-BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH-

)))

)

))

))

Request of Bridgerland Water Company for a Rate Review for Approval of a Conservation Rate Increase

DOCKET NO. 21-001-01 Exhibit No. DPU 1.0 DIR Mark Long

For the Division of Public Utilities Department of Commerce State of Utah

Direct Testimony of

Mark Long

December 8, 2021

Contents

INTRODUCTION	1
IDENTIFICATION OF WITNESS	1
PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	2
THE DIVISION'S WATER POLICY OBJECTIVES	2
FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY	4
CAPITAL RESERVE ACCOUNT	6
WATER CONSERVATION	7
THE RATE MODEL USED BY THE DIVISION IN MAKING ITS	
RECOMMENDATION	
COMPANY BACKGROUND	9
BRIDGERLAND'S CURRENT RATES AND FEES	
RECOMMENDED RATES AND FEES	
RATE COMPARISON	
THE DIVISION'S SUPPORTING EXHIBITS	17
RATE RECOMMENDATION	
TARIFF RECOMMENDATION	
FINAL COMMENTS	

1 INTRODUCTION

2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS, AND POSITION WITH
3		THE DIVISION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES.
4	A.	My name is Mark A. Long. My business address is Heber M. Wells Building, 160 East
5		300 South, 4 th Floor, Salt Lake City, Utah. I am employed by the Department of
6		Commerce, Division of Public Utilities (Division or DPU) for the State of Utah as a
7		Utility Technical Consultant.
8	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL
9		EXPERIENCE.
10	A.	I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting from the University of Utah. I was
11		employed for 22 years with the Utah Tax Commission as a tax auditor and criminal
12		investigator. I have been employed by the Division since December of 2008. I am a
13		member of the Association of Government Accountants and the Association of Certified
14		Fraud Examiners.
15	IDE	NTIFICATION OF WITNESS
16	Q.	FOR WHICH PARTY WILL YOU BE OFFERING TESTIMONY IN THIS
17		CASE?
18	A.	I will be testifying on behalf of the Division.
19	Q.	HAVE YOU TESTIFIED BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF
20		UTAH (COMMISSION) REGARDING WATER RATE CASES ON PRIOR
21		OCCASIONS?
22	A.	Yes. I have testified before the Commission as an expert witness, most recently in Docket
23		Nos. 19-2438-01, 10-2529-01, 11-097-01, 11-097-02, 11-097-03, 12-2443-01, and
24		13-2506-01.

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR PARTICIPATION IN THE DIVISION'S REVIEW OF BRIDGERLAND WATER COMPANY (BRIDGERLAND OR COMPANY) IN THIS DOCKET.

A. I am the lead investigative auditor assigned to this case. I have been involved with and

- 29 participated in the review, investigation, and analysis of Bridgerland's operations,
- 30 revenues, and expenses. I reviewed and analyzed all the documentation and data
- 31 submitted with the rate case, submitted and reviewed several emails, and +conducted
- 32 multiple phone discussions and virtual meetings. Also, I have directed Division analysts
- in gathering, reviewing, and analyzing information in this case.

34 PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

35 Q. PLEASE STATE THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY.

- 36 A. My testimony will first describe general ratemaking principles and the rate case process
 37 related to water utilities regulated by the Commission.
- Second, my testimony will describe the policies and guiding principles of the Division in
 advocating a rate structure for regulated water companies. My testimony will also address
- 40 how the rate model utilized by the Division achieves the Division's policy objectives.
- Third, my testimony will provide background on Bridgerland and present my analysis
 regarding the financial aspects of the Company, which includes 11 exhibits. I will also
 recommend new rates and fees and related tariff changes.

44 THE DIVISION'S WATER POLICY OBJECTIVES

45 Q. FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE COMPANY AND ITS CUSTOMERS, WILL YOU 46 PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE THE RATE CASE PROCESS FOR 47 REGULATED WATER COMPANIES?

48 A. Yes. Let me first remind interested parties that customers are not only paying for water;
49 they are also paying for the infrastructure and range of services required to receive a

clean and reliable water supply. Fresh, pure water is expensive to pump, store, treat, andtransport to the tap.

52 Since public utility ratemaking is prospective, rates are calculated in advance of the 53 consumer using the services. The rates are designed to allow the public utility the 54 opportunity to fully recover all costs prudently incurred by the company in providing 55 service now and in the future. Thus, an estimate is made of the future cost of service 56 based on a historical or forecast "test year," which includes operations and maintenance 57 expenses, reserves or savings, return on investment, and taxes. Test year costs can be 58 adjusted to include known and measurable changes that the company will incur. Test year 59 costs are also normalized to provide an accurate estimate of future expenses. Consumers 60 have an obligation to reimburse the company at rates that will cover its costs, fund a 61 capital reserve account, and provide an opportunity to earn a return on its investment in infrastructure. 62

63

Q. WHAT ARE THE DIVISION'S MAIN POLICY OBJECTIVES?

64

A.

