:6,9,12,18 30:25 31:17 32:17 34:9, 10,17,21,22 |
| underway 47:5 undeveloped 14:18 32:9,15 35:7 51:23 55:23,24,25 | until 14:14 36:9 40:4 untimely 14:14 | 49:1,4,6,16, 17,22 50:5,7, 18 51:1,22,25 52:3,4,6,7,14, 16,21,23,25 53:16 54:2 | 14,20,22 31:15 49:18 50:10,13 51:7,13,16 53:8,9 56:6, 16,22,23 | 35:3,8 38:5,6, 17 39:10,14, 15,18,20,24, 25 40:9,11, 18,21,24 41:24,25 42:4,7,14,16, |
| undue 50:17 | update 10:21 | V | visit 31:13 | 23 43:16,19, 20,23 44:1,2, 7,21,23 45:11 |
| unduly 49:5 | upper 46:14 | veracity 27:6 | W | 46:9,10 47:9 49:3 54:3,11, 24 55:19,21 |
| unfamiliar 13:24 | urges 51:12 usage 11:14 | verify 13:6, 10,12 14:22 | wait 36:9 45:23 | way 12:11 38:9,19 40:19 |
| uniform 54:16 | use 11:16 | viewed 45:4 | want 10:1 33:12 38:3 | 50:1,19 53:15,22,24 |
| uniformly 54:7 | 23:11 33:15 using 34:5 | violate 49:13 | 48:10 52:18 54:12 55:15 | weather 36:5, 15 37:6 55:10 |
| uniquely 35:5 | UT 1:10 2:6, 10 | violation 5:13,14,17 6:1 8:21 9:23 10:10,17 | wanted 36:8 40:4 41:16 | welcome 4:7 32:4 |
| unless 31:4 36:5 | Utah 1:1 3:20 5:18 14:1 | 13:20 14:17 17:10 20:12, 17 22:16 | wants 38:18 water 1:3 2:9 | went 37:16,17 49:17 |
| unreasonable 49:21 54:25 | 23:1,24,25 | 31:11,21 33:7 34:7 35:14 37:1,6 38:24 | 3:6,16 5:3,22 6:1 8:25 10:9 11:6,10,12 | West 2:9 |
| | utilities 2:4 | 39:5 43:11,25 | , -, | whatever |

Index: whether..yet

| | | | |
|---|--|--|------|
| 42:12,20 48:12 | 34:8 44:25 | wrote 52:12 | |
| whether 6:10 | without 19:15 | Y | |
| 11:19 15:8,9 25:14,21 27:5,23 | witness 2:16 3:21 7:5,7,15, 18 9:11 16:7, 10 19:4 25:11 | year 31:1 56:12 | |
| whichever 42:1 | 27:16 28:1,5, 15,19,23 29:4 | years 20:1,11 39:23 44:5 49:14 52:5 | |
| While 46:23 | word 29:11 53:5 | yet 25:3 28:8 35:8 40:17 | |
| whole 7:19 37:9 | words 16:23 38:1,13 51:25 | 55.6 40.17 | |
| will 6:16 20:15 29:9 30:6,19 31:12 | work 8:4 | | |
| 36:16 37:7,22 40:15,18 46:1,3,5,24 | worked 46:24 | | |
| 47:2,3,15,17, 22,23 50:2,3, 6,7 51:18 54:2,15,16, 17,23 56:20 | working 46:16,19,21, 24 47:7,11 55:4 | | |
| willing 14:19 | worried 38:2 | | |
| 36:3,8 | write 41:23 | | |
| wind 41:4 | writing 55:3 | | |
| winter 36:6 | written 5:5 6:3 10:12,14 | | |
| wish 16:8 | wrong 49:24 | | |
| within 20:1 | | | |