

- BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH -

---

Formal Complaint of David Burwen, Susan Burwen, and Venture Development Group, LLC against Pineview West Water Company

---

DOCKET NO. 22-2438-01  
INFORMATION REQUESTS

ISSUED: April 4, 2022

The Public Service Commission (PSC) needs additional information in this docket before making a decision. The PSC directs the Division of Public Utilities (DPU) to respond to the Information Requests under Paragraph A, and Pineview West Water Company (PWWC) to respond to those under Paragraph B.

A. DPU Information Requests:

1. In the 2009 general rate case request filed by PWWC in Docket No. 09-2438-01, DPU listed the Snowberry Inn among PWWC's 58 customers in its June 30, 2009 comments ("DPU comments") and specifically referenced Snowberry Inn as a customer in its workpapers, attached as Exhibit A to the DPU comments. Who provided to DPU the list of customers used in the "Usage Model" tab of Exhibit A?
2. Did DPU use the Snowberry Inn as a PWWC customer in its calculations to support its recommendations? If so, please explain the rate implications of these calculations.
3. In the 2019 general rate case request filed by PWWC in Docket No. 19-2438-01, did DPU use the Snowberry Inn as a PWWC customer in its calculations? (Please see the "Raw Data" tab of Confidential PWWC Exhibit 7, "Graphs 20 04 10 Q1 Culinary April", filed April 24, 2020, and Exhibits 2.1-2.11 to the direct testimony of Mark Long, filed October 16, 2020.) If so, please explain the rate implications of these calculations.

B. PWWC Information Request 1: As part of the 2019 general rate case request filed by PWWC in Docket No. 19-2438-01, PWWC submitted on April 24, 2020 several confidential documents in support of its request including, without limitation, the following:

1. A document that was authored by John Durig, Vice President of PWWC, titled "The Case for [PWWC] Rate Increase" and referred to as "Request for Approval

DOCKET NO. 22-2438-01

- 2 -

of a Conservation Rate Increase” on our website under Docket No. 19-2438-01,  
and

2. Confidential PWWC Exhibit 7, “Graphs 20 04 10 Q1 Culinary April”.

The PSC deems the referenced documents relevant in the current docket. Is one of the reasons for designating the document authored by John Durig confidential because the last paragraph contains the names of three customers? Likewise, is one of the reasons for designating PWWC Exhibit 7 confidential because it includes customer names and other customer-specific account information? Please state any other reason for designating the referenced documents confidential.

The PSC asks the parties to respond by **Monday, April 25, 2022**.

DATED at Salt Lake City, Utah, April 4, 2022.

/s/ Yvonne R. Hogle  
Presiding Officer

Attest:

/s/ Gary L. Widerburg  
PSC Secretary  
DW#323321

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I CERTIFY that on April 4, 2022, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was delivered upon the following as indicated below:

By Email:

J. Craig Smith ([jcsmith@shutah.law](mailto:jcsmith@shutah.law))  
Kathryn J. Steffey ([ksteffey@shutah.law](mailto:ksteffey@shutah.law))  
Donald N. Lundwall ([dlundwall@shutah.law](mailto:dlundwall@shutah.law))  
Smith Hartvigsen, PLLC

Edwin C. Barnes ([ecb@clydesnow.com](mailto:ecb@clydesnow.com))  
Emily E. Lewis ([eel@clydesnow.com](mailto:eel@clydesnow.com))  
Pineview West Water Company

Patricia Schmid ([pschmid@agutah.gov](mailto:pschmid@agutah.gov))  
Justin Jetter ([jjetter@agutah.gov](mailto:jjetter@agutah.gov))  
Assistant Utah Attorneys General

Madison Galt ([mgalt@utah.gov](mailto:mgalt@utah.gov))  
Division of Public Utilities

---

Administrative Assistant