The DPU has several policy objectives defined in Utah Code Section 54-4a-6, including:

65	(1) Promote the safe, healthy, economic, efficient, and reliable operation
66	of all public utilities and their services, instrumentalities, equipment, and
67	facilities;
68	(2) provide for just, reasonable, and adequate rates, charges,
69	classifications, rules, regulations, practices, and services of public
70	utilities;
71	(3) Make the regulatory process as simple and understandable as possible
72	so that it is acceptable to the public; feasible, expeditious, and efficient to
73	apply; and designed to minimize controversies over interpretation and
74	application;
75	(4) For purposes of guiding the activities of the Division of Public
76	Utilities, the phrase "just, reasonable, and adequate" encompasses, but is
77	not limited to the following criteria:
78	(a) Maintain the financial integrity of public utilities by assuring a
79	sufficient and fair rate of return;
80	(b) Promote efficient management and operation of public utilities;
81	(c) Protect the long-range interest of consumers in obtaining continued
82	quality and adequate levels of service at the lowest cost consistent with
83	the other provisions of Subsection (4).

84 85 86 87 88 89		 (d) Provide for fair apportionment of the total cost of service among customer categories and individual customers and prevent undue discrimination in rate relationships; (e) Promote stability in rate levels for customers and revenue requirements for utilities from year to year; and (f) Protect against wasteful use of public utility services.
90	Q.	IN SATISFYING THE POLICY OBJECTIVES SET FORTH ABOVE, HAS THE
91		DPU SET CERTAIN POLICY GOALS RELATED TO WATER COMPANIES?
92	A.	Yes. The DPU has two primary objectives or goals to achieve through the rate-setting
93		process for water companies. The first objective is promoting financial sustainability for
94		the water company, which will help ensure reliable service at just and reasonable rates.
95		The second objective of the DPU is to encourage water conservation. The DPU achieves
96		these goals by adopting an increasing block rate structure (tiered rates) for water usage
97		and separating the recovery of fixed, system-related costs from volumetric charges
98		related to water.
99	FINA	ANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY
99 100	FINA Q.	ANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE DIVISION'S RATE MODEL PROMOTES THE
100		PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE DIVISION'S RATE MODEL PROMOTES THE
100 101	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE DIVISION'S RATE MODEL PROMOTES THE GOAL OF FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY.
100 101 102	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE DIVISION'S RATE MODEL PROMOTES THE GOAL OF FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY. The DPU rate model promotes this goal through the following four principles.
100 101 102 103	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE DIVISION'S RATE MODEL PROMOTES THE GOAL OF FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY. The DPU rate model promotes this goal through the following four principles. 1. Customer rates generally should be set to recover all reasonable and prudent
100 101 102 103 104	Q.	 PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE DIVISION'S RATE MODEL PROMOTES THE GOAL OF FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY. The DPU rate model promotes this goal through the following four principles. 1. Customer rates generally should be set to recover all reasonable and prudent costs that the water company incurs in providing the service. Full-cost pricing
100 101 102 103 104 105	Q.	 PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE DIVISION'S RATE MODEL PROMOTES THE GOAL OF FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY. The DPU rate model promotes this goal through the following four principles. 1. Customer rates generally should be set to recover all reasonable and prudent costs that the water company incurs in providing the service. Full-cost pricing refers to the practice of ensuring that the rates provide a revenue stream that
100 101 102 103 104 105 106	Q.	 PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE DIVISION'S RATE MODEL PROMOTES THE GOAL OF FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY. The DPU rate model promotes this goal through the following four principles. 1. Customer rates generally should be set to recover all reasonable and prudent costs that the water company incurs in providing the service. Full-cost pricing refers to the practice of ensuring that the rates provide a revenue stream that adequately covers the company's ongoing operations and maintenance
100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107	Q.	 PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE DIVISION'S RATE MODEL PROMOTES THE GOAL OF FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY. The DPU rate model promotes this goal through the following four principles. 1. Customer rates generally should be set to recover all reasonable and prudent costs that the water company incurs in providing the service. Full-cost pricing refers to the practice of ensuring that the rates provide a revenue stream that adequately covers the company's ongoing operations and maintenance expenses, reserves or savings, return on investment, and taxes. The most
100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108	Q.	 PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE DIVISION'S RATE MODEL PROMOTES THE GOAL OF FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY. The DPU rate model promotes this goal through the following four principles. 1. Customer rates generally should be set to recover all reasonable and prudent costs that the water company incurs in providing the service. Full-cost pricing refers to the practice of ensuring that the rates provide a revenue stream that adequately covers the company's ongoing operations and maintenance expenses, reserves or savings, return on investment, and taxes. The most apparent benefit of full-cost pricing is the ability of the company to
100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109	Q.	 PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE DIVISION'S RATE MODEL PROMOTES THE GOAL OF FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY. The DPU rate model promotes this goal through the following four principles. 1. Customer rates generally should be set to recover all reasonable and prudent costs that the water company incurs in providing the service. Full-cost pricing refers to the practice of ensuring that the rates provide a revenue stream that adequately covers the company's ongoing operations and maintenance expenses, reserves or savings, return on investment, and taxes. The most apparent benefit of full-cost pricing is the ability of the company to consistently meet all ongoing operational, maintenance, and capital costs to

112	less than full-cost pricing often results in a degraded system, thereby
113	compromising the quality of service provided.
114	The Division generally discourages the practice of relying on developer
115	subsidies to recover costs. One possible deviation from this would be for a new
116	company in the initial years of providing service that may need a developer
117	subsidy until enough residents support the company.
118	2. Fixed costs are generally recovered through fixed rates. Generally, water
119	companies should limit recovering fixed costs through volumetric rates. Fixed
120	costs are divided between fixed system expenses and fixed system usage
121	expenses. These fixed cost categories are explained below:
122	a. Fixed system expenses are paid equally by all customers, including
123	both standby and connected customers. Fixed system expense fees pay
124	for a portion (generally 20% of fixed expenses plus 80% of the reserve
125	account, unless otherwise specified) of the water utility's fixed costs.
126	Customers with a standby status pay only these fees.
127	b. Fixed system usage expenses are the remainder of the unpaid fixed
128	expenses not paid through the fixed system expense. Fees are paid
129	equally by only those customers connected to the water system. Fixed
130	usage expenses are costs that are more directly related to the pumping,
131	treating, and storage of the water provided to each connected customer
132	every month. Fixed System Usage Fees plus Fixed System Fees
133	constitute the base rate paid by all connected customers.
134	3. Variable costs are recovered through consumption or volumetric rates. The
135	basic consumption rate is set at the incremental cost of producing and
136	delivering water.
137	4. The establishment and continued funding of a capital reserve account.

138 CAPITAL RESERVE ACCOUNT

139 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN WHAT THE CAPITAL RESERVE ACCOUNT IS AND HOW 140 IT IS FUNDED.

- A. The capital reserve account is a fund primarily used for the repair and replacement ofinfrastructure. It is funded from two sources.
- First, the capital reserve account is funded through base rates at an amount equal to the depreciation expense. It is included in the rate base as a fixed cost and, therefore, is funded from both the rates of standby and connected customers. These funds should be deposited in a reserve account after each billing period.
- 147The second funding source is from amounts billed in conservation tiers over and above148the incremental variable cost of providing service. Conservation rates will be discussed149later in this testimony.

150 Q. HOW DOES THE CAPITAL RESERVE ACCOUNT CONTRIBUTE TO THE 151 WATER COMPANY'S FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY?

- A. The establishment and funding of a capital reserve account allows the water company to respond quickly to emergencies and reduce the need for special assessments or expedited rate cases in the event of infrastructure failure. The account also reduces the need for excessive borrowing of money to repair and replace infrastructure. Setting aside reserves is critical to developing and maintaining financial stability. It can mean the difference between a self-sustaining system and one that may fall victim to disrepair or become financially unstable during even a relatively small emergency.
- 159 The targeted minimum amount to be set aside annually for capital reserves is equal to the 160 company's annual depreciation expense before making any adjustments for Contributions 161 in Aid of Construction (CIAC). Ideally, the capital reserve account funding would be 162 based on the projected replacement value of the infrastructure, which would better reflect 163 the actual costs of replacing the infrastructure. However, due to cost considerations

- 164 resulting in higher rates, the Division recommends setting the reserve funding at original
- 165 costs rather than replacement costs. The Company should closely monitor its reserve
- 166 balance to ensure it has adequate funds to meet its needs. The Commission has the
- 167 authority to require any public utility to establish such an account, for example, under
- 168 Utah Code Section 54-4-24.

169 WATER CONSERVATION

170 Q. HOW DOES THE DPU PROMOTE ITS SECOND MAJOR POLICY OBJECTIVE 171 OF ENCOURAGING WATER CONSERVATION?

- A. Let me preface this by noting that, 2020 was the driest year on record for Utah, according
 to the National Center for Environmental Information report. The center's records for Utah
 date back to 1895, before it was even a state. According to the U.S. Drought Monitor it's a
 large reason why over two-thirds of Utah is currently listed as being in an exceptional
 drought, and about 90% of the state is in at least an extreme drought.¹
- 177 The DPU rate model promotes water conservation using an increasing tiered rate for 178 usage over a fixed number of gallons per month. In this Bridgerland case, 6,000 gallons 179 per month are included in the base rate and in the escalation of the increasing block units 180 (tiers). These tiers are typically priced at 1.5 to 2 times higher than the previous level; 181 they are known as conservation rates or conservation tiers. Utah Code Section 182 $73-10-32.5(1)^2$ states that a retail water provider shall establish a culinary water rate 183 structure that incorporates increasing block units of water used and provides for an 184 increase in the rate charged for additional block units of water used as usage increases
- 185 from one block unit to the next.

¹ US climate report: Utah shatters dry record, parts of state break climate heat records in 2020 per KSL.com, posted on January 9, 2021. <u>US climate report: Utah shatters dry record, parts of state break climate heat records in 2020</u> <u>KSL.com</u>

² Utah Code Section 73-10-32.5. Culinary water pricing structure. Effective 5/10/2016. https://le.utah.gov/xcode/Title73/Chapter10/73-10-S32.5.html

186 Q. EARLIER IN YOUR TESTIMONY, YOU MENTIONED USING THESE 187 CONSERVATION RATES AS PART OF THE FUNDING SOURCE FOR THE 188 CAPITAL RESERVE ACCOUNT. PLEASE ELABORATE.

A. Since all variable costs of providing service are recovered in the consumption rate,
amounts billed over that rate would be above cost. The Division's analysis shows it is
appropriate to transfer these incremental funds to the capital reserve account, since
consumers using larger quantities of water are causing more wear and tear to the water
system and should contribute more funding for the repair and replacement of that
infrastructure.

195 Q. IF THE WATER COMPANY IS COLLECTING REVENUE ABOVE COST, 196 DOES THAT CONSTITUTE OVEREARNING?

A. If the excess revenue were going to benefit the owners or shareholders of a company, it
might be considered overearning. However, the DPU recommends that this revenue
remain in the company's capital reserve account to benefit all customers in maintaining a
financially sound a viable utility by providing adequate funds to meet future
contingencies.

THE RATE MODEL USED BY THE DIVISION IN MAKING ITS RECOMMENDATION

204 Q. CAN YOU GIVE A MORE DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE DIVISION'S 205 RATE MODEL USED FOR BRIDGERLAND?

- A. Yes. The Division has developed this model over several years and rate case studies to
 produce a just and reasonable pricing structure that works within the guidelines set forth
 by the Public Service Commission Rules, and that is in the public interest. It is adapted to
 individual cases taking into account each company's unique business.
- In this case, the Division used the latest financial information, which consists of the 2019 and 2020 Water Annual Reports and information submitted in the Company's request for a rate increase. This information was used to obtain a baseline of its reported revenues

213 and expenses and the number of water users, standby customers, total gallons delivered, 214 etc. The Division also received and incorporated information from virtual meetings, and 215 phone calls. The Division researched and analyzed the information and recommended 216 making appropriate adjustments to reduce or increase the amounts submitted by the 217 Company.

- 218 The resulting adjusted expenses are then classified as either a fixed or variable expense. 219 Rates are calculated to cover all fixed costs through base rates charged to all customers. 220 This model ensures that if even a drop of water is not delivered, the Company will have 221 the opportunity to recover all fixed expenses. Bridgerland currently includes 6,000 222 gallons per month in its base rate. The Division's rate case model also uses 6,000 gallons 223 to be included in the base rate and the escalation of the increasing block units in this rate 224 case. The rate of the first 6,000 gallons used each month is based on the actual costs to 225 provide the water, which is minimal. To encourage conservation, the Division increased 226 the rate of the next 6,000 gallons used that month and continues to do so until the final 227 tier quantity of water is reached, at which time, the rate remains constant. Using this 228 model, when customers consume more than 12,000 gallons in a month, putting them in 229 tier 3 of the rate structure, the water company is potentially earning more than the amount 230 allowed by the Commission. (The first 12,000 gallons is priced to cover only the variable 231 costs and would not constitute "over-earning.") To overcome this potential "over-232 earning" scenario, the Company is required to deposit any excess net earnings from usage 233 above 12,000 gallons per month into its capital reserve account, as discussed earlier.
- 234 **COMPANY BACKGROUND**

235 236

Q. PLEASE PROVIDE A BRIEF HISTORICAL BACKGROUND OF **BRIDGERLAND.**

237 A. Bridgerland was built and is managed and maintained by Ted and Dixie Wilson, the 238 original owner/developer of Bridgerland Village in Garden City, Utah. Bridgerland 239 currently has 180 connected customers and 254 standby customers. Its Certificate of

Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) Number 1847 was issued on July 23, 1988,
with a service area approved for up to 434 connections.

242 Q. PLEASE GIVE A BRIEF SUMMARY OF BRIDGERLAND'S WATER SUPPLY

243 AS FOUND IN BRIDGERLAND'S DIRECT TESTIMONY.

244 Bridgerland's direct testimony explains that its culinary water comes from one source, an 245 aquifer called Payne Spring. Bridgerland also states that it has been a reliable and clean 246 aquifer and gives adequate supply even during the heavy use season.

247 Q. PLEASE STATE THE DATE OF BRIDGERLAND'S LAST RATE INCREASE.

- A. The last rate increase was approved on August 25, 2005, in Docket No. 05-001-T01.
- 249 The following year, on April 24, 2006, the Commission approved the addition of a late
- 250 fee of 1.5% per month to be charged on any account which is 30 days past due from the
- 251 billing date. The remainder rates and fees remained the same.

252 BRIDGERLAND'S CURRENT RATES AND FEES

253 Q. WHAT ARE THE CURRENT RATE STRUCTURE AND FEES ORDERED IN

254 DOCKET NO. 05-001-T01, PRESENTLY IN PLACE AT BRIDGERLAND?

A. The following chart shows the monthly rates and fees, as approved inDocket No. 05-001-T01:

257

Description		Charges	
Water Rates			
First 6,000 gallons (minimum rate)	\$	24.00	per month
Usage per 1,000 gallons over 6,000 gallons	\$	1.75	
Temporarily Unmetered Lots	\$	24.00	per month
Fees and Other Charg	ges		
Standby Fees	\$	10.00	
Disconnect fees	\$	50.00	per occurrence
Re-connect fees	\$	50.00	per occurrence
First time service connection			
³ / ₄ "-Line meter connection fee	\$	2,250.00	
1"-Line meter connection fee	\$	3,000.00	
Interest rate on bills past due by 30 days or more	189	% per annum	(1.5% per month)

Table 1 Current rates and fees for Bridgerland

258

259 Q. IS TABLE 1 AN IDENTICAL CHART TO THAT FOUND IN BRIDGERLAND'S 260 TARIFF, DOCKET NO. 05-001-T01?

A. No. Although all the amounts and wording are the same, the Division changed the orderof some of the rates and fees for comparison purposes to be used later.

263 Q. WHAT DOES THE DIVISION CONCLUDE ABOUT THE CURRENT RATES 264 AND RATE STRUCTURE?

- A. The Division's analysis shows that the current rates and rate structure no longer cover
 fixed costs and do not have an effective conservation rate with an increasing tiered rate
 structure (increasing block unit) as authorized in Utah Code 73-10-32.5(1)³. The rates
- and rate structure no longer result in just and reasonable rates and are no longer in the
- 269 public interest.

³ Utah Code 73-10-32.5. Culinary water pricing structure. Effective 5/10/2016. <u>https://le.utah.gov/xcode/Title73/Chapter10/73-10-S32.5.html</u>

270 RECOMMENDED RATES AND FEES

271 Q. WHAT RATES ARE THE DIVISION PROPOSING IN THIS DOCKET?

- A. The rates, as detailed in Exhibit 2.2 and further explained in Exhibit 2.2.a, are listed
- 273 below, under Water Rates section:

Troposed faces and fees for Diragenand				
Description	Charges			
Water Rates				
First 6,000 gallons (included in Base Rates)	\$ 94.00 per month			
Usage per 1,000 gallons				
Tier 1 (0 to 6,000 gals)	\$ 0.00			
Tier 2 (6,001 to 12,000 gals)	\$ 5.50			
Tier 3 (12,001 to 18,000 gals)	\$ 11.00			
Tier 4 (18,001 to 24,000 gals)	\$ 16.50			
Tier 5 (> 24,000 gals)	\$ 25.00			
Temporarily Unmetered Lots	\$ 94.00 per month			
Fees and Other Ch	arges			
Standby Fees *	\$ 29.00 per month			
Disconnect fees	\$ 120.00 per occurrence			
Re-connect fees	\$ 120.00 per occurrence			
First time service connection				
³ / ₄ "-Line meter connection fee	\$ 4,700.00			
1"-Line meter connection fee	\$ 5,000.00			
Interest rate on bills past due by 30 days or more	18% per annum (1.5% per month)			
Filing Lien	\$ 150.00 each			
Releasing Lien	\$ 150.00 each			
Fee for unwarranted service call **	Actual cost			

Table 2Proposed rates and fees for Bridgerland

274 275

276 277

- * Applies to lots where service mains are in place and where water service is available, but no water service has been connected, and no water service is used; Or where the Company has disconnected water service at the request of the customer; or involuntarily by the Company after proper notice to the customer.
- ** The Company is responsible for issues involving infrastructure up to and including the
 meter. Problems, e.g., leaks or frozen pipes, beyond the meter on the customer's side,
 are the customer's responsibility. The customer must pay the actual costs of the
 service call, including parts and labor.

283 Q. WHAT DOES THE DIVISION'S ANALYSIS CONCLUDE ABOUT THE 284 PROPOSED RATES AND RATE STRUCTURE?

- A. The Division's analysis shows that the proposed rates and rate structure cover all fixed costs, continue to fund the reserve account, and have an effective conservation rate
- 287 structure with increasing tiered rates as authorized by Utah Code Section $73-10-32.5(1)^4$.
- 288 The Division concludes that these rates and rate structure result in just and reasonable 289 rates and are in the public interest.

290 Q. BESIDES THE INCREASE IN WATER RATES, IS THE DIVISION

291 RECOMMENDING CHANGES TO ANY OF THE OTHER FEES AND 292 CHARGES, AS SHOWN IN THE LOWER SECTION OF TABLE 2?

A. Yes. The Division is recommending that the disconnect and re-connect fees be increased from \$50.00 per occurrence to \$120.00 per occurrence to better reflect the actual cost incurred by Bridgerland. The Division also recommends that the first time service connection, and the additional charges based on the meter size, are increased to cover the actual costs. Additionally, the Division recommends adding fees for filing and releasing liens to better reflect added time and labor costs required for Bridgerland personnel.

299 **RATE COMPARISON**

300 Q. PLEASE SHOW A SIDE-BY-SIDE COMPARISON OF THE MONTHLY RATES

- 301 **RECOMMENDED BY THE DIVISION AND THE MONTHLY RATES**
- 302 CURRENTLY IN PLACE, AS WELL AS FEES.

⁴ Utah Code 73-10-32.5. Culinary water pricing structure. Effective 5/10/2016. https://le.utah.gov/xcode/Title73/Chapter10/73-10-S32.5.html

Description		Cur	rent		Prop	osed
-		Water R	ates			
First 6,000 gallons (included in Base Rates)	\$	24.00	per month	\$	94.00	per month
Usage per 1,000 gallons						
Tier 1 (0 to 6,000 gals)	\$	0.00		\$	0.00	
Tier 2 (6,001 to 12,000 gals)	\$	1.75		\$	5.50	
Tier 3 (12,001 to 18,000 gals)				\$	11.00	
Tier 4 (18,001 to 24,000 gals)				\$	16.50	
Tier 5 (> 24,000 gals)				\$	25.00	
Temporarily Unmetered Lots	\$	24.00	per month	\$	94.00	per month
	Fee	es and Othe	r Charges			-
Standby Fees *	\$	10.00	per month	\$	29.00	per month
Disconnect fees	\$	50.00	per occurence	\$	120.00	per occurence
Re-connect fees	\$	50.00	per occurence	\$	120.00	per occurence
First time service connection						
³ / ₄ "-Line meter connection fee	\$	2,250.00		\$	4,700.00	
1"-Line meter connection fee	\$	3,000.00		\$	5,000.00	
Interest rate on bills past due by	18	8% per annu	m	18	3% per annu	n
30 days or more	(1.5% per month)		(1.5% per mo	nth)	
Filing Lien	1	n/a		\$	150.00	each
Releasing Lien	1	n/a		\$	150.00	each
Fee for unwarranted service call **	1	n/a		A	ctual Cost	

 Table 3

 Current and proposed monthly rates and fees for Bridgerland

304 Q. PLEASE PROVIDE A CHART SHOWING SAMPLE WATER USAGE AT
305 CURRENT RATES COMPARED TO THE PROPOSED CHARGES.

306 A. Please see below:

······································					
Gallons	Current Billing	Proposed Billing	Increase		
1,950	\$ 24.00	\$ 94.00	292%	1	
6,000	\$ 24.00	\$ 94.00	292%		
8,000	\$ 27.50	\$ 105.00	282%		
10,000	\$ 31.00	\$ 116.00	274%		
12,219	\$ 34.88	\$ 129.41	271%	2	
14,000	\$ 38.00	\$ 149.00	292%		
15,379	\$ 40.41	\$ 164.17	306%	3	
18,000	\$ 45.00	\$ 193.00	329%		
20,000	\$ 48.50	\$ 226.00	366%		
25,805	\$ 58.66	\$ 337.13	475%	4	

Table 4

Sample Billing Amounts Comparing Current to Proposed Billings

308

309 Q. PLEASE FURTHER EXPLAIN TABLE 4 AND COMMENT ON THE 310 PROPOSED INCREASE.

A. Table 4 shows several monthly water usage amounts and their equivalent dollar value for the current billing and proposed billing and its percentage increase. The highlighted lines have the following significance:

- This amount is the average monthly water usage of all the water company customers
 during 2020, excluding the largest water users.
- 316
 2. The 12,219 gallons is the average monthly water usage applied by the Utah Division
 317 of Drinking Water and the Utah Division of Water Rights for domestic water use per
 318 family.⁵
- 3193. This amount is the average monthly water usage of the top ten water users during3202020.

⁵ According to the Utah Division of Water Rights, for domestic water use. Water diversion for a full-time (permanent residence) use is evaluated at 0.45 acre-foot (12,187 gallons) per family per year. This is equivalent to 12,187 gallons per month. <u>https://www.waterrights.utah.gov/wrinfo/policy/wateruse.asp</u>

321322323		4. This amount is the water usage of the largest water user during 2020. Bridgerland personnel report that this usage is not an anomaly, as this particular water customer consistently has high water consumption rates.
324 325		The amounts listed in Table 4 are the average water usage amounts for 2020. Summer month usage is much higher than the average month shown in this table.
326 327	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THIS RATE STRUCTURE AND RATE AND FEE INCREASE ARE JUST AND REASONABLE AND IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.
328 329	A.	The Division is sensitive to steep rate increases, as in this rate case. This large increase is due to several reasons.
330331332		 Bridgerland has not had a rate increase since 2005. The Division encourages regulated water utilities to review their expenses and revenues regularly and request a rate increase as needed.
333 334 335		2. Bridgerland was very heavily subsidized by Mr. and Mrs. Wilson. The Division strongly discourages developer subsidies, apart from a new utility in the initial years of providing service.
 336 337 338 339 340 		3. Private water companies are funded solely by their customers. A recent article in The Salt Lake Tribune states that most Utahns pay low water rates because property taxes heavily subsidize most Utah water districts. ⁶ Absent subsidization from some source, private water companies have no funding sources other than rates and fees paid by their customers.
341	Q.	CAN YOU PUT THE RATES RECOMMENDED BY THE DIVISION IN

342 **PERSPECTIVE**?

⁶ The Salt Lake Tribune, September 9, 2021, Article titled: Utah residents use the most water of any Western state. They also pay some of the lowest water rates. Utahns fund water infrastructure through property taxes, which critics say disincentives conservation. <u>https://www.sltrib.com/news/2021/09/20/utah-residents-use-most/</u>

A. Yes. The Commission recently approved slightly higher volumetric rates and slightly
lower monthly base rates for a similar, but smaller private water company located in
Northern Utah.⁷

346 **THE DIVISION'S SUPPORTING EXHIBITS**

347 Q. WHAT EXHIBITS DID THE DIVISION PREPARE IN SUPPORT OF THE

348 **RATES AND FEES RECOMMENDED IN THIS CASE?**

- A. The exhibits referred to in this testimony are listed in index Exhibit 2.1. For convenience,
- 350 Exhibit 2.1 is provided below.

⁷ Docket Number 19-2438-01, Commission order approving stipulation and associated tariff changes for Pineview West Water Company dated January 25, 2021. https://pscdocs.utah.gov/water/19docs/19243801/31706819243801oasaatc1-25-2021.pdf

Table :	5
---------	---

Exhibit No.	Tab Label	Page Title	Page Description
2.1	INDEX	Index	Index Key for Exhibits
2.2	Rates	Rate Schedule	Shows the rate calculations and corresponding rate schedules.
2.2.a	Notes	Rate Schedule (Notes)	Notes and comments regarding Exhibit 2.2
2.3	Expense Allocation	Allocation of Annual Expenses and Annual Rates	Categorizes expenses as either Fixed or Variable expenses.
2.3.a	Notes	Expense Allocation (Notes)	Notes and comments regarding Exhibit 2.3
2.4	Adj. Expense	Adjustments to Expenses	Shows the adjustments recommended by the Division to the expense amounts on the Company's Annual Reports or that that were submitted by the Company
2.4.a	Notes	Adjustments to Expenses (Notes)	Notes and comments regarding Exhibit 2.4
2.5	Rev & Exp	Summary of Revenues and Expenses (Revenue Requirement)	Summarizes the adjusted expenses and shows the revenues required to fund these expenses.
2.6	Cap. Reserve	Capital Reserves	Sets the minimum Capital Reserves funding requirements.
2.7	Deprec	Depreciation Expense & Accumulated Depreciation Reconciliation	Lists the Company's assets and calculates the Depreciation Expense and Accumulated Depreciation
2.8	CIAC	Contribution in Aid of Construction (CIAC) & Amortization of CIAC	Lists the Company's assets contributed (donated) to the water company, usually by the developer.
2.9	Rate Base	Rate Base	Rate base is the value of property, or infrastructure, on which a public utility is permitted to earn a specified rate of return.
2.10	Ret on Inv	Return on Investment	Calculates the company's return on its investment it has an opportunity to earn.
2.11	Taxes	Projected Federal & State Income Taxes	Estimates the Company's Federal and State Income Tax liability.

352 Q. DOES YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY REFERENCE AND DISCUSS EACH OF
353 THESE EXHIBITS?

A. No. My direct testimony refers to only exhibits requiring additional explanations or to
 point out significant adjustments or issues. Each exhibit contains extensive notes and
 comments, supporting references, and can be reviewed independently.

357 358 Q.

DOES THE DIVISION WISH TO COMMENT ON BRIDGERLAND'S INCREASE IN SALARIES IN THE DIVISION'S EXHIBIT 2.4?

359 Since the Company's inception, Mr. and Mrs. Wilson have taken little to no A. 360 compensation for the running of the Company and have subsidized the Company with 361 over \$130,000 of their own money. In addition to the regular cash infusions, Mr. Wilson 362 did not charge the water company for his labor, including administrative and fieldwork. 363 Due to their age and declining health, their daughter, Tana Heninger, representing 364 Bridgerland in this rate case proceeding, and her two brothers, Mike Wilson and Rob 365 Wilson, have recently stepped up and are running the water company now. Bridgerland 366 must now hire a "Public Works" Supervisor to act as its water master, and parttime office 367 and accounting positions. Additionally, Tana and her two brothers will share a combined 368 annual salary of \$50,000 to oversee and handle the daily operations.

369 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE NECESSITY FOR BRIDGERLAND TO CONNECT TO 370 GARDEN CITY'S WATER SYSTEM IN THE DIVISION'S EXHIBIT 2.4.

371 The Utah Department of Environmental Quality, Division of Drinking Water (Drinking

Water) is charged with monitoring and enforcing Utah's Safe Drinking Water Act.

- 373 Drinking Water has issued a corrective action plan requiring Bridgerland to have a
- 374 "redundant source" of water. Drinking Water has extended the corrective action plan
- deadline to October 1, 2022, for Bridgerland to comply to avoid enforcement action.⁸
- 376 Bridgerland is in the process of connecting to the Garden City water system. This
- 377 connection will give Bridgerland a second and reliable water source if needed and

⁸ The Improvement Priority System (IPS) program is used by the Division of Drinking Water to evaluate public water system compliance with Title R309 of the Utah Administrative Code, and to prioritize noncompliance for enforcement action. Bridgerland's IPS Report is found here: https://waterlink.utah.gov/deqWater/reports.html?systemId=178

- 378 complies with the "redundant source" requirement set forth by Drinking Water. As part
- of this rate increase, Bridgerland has applied for and been approved for a 30-year loan at
- 380 2% interest in the amount of \$1.138 million. The annual principal and interest payments
- 381 are included in this rate case.

382 **RATE RECOMMENDATION**

383 Q. DOES THE DIVISION HAVE A RECOMMENDATION FOR BRIDGERLAND 384 WATER'S RATES AND FEES?

A. The Division requests that the Commission approve the rates and fees proposed by the
Division, and outlined in Exhibit 2.2, should be approved as just and reasonable and in
the public interest, with an effective date of April 1, 2022. These rates are listed again for
convenience:

Description	Charges			
Water Rates				
First 6,000 gallons (included in Base Rates)	\$ 94.00 per month			
Usage per 1,000 gallons				
Tier 1 (0 to 6,000 gals)	\$ 0.00			
Tier 2 (6,001 to 12,000 gals)	\$ 5.50			
Tier 3 (12,001 to 18,000 gals)	\$ 11.00			
Tier 4 (18,001 to 24,000 gals)	\$ 16.50			
Tier 5 (> 24,000 gals)	\$ 25.00			
Temporarily Unmetered Lots	\$ 94.00 per month			
Fees and Other Charges				
Standby Fees *	\$ 29.00 per month			
Disconnect fees	\$ 120.00 per occurrence			
Re-connect fees	\$ 120.00 per occurrence			
First time service connection				
³ / ₄ "-Line meter connection fee	\$ 4,700.00			
1"-Line meter connection fee	\$ 5,000.00			
Interest rate on bills past due by 30 days or more	18% per annum (1.5% per month)			
Filing Lien	\$ 150.00 each			
Releasing Lien	\$ 150.00 each			
Fee for unwarranted service call **	Actual cost			

Table 2Proposed rates and fees for Bridgerland

Docket No. 21-001-01 DPU Exhibit 1.0 DIR Mark Long

- 389
- 390
- * Applies to lots where service mains are in place and where water service is available, 391 but no water service has been connected, and no water service is used; Or where the 392 Company has disconnected water service at the request of the customer; or 393 involuntarily by the Company after proper notice to the customer.
- 394 ** The Company is responsible for issues involving infrastructure up to and including the 395 meter. Problems, e.g., leaks or frozen pipes, beyond the meter on the customer's side, 396 are the customers' responsibility. The customer must pay the actual costs of the 397 service call, including parts and labor.
- **TARIFF RECOMMENDATION** 398

399 **Q**. OTHER THAN UPDATING THE NEW RATES AND FEES, ARE THERE ANY 400 **OTHER CHANGES TO THE REVISED RECOMMENDED TARIFF?**

- 401 A. Yes. The recommended tariff includes updated Rules and Regulations that apply to all 402 regulated water utilities. The updated Rules and Regulations are a readily available 403 source of information for both the Company and its customers. These regulations are 404 included as part of the tariff because they are the questions most frequently inquired 405 about by both water companies and water customers. They are not all-inclusive but 406 incorporated here to be used as a ready and convenient reference. Complete rules and 407 regulations applicable to all regulated water utilities can be found in Utah Code Title 54 408 and Utah Administrative Rules Section 746.
- 409 In addition to the updated Rules and Regulations sections discussed above, several
- 410 wording changes dealing specifically with Bridgerland's Rules and Regulations, 1
- 411 through 20, have been made.

412 FINAL COMMENTS

413 Q. IS THE DIVISION RECOMMENDING AND PROVIDING A REVISED TARIFF 414 THAT INCLUDES THE NEW RULES AND REGULATIONS AND NEW WATER

415 **RATES AND FEES?**

- 416 A. Yes. The Division is providing a new tariff that, in addition to the changes to the Rules
 417 and Regulations, includes the latest rates and updated fees that it recommends being
- 418 adopted by the Company. This tariff is the third revision of Bridgerland's tariff.
- 419 The revised recommended Tariff No. 3 is provided in Exhibit 3 of this Direct Testimony,
- 420 in both redline and clean form.

421 Q. DOES THE DIVISION WISH TO COMMENT ON ITS WORKING 422 RELATIONSHIP WITH BRIDGERLAND PERSONNEL?

423 A. Over the years, I looked forward to Mr. Wilson giving me a call, discussing his water 424 company, and going over his Annual Water Report before he filed it. He always made 425 sure that everything balanced to the penny. Because Mr. Wilson's health limited his 426 involvement in this rate case, the Division worked closely with Ms. Heninger. She is a 427 pleasure to work with and participated in Zoom meetings, phone calls, emails and 428 provided any information the Division informally requested to arrive at the new rates and 429 rate structure. It is apparent that she shares her parent's passion for Bridgerland and has 430 its customers' best interests in mind in helping to develop the new rates that adequately 431 support the Company while keeping rates as low as possible.

432 Q. DOES THE DIVISION HAVE ANY FINAL COMMENTS?

- A. The Division's analysis demonstrates that its recommended rates and fees and revised
 tariff are just and reasonable and in the public interest. Therefore, the Division
 recommends the Commission approve these new rates, fees, and updated Tariff No. 3.
- 436 Q. DOES THIS COMPLETE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
- 437 A. Yes